International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends
Volume 12, Issue 6, Nov-Dec-2026, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X

Artificial Intelligence in IT Project Management: A Critical Analysis of Benefits, Challenges, and Risk Management Strategies

Nandkishore R Sawant
Technical Program Manager
Pentangle Tech Services

Abstract — Artificial intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing information technology (IT) project management with intelligent automation, predictive analytics, and data-driven project decisions over project lifecycles. Nevertheless, even though AI may enhance operational efficiency, the implementation of this technology has witnessed technological, organizational, and ethical issues, which can cripple the impact of a project. This paper has critically analyzed the advantages and limitations of the use of AI in IT project management with a particular interest in the implications of AI for technical project managers and performance in organizations. An ordered literature review and thematic synthesis of the related publications on IEEE Xplore are suggested to analyze the existing evidence on AI-based scheduling, resource allocation, risk prediction, and project governance. Special consideration is made for relationships such as technological capabilities, organizational readiness, data quality, and human oversight. The article further addresses the issue of whether the enhancement of operational efficiency will inevitably lead to the sustainability of project success, in particular, when the complexity of integration, bias in algorithms, the problem of cybersecurity, and labour constraints are not well managed. The research seeks to bridge these dimensions in order to come up with an evidence-based framework, which would direct responsible AI adoption within the management of IT projects. It is expected to provide a better understanding of the conditions in which AI may be used to assist in the delivery of a project, without jeopardizing managerial responsibility, transparency, and organizational control.

Keywords— Artificial Intelligence, IT Project Management, AI Adoption, Predictive Analytics, Project Governance, Risk Management.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Background of the Study

Artificial intelligence (AI) is finding its way into information technology (IT) project management, altering the project planning, monitoring, and controlling processes and formulas. The conventional project management techniques are based on experience of management, historical facts, and manual coordination to handle the project complexity and risk. Nevertheless, the growing magnitude of digital transformation projects, shifting user needs and inclinations, and apprehension about software development have heightened the necessity of responsive and information-driven managerial practices. Karnouskos [1] presents the possibility of the use of large language models (LLMs) to facilitate project management operations, especially those that require processing of information and those that require knowledge-heavy undertakings. Additionally, AI-driven project management solutions and their feasibility in adopting operational efficiency [2]. However, technical advancement does not necessarily lead to better project delivery since organizational procedures and management skills impact the effectiveness of implementation of these tools.

2. Research Risk

Even though AI can signal a chance to standardize repetitive work, improve project forecasting, and help the decision-making of managers, implementing AI as a solution raises new risks related to operations and governance. Nabot et al. [3] explore the applications of AI in software project management, especially in predicting delivery times and resource allocation. Recommendations generated by AI can rely on incomplete historical data, assumptions that are not up-to-date with the project statement, or models with a lack of transparency. Moreover, incorporating AI into established project management systems can raise the output of the implementation, generate new training demands, and make responsibility more challenging.

3. Research Gap

The current literature points to various forms of AI usage in project management; the evidence available concerns various aspects of AI implementation. As an example, a study of

© 2026 IJSRET

prediction about delivery time and resource distribution focuses on the technical performance, and one of the studies on the organizational [3]. AI preparation regards the institutional requirements that must be met to implement it [4]. Even though these views are complementary, considering them independently could possibly ignore the association between technological competence and quality of preparedness at an organizational level. This results in an opportunity to create a synthesis of technical and organizational evidence in a shared analysis paradigm. This study has a focus on the situations in which the benefits expected from the adoption of AI are achieved and the obstacles that can impede their achievement, instead of presupposing that its implementation inevitably leads to overall positive effects on the project.

4. Research Aim and Objectives

The key objective of this paper is to critically assess the benefits and challenges of AI in IT project management and design an evidence-based framework for responsible AI implementation.

Objectives

- To analyze the main implementation and possible advantages of AI in the processes of IT project management.
- To assess technological, organizational, and ethical risks that are impacting the adoption of AI.
- To examine the impacts of organizational preparation, governance, and human control on the realization of expenses of AI-related benefits.
- To present a combined model to make responsible AI application in technical project management settings.

5. Research Questions

- How to analyze the main implementation and possible advantages of AI in the processes of IT project management?
- How to assess technological, organizational, and ethical risks that are impacting the adoption of AI?
- What are the impacts of organizational preparation, governance, and human control on the realization of expenses of AI-related benefits?
- What is the combined model to make responsible AI application in technical project management settings?

6. Significance of the Study

This study is important since technical project managers find themselves at the nexus of technology, organizational strategy, and delivery of operations more and more frequently. Although analytical assistance may be obtained with the help of AI, interpretation of results, risk assessment, and final decisions related to the project are critical managerial tasks. A study exploring how AI applies to project management. As it offers a rather applicable background in exploring ethical duties in conjunction with technological possibilities [5].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Evolution of Artificial Intelligence in IT Project Management

Incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) in information technology (IT) project management is the shift towards centralized and mostly experience-based project coordination to a more data-based project delivery. Karnouskos [1] proved that large language models (LLMs) can be utilized to complete project management-related tasks, thereby opening prospects for enhancing professional decision-making. The study, however, focuses mostly on the performance criteria in the questions of project management certification, but not on actual project delivery performance. In turn, high results in organized knowledge tests must not be taken as confirmation that LLMs also have the ability to cope with complicated IT tasks on their own. Besides, Das et al. [2] discuss the AI-based platforms of project management and how they are used in project monitoring, automation, and resource optimization. Equally, AI studies in Agile project management present use cases to enhance operational responsiveness and decision support [6]. The adoption of AI must be interpreted, then, as a growing organizational capacity as opposed to having an answer to the uncertainty of project delivery.

2. AI Technologies Used in Technical Project Management

Artificial intelligence (AI) technologies used within technical project management include machine learning, natural language processing (NLP), predictive analytics, and generative AI. These technologies facilitate various managerial operations and therefore come with unique implementation demands. Nabot et al. [3] discuss predictive methods of software project delivery and resource allocation, mentioning the importance of the analytical model with respect to decision-making regarding planning. Nevertheless, predictive effectiveness is affected by the representativeness of training data and operating environment stability. Historical project models can be less reliable during the execution of projects by organizations that are unveiling new technologies or new project teams, or where project requirements have significantly changed. To illustrate, the study of a PDF-based project management chatbot shows how AI has the potential to access and analyze project documentation and help interact with stakeholders [7]. The system, however, has been designed to suit certain tasks; such that the performance that is reported can

© 2026 IJSRET

not easily be generalized to technical program management on an enterprise level. Such separation is particularly critical when using the outcomes of AI to approve the budget, deliveries, and/or a security decision.

3. Theoretical Foundations of AI Adoption

A technological functionality must be viewed, as well as the readiness of the organization, in the realization of AI implementation. When developing the AI readiness model to work with software projects, the model is able to identify the following important dimensions of adoption: technical feasibility, organizational culture, data quality, leadership support, and human capabilities [4]. These arguments mean that the availability of innovative technology is not the only reason why the implementation process was successful. The given perspective could be considered in the context of the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) framework, which takes into account the impact that traits of technology, organizational environment, and external factors have on technology adoption. Leadership commitment, employee skills, resources, and governance are some of the organizational factors. AI systems, due to the difficulty in understanding the results of automation, can be a significant threat to decision-makers who are in power. The significance of human supervision, professional knowledge, and ethical considerations is supported by the qualitative research on the risk of worldviews of project managers [5]. This difference avoids considering haziness in using AI as a success of implementation.

4. Existing Empirical Evidence

Current literature also shows how artificial intelligence can be used to benefit software effort estimation, resource planning, and decision-making. The results of an analysis of 69 studies on machine learning-based software effort estimation have indicated that there is increasing interest in machine learning and hybrid models to enhance project planning [8]. On the same note, Das et al. [2] present how project management tools can be utilized to increase efficiency in the project workflow and project monitoring. However, it is not only the technology that can cause such improvements, but also the better management practices, the higher quality of data and the effectiveness of organizational processes. Research on professional practices may be another approach, but the outcomes of these studies have a methodological limitation [9]. As an example, exploratory interviews possess a lot of knowledge of the experience and perspective of practitioners, which may not be representative of the actual improvement of the project performance. Hence, even though current literature proposes that these technologies have the potential to underpin project management, their effectiveness when dealing with the organizational contexts has to be proven more convincingly.

5. Limitations of Previous Research and Identified Research Gap

The focus is on single instances of AI applications, like effort estimation, document retrieval, or task automation [3], [7], and [8]. Though such studies do lead to improved insights into the technical capabilities, they provide less first-hand information about how various AI applications can interact in a complete project lifecycle. Second, organizational implementation and technical performance are not always analyzed within an integrated analytical framework. Research on readiness emphasizes leadership, organizational culture, and human capabilities, and on predictive modeling research, an emphasis is placed on analytical techniques [4], [8]. To illustrate, a good forecasting model can be poorly implemented in operation, where managers are unable to act upon the recommendations due to the formation of decision-making processes.

Third, the available evidence is not always able to differentiate between short-term profit gains and sustained project success. Documentation automation or forecast accuracy efficiency can lead to a low amount of operational effort without a consistent focus on enhancement of delivery quality, stakeholder satisfaction, cybersecurity, and long-term organizational performance. Additionally, the need for human supervision found in earlier studies brings a possible clash between the effectiveness of automation and governance requirements [5], [9].

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

1. Research Design and Approach

The research uses a systematic literature review with qualitative thematic synthesis in order to critically analyze the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in the management of information technology (IT) projects. The study does not gather any survey or interview data, as does primary empirical research, but rather considers scholarly research that has been published in the past. Assalaarachchi et al. [10] illustrate the importance of re-examining generative AI applications in the management of software projects, especially in evaluating new opportunities and new practices. A systematic process is desirable since this allows clear evidence selection and less dependence on self-isolated success assertions of technology.

2. Literature Search Strategy

The search of the literature is based on the IEEE Xplore Digital Library that contains the scholarly articles and research on

© 2026 IJSRET

computing, software engineering, artificial intelligence, and technology management. Haris et al. [11] investigate ensemble-based software effort estimation techniques and reveal that forecasting techniques are important in project planning. Search terms are artificial intelligence, machine learning, generative AI, IT project management, software project management, predictive analytics, and AI implementation challenges. Related ideas are linked with the help of Boolean operators and enhance the relevance of any retrieval.

3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The studies have been chosen based on publication date, scholar relevance, transparency of the methods used, and their direct application to the management of IT projects. Studies of related sectors can be taken into account, but the results have been understood as generally applicable to the management only when they have context-specific differences rather than being homogeneous. Nevertheless, publication in IEEE proceedings does not mean that the research is of quality.

4. Thematic Analysis and Evidence Synthesis

Coding of the selected articles is based on thematic coding to gain insight into the trends and lack of coherence in opinions and the relationship between AI potential and implementation outcomes. There are four preliminary analysis themes: responsible AI governance, organizational and technological barriers, predictive decision-making, and operational efficiency and automation. Evidence-based writing has been carried out according to the report findings, the situation of the study, the strength of the methodology used, and limitations encountered. A study of intelligent strategy decisions [14] offers a pertinent insight into intelligent AI-enabled planning, but once again, the possibility of applying it is contingent on the capabilities of organizations and the contexts of decisions. The conflicting results are retained and interpreted rather than eliminated so as to form a positive picture of AI adoption. Since the review is based on secondary research, its findings have focused on the analytical relationships instead of unsubstantiated causal ideas.

IV. BENEFITS OF AI ADOPTION IN IT PROJECT MANAGEMENT

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) provides significant opportunities to manage IT projects by providing automation to specific processes, predictive analytics, optimization of resources, and better decision-making. Das et al. [2] analyze AI-based project management tools and their possibilities to enhance workflow organization and efficiency. In a similar theme, AI-enhanced project management emphasizes the importance of smart scheduling and automated assignment of tasks in the facilitation of project delivery [15]. Nonetheless, automation does not necessarily lead to improved results since the system should be effective based on the accuracy of the data, compatibility, and clearly defined roles. The delivery time and resource allocation based on AI, which proves that machine learning is relevant to project planning. [3], [16]. Such a difference implies that predictive capabilities only need to be measured by real risk management improvements, as opposed to the technical complexity of AI models.

Analytical methods that are aided by AI are also useful in resource allocation and cost management. Studies on machine learning-based software effort estimation point to the opportunities to boost the quality of forecasts [8]. Mahmud [13] studies the AI integration into management information systems, reporting the opportunities to enhance information processing and coordination of operations. Another example of how generative AI can enhance document retrieval and knowledge management is the research on a PDF-based chatbot used in project management [7]. Degerli [17] does find relationships between perceptions of AI benefits and adoption intentions, but does not indicate that positive perceptions always yield measurable improvements to projects. In that regard, technical project managers should keep the accountability of validating recommendations, maintaining confidentiality, and maintaining a focus on decisions being within the organizational goals.

Challenges of AI Adoption in IT Project Management

Although it is advantageous, artificial intelligence (AI) use in IT project management poses technological, organizational, financial, and ethical issues. A significant concern is data quality and cybersecurity, since inaccurate or missing information may impact decisions on projects. AI-based project control systems are able to enhance continuous monitoring; however, the efficiency is limited by the effectiveness of the data and its management [16]. Transparency and accountability are another challenge. There is a risk of AI systems providing erroneous or biased data, and technical project managers should thus consult before making noteworthy decisions. Overreliance on these systems can also decrease professional judgment and responsibility.

There are additional challenges of implementation costs and system integration. Most IT organizations operate with older systems which might not be compatible with current AI technologies. Mahmud [13] comments on the application of AI with management information systems and explains that there are potential operational benefits, as well as compatibility criteria. On the same note, when analyzing AI and machine

© 2026 IJSRET

learning in the IT sector, there is an opportunity to enhance project efficiency [18]. Nonetheless, the benefits should be borne in mind together with the costs of implementing the same, training of employees, and the variation in organizational strengths.

V. DISCUSSION AND PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

The literature reviewed reveals that artificial intelligence (AI) has the potential to enhance IT project management, but its application depends on the technological capacity, organizational preparedness, and managerial control. Studies of AI-based project management and predictive forecasting discover areas to enhance efficiency and planning [12], [19]. However, improved technical performance is not necessarily associated with successful project delivery. Machine-learned estimation of effort might improve the forecasting performance without arriving at a compromise between the risks of various stakeholders, changing requirements, or organizational inefficiencies [20]. Research on AI preparedness and adoption intentions has demonstrated the importance of infrastructure, management commitment, and technology acceptance [17]. The optimistic nature towards adoption is, however, incapable of dominant over the poor quality of data or ignorance among the workers. These aspects emphasize infrastructural assessment, progressive implementation, responsibility, and measurable project output.

VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This research paper has explored both advantages and disadvantages of using artificial intelligence (AI) in managing IT projects, which includes the opportunities to enhance scheduling, risk management, resource utilization, and decision-making. Nevertheless, technology potential does not necessarily ensure effective project results. Improved implementation involves the following; organizational preparedness, sound data, competency in employees, and clear governance processes. The suggested AI Adoption and Governance Framework is a combination of organizational preparedness, controlled implementation, human control, and continuous performance evaluation that promotes responsible adoption. The technical project managers must focus on the gradual implementation, staff training, cybersecurity measures, and performance metrics to measure the success of the implementation. The framework, however, is theoretical, and it needs to be empirically tested in various organizational settings. Future studies must examine the sustainable impacts of AI usage on the efficiency of a project, satisfaction among stakeholders, and accountability in management.

REFERENCES

1. S. Karnouskos, “The Relevance of Large Language Models for Project Management,” IEEE Open Journal of the Industrial Electronics Society, vol. 5, pp. 758–768, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ojies.2024.3412222.
2. J. K. Das, Ifeoluwa Elegbe, L. Coffie, Rojeena Khadka, L. Chen, and Y. Ji, “AI-Powered IT Project Management: Analyzing the Effectiveness of Advanced Project Management Tools to Ensure Project Efficiency,” SoutheastCon, pp. 1554–1559, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1109/southeastcon56624.2025.10971718.
3. R.B.M.T. Bandara and Ruwan Wickramarachchi, “Developing an AI Readiness Model for Software Project Management: A Thematic Analysis,” pp. 1–6, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1109/icarc64760.2025.10962923.
4. M. Değerli, “Exploring Artificial Intelligence Opportunities as a Means for Efficacious Project Management Purposes,” 2025 10th International Conference on Computer Science and Engineering (UBMK), pp. 96–101, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1109/ubmk67458.2025.11206748.
5. F. Kalota, B. F. Boamah, N. Manjunath, and G. Witty, “The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Project Management,” pp. 1–6, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.1109/ethics65148.2025.11098441.
6. T. Saxena and M. W. Totaro, “Artificial Intelligence in Project Management: Impacts on Efficiency, Innovation & Competitive Edge,” pp. 80–85, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1109/aixb62249.2024.00022.
7. A. Alshaikhi and M. Khayyat, “An Investigation Into the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Future of Project Management,” 2021 International Conference of Women in Data Science at Taif University (WiDSTaif), Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1109/widstaif52235.2021.9430234.
8. “AI for Software Project Management: Predicting Delivery Times & Resource Allocation,” IEEE.org, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ICIT64950.2025.11049089.
9. K. B. Siddiqa et al., “AI-Driven Project Management Systems: Enhancing IT Project Efficiency Through MIS Integration,” 2024 International Conference on Progressive Innovations in Intelligent Systems and Data Science (ICPIDS), pp. 114–119, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1109/icpids65698.2024.00027.
10. Panduranga Vital Terlapu, K. K. Raju, G. K. Kumar, G. J. Rao, and Shirina Samreen, “Improved Software Effort Estimation Through Machine Learning: Challenges,

© 2026 IJSRET

Applications, and Feature Importance Analysis," IEEE Access, vol. PP, no. 99, p. 1, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3457771.
11. M. Haris, F.-F. Chua, and Amy Hui-Lan Lim, "An Ensemble-Based Framework to Estimate Software Project Effort," Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1109/icsecs58457.2023.10256337.
12. V. Malik, R. Arora, and H. Singh, "Enhancing Software Project Management With Machine Learning: A Performance Analysis of Effort Estimation Models," 2025 International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Innovation for Sustainability (EmergIN), pp. 87–92, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.1109/emergin67762.2025.11450870.
13. K. Alam, M. Haq Bhuiyan, M. Shafiqul Islam, A. Hossain Chowdhury, Z. Ahmed Bhuiyan, and S. Ahmmed, "Co-Pilot for Project Managers: Developing a PDF-Driven AI Chatbot for Facilitating Project Management," IEEE Access, vol. 13, pp. 43079–43096, 2025, doi: 10.1109/access.2025.3548519.
14. P. M. Tekade, A. Thite, A. Parab, A. Jakapure, and S. Gadge, "AI-Enhanced Project Management," 2025 9th International Conference on Computing, Communication, Control and Automation (ICCCBEA), pp. 1–5, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.1109/iccubea65967.2025.11284034.
15. L. I. Assalaarachchi, Z. Masood, R. Hoda, and J. Grundy, "Generative AI for Software Project Management: Insights From a Review of Software Practitioner Literature," IEEE Software, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 62–69, May 2026, doi: 10.1109/ms.2025.3619936.
16. T. Samarah, M. Almiani, A. Mughaid, S. AlZu'bi, and A. Al-Rahayfeh, "Intelligent Strategic Decision-Making for Optimized Project Management," in 2024 International Conference on Decision Aid Sciences and Applications (DASA), IEEE, Dec. 2024, pp. 1–5. Accessed: Nov. 11, 2026. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10836504
17. E. Zafarghandi, K. Safaei, and O. F. Valilai, "AI-Powered Project Control System: A Conceptual Framework for Continuous Assessment and Mitigation Throughout Project Lifecycle," 2025 IEEE International Conference on Technology Management, Operations and Decisions (ICTMOD), pp. 1–6, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.1109/ictmod66732.2025.11371965.
18. S. Tilahun et al., "Improving Object Point Software Effort Estimation Using a Deep Learning Approach," 2025 International Conference on Information and Communication Technology for Development for Africa (ICT4DA), pp. 154–159, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.1109/ict4da67218.2025.11281974.
19. A. Singh, H. Kumar, A. K. Choubey, and M. Singh, "Impact of AI and Machine Learning on Project Management Efficiency in the Indian IT and Software Industry: A Comprehensive Empirical Analysis (2018–2025)," 2025 International Conference on Intelligent & Innovative Practices in Engineering & Management (IIPEM), pp. 1–6, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.1109/iipem65914.2025.11548413.
20. K. Qazi, "Leveraging AI Technologies for Enhanced Project Management in Industry 4.0 Construction," 2026 Global Conference on Wireless and Optical Technologies (GCWOT), pp. 1–6, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.1109/gcwot69191.2026.11499661.

© 2026 IJSRET