International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends
Volume 12, Issue 3, May-June-2026, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X

Alternative Materials & Modular Construction for Temporary Settlements

Akshay Surendra Pimple, guidance of Ar. Anand A. Pande
School of Architecture | Urban Design Research Studio

Abstract — Temporary urbanism plays a critical role in managing dynamic human movement, particularly during large-scale mass gatherings, pilgrimages like the Kumbh Mela, and disaster-relief scenarios. While these transient settlements must rapidly provide vital infrastructure, safety, and shelter for millions of people, traditional construction methods relying on bamboo, corrugated metal sheets, and plastic coverings face severe limitations in durability, environmental impact, comfort, and reusability. This research investigates the untapped potential of innovative alternative materials and modular construction techniques as environmentally responsive solutions to replace conventional, inefficient practices. Through rigorous experimentation and design exploration, this study aims to develop safer, highly adaptable, and affordable temporary architectural systems that ensure rapid assembly and structural utility while minimizing ecological footprints. Furthermore, the paper analyzes the socio-economic scope of these systems, highlighting future opportunities for local skill development, manufacturing employment, and sustainable material lifecycles. Ultimately, this research offers a progressive framework for temporary architecture that balances immediate human comfort with long-term sustainable growth.

Keywords— Alternative building materials, Modular construction, Temporary settlements, Emergency shelter, Transitional housing, Disaster relief housing

I. INTRODUCTION

Temporary urbanism refers to the rapid development of short-term settlements and infrastructures designed specifically to accommodate dynamic human movement and the temporary occupation of space. Throughout history, human populations have continuously adapted various forms of temporary living during periods of migration, pilgrimage, and cultural gatherings. In the modern era, large-scale religious gatherings, such as the Kumbh Mela, stand among the most significant examples of these temporary urban environments. During such events, millions of people gather for a strictly limited duration in geographic locations characterized by minimal permanent infrastructure. Despite their transient nature, these ephemeral cities are tasked with a monumental challenge: they must rapidly provide adequate shelter, safety, robust sanitation, organized circulation, and basic human necessities within a very short period of time.

Historically, traditional temporary settlements have heavily relied on readily available materials, commonly utilizing bamboo frameworks, corrugated metal sheets, plastic coverings, ropes, and modular fencing systems due to their low cost and ease of assembly. However, as the scale of mass gatherings expands, these conventional construction methods increasingly face critical vulnerabilities related to structural durability, high environmental impact, thermal discomfort, and poor reusability.

To address these systemic shortcomings, this research aims to explore innovative architectural solutions and alternative material systems for temporary settlements. By analyzing the spatial and structural requirements of high-density transient populations, this study seeks to develop design frameworks that can respond efficiently to large influxes of people while remaining strictly affordable, highly adaptable, and environmentally sustainable.

II. CONCEPTUALIZING TEMPORARY URBANISM

Temporary urbanism refers to the intentional creation and transformation of spaces for short-term use in direct response to rapidly changing social, cultural, environmental, or economic needs. Unlike conventional permanent urban development, which prioritizes fixed infrastructure, temporary urbanism focuses heavily on flexible, adaptable, and rapidly deployable interventions capable of accommodating dynamic human activities for a strictly limited duration. These transient environments manifest in various forms, including pilgrimage settlements, festival grounds, refugee camps, disaster-relief shelters, public event spaces, temporary markets, and the adaptive reuse of vacant urban land. At its core, the concept prioritizes environmental responsiveness, spatial mobility, and the highly efficient use of resources while simultaneously addressing critical, immediate human requirements such as shelter, circulation, safety, sanitation, and social interaction.

In contemporary urban discourse, temporary urbanism is increasingly recognized as a vital strategic tool for managing volatile urban conditions and large-scale public gatherings. Modern cities constantly encounter unpredictable situations requiring instantaneous spatial adaptation, whether driven by mass migration, cultural events, sudden emergencies, or seasonal population shifts. Embracing this framework allows architects and urban planners to test progressive spatial configurations, activate underutilized or neglected public spaces, and engineer highly functional environments without committing to resource-heavy, permanent construction. In massive gatherings such as the Kumbh Mela, temporary urbanism evolves into an incredibly complex urban system. In this context, millions of people temporarily inhabit an ephemeral city that operates with complex infrastructure networks, intricate circulation grids, distinct public spaces, and an internal social organization entirely comparable to a permanent settlement.

Crucially, the transient nature of these ephemeral settlements does not lessen their profound cultural, social, or spatial significance. Although these spaces are physically dismantled following their designated use, the environments generated often leave behind lasting economic impacts, deep cultural resonance, and profound collective memories within society. Therefore, temporary urbanism must not be evaluated merely as a practice of short-term construction; rather, it is a sophisticated discipline centered on creating highly adaptable, sustainable, and deeply meaningful urban experiences capable of responding seamlessly to both immediate human needs and evolving environmental conditions.

Case Study 01
Kumbh Mela — The Ephemeral Metropolis
Scale, Spatial Form, and Infrastructure Replication

The Kumbh Mela serves as a radical real-world manifestation of urban resilience, elastic design, and temporary urbanism. As documented by architect Rahul Mehrotra, this ephemeral mega-city is constructed to support a base of 7 million residents while successfully accommodating a massive transit congregation of up to 100 million people over a lifecycle of just 55 days. Spatially, despite its short duration, the settlement features a physical footprint comparable to Manhattan and utilizes a highly structured, rigorous grid system mirroring a permanent metropolis.

Rather than attempting to overpower its highly volatile river terrain, the city features resilient infrastructure that adjusts to the environment — most notably through the strategic deployment of floating pontoon bridges. It replicates a fully functioning civic grid, delivering full social and physical infrastructure, including:

- Potable water distribution networks and decentralised treatment plants
- Gravity-fed and pumped sanitation systems serving millions of daily users
- Temporary electrical grids with dedicated substations and street lighting
- Multi-lane road networks, pedestrian circulation corridors, and floating pontoon bridges across the Ganges floodplain
- Medical infrastructure including field hospitals, triage stations, and ambulance access routes
- Dedicated zones for commercial activity, religious congregation, and residential occupation

This comprehensive infrastructure replication is achieved almost entirely through temporary, demountable systems. Bamboo-pole frameworks form the structural skeletons of thousands of residential and commercial units, while corrugated metal sheeting and plastic tarpaulins serve as the primary enclosure materials. Although this approach has enabled the event to function at scale for centuries, it carries significant structural and environmental liabilities that grow more acute with each successive expansion of the event.

Structural and Material Limitations

The predominant use of raw bamboo, non-engineered metal sheet roofing, and single-use plastic coverings presents multiple systemic challenges. Structurally, raw bamboo sections are highly variable in cross-sectional diameter and moisture content, resulting in inconsistent load-bearing capacities and vulnerability to lateral wind forces. Corrugated metal sheets, while low cost, generate extreme radiant heat loads during daytime operations in the subtropical Allahabad climate, creating hazardous thermal conditions inside structures without any passive cooling system.

Furthermore, the near-total reliance on single-use plastics generates an acute waste management crisis at the conclusion of each event. Millions of square metres of low-density polyethylene tarpaulins are discarded, contributing significantly to microplastic contamination of the Ganges River ecosystem. The lack of any reuse strategy for structural components further diminishes the long-term environmental sustainability of the settlement.

Lessons and Transferable Design Insights

"The Kumbh Mela demonstrates that a city of millions can be assembled and dismantled within weeks — the challenge is to do so without permanent ecological cost." — Rahul Mehrotra,

Harvard GSD

Despite its material limitations, Kumbh Mela remains the world's most compelling proof of concept for rapid large-scale temporary urbanism. Key architectural lessons transferable to a progressive design framework include: the primacy of a legible grid structure for safe mass movement; the necessity of separating pedestrian, vehicular, and service circulation; the value of modular, repeatable unit types for rapid deployment; and the critical importance of designing for complete post-event demounting and material recovery. These principles form the foundational spatial logic of the design framework proposed in this research.

Case Study 02

Zaatari Refugee Camp, Jordan — From Emergency to Ephemeral City

Context and Scale

Established in July 2012 in response to the Syrian civil war, Zaatari Refugee Camp in northern Jordan evolved within two years from an emergency tent settlement into one of the world's most densely inhabited temporary urban environments. At peak occupancy in 2013, the camp housed approximately 160,000 displaced persons across a site of roughly 5.3 square kilometres — a population density exceeding that of many established global cities. By 2015, the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) had largely transitioned the settlement from military-style tent lines to prefabricated shelter units (caravans), marking a significant shift in the material and spatial logic of humanitarian settlement design.

Today, Zaatari accommodates approximately 80,000 residents and has developed its own internal economy, with over 3,000 commercial enterprises operating along its primary commercial spine — informally known as the Champs-Élysées. The camp features two schools, multiple health clinics, solar-powered street lighting, and a partially formalised water and sanitation network, demonstrating the degree to which a temporary settlement, when provided with adequate spatial organisation and material quality, can organically evolve into a functioning, self-sustaining urban community.

Material Transition: From Tents to Prefabricated Units

The initial emergency response at Zaatari deployed standard UNHCR high-density polyethylene (HDPE) family tents providing minimal thermal protection and virtually no acoustic privacy. These structures, while rapid to deploy, exhibited critical failures in the extreme Jordanian climate: interior temperatures regularly exceeded 50°C in summer, and structural failures occurred during winter wind events exceeding 90 km/h. Occupant-driven modifications — including the addition of sandbag ballast, earthen berms, and improvised plastic sheeting extensions — became near-universal, indicating a fundamental inadequacy of the issued shelter typology.

The subsequent transition to prefabricated steel caravans significantly improved thermal performance and structural resilience, though it introduced a new set of challenges. The caravans, measuring approximately 3.0 × 5.9 metres, offered limited spatial flexibility and created a highly rigid urban morphology that constrained residents' ability to adapt their living environments to cultural and familial needs. The steel skin also required regular maintenance to prevent accelerated corrosion in the desert environment.

Spatial Organisation and Urban Morphology

What distinguishes Zaatari from most emergency settlements is the degree to which occupant agency has reshaped its spatial structure over time. Despite being laid out in a rectilinear grid by UNHCR planners, residents systematically relocated their caravans to create courtyard clusters reflecting traditional Syrian domestic spatial organisation — a phenomenon UNHCR staff described as 'caravan movement'. This organic reconfiguration demonstrates a fundamental design principle: temporary settlements must anticipate and accommodate occupant spatial agency rather than imposing rigid morphological frameworks.

This insight has direct implications for the material and structural systems proposed in this research. A modular, reconfigurable shelter unit that can be adapted by occupants without specialised tools — aligning with the flat-pack composite systems explored in the material analysis section — would have addressed both the thermal inadequacies of the initial tent deployment and the spatial rigidity of the caravan phase.

Key Lessons

- Emergency shelters must meet minimum thermal performance thresholds from Day 1 of deployment — iterative material upgrades are logistically and economically costly
- Spatial frameworks should embed flexibility for occupant-led reconfiguration, particularly in culturally specific settlement contexts
- Long-duration temporary settlements require a material strategy that anticipates progression from emergency response to semi-permanent occupation
- Solar-integrated infrastructure and decentralised energy systems are viable and scalable in temporary settlement contexts, as demonstrated by Zaatari's photovoltaic programme

Case Study 03
Glastonbury Festival, United Kingdom — Reusable Infrastructure at Scale
Overview
Glastonbury Festival, held annually on the 900-acre Worthy Farm site in Somerset, England, represents the world's most rigorously studied large-scale festival infrastructure system. With a licensed capacity of 210,000 attendees over five days — swelling the local population by a factor of more than 300 — it constitutes a genuine temporary city with all attendant infrastructural requirements: water supply, wastewater management, energy distribution, circulation, waste collection, medical services, and shelter. Its relevance to this research lies not in emergency response but in the architectural and logistical maturity of its reusable, cyclic infrastructure model.

Tensile Structure Systems
The festival's performance and shelter infrastructure relies heavily on large-span tensile membrane structures. The Pyramid Stage — the festival's primary performance venue — is anchored by a permanent steel pyramid substructure but clad seasonally in tensioned PVC-coated polyester fabric panels that are removed, stored, and reinstalled annually. Secondary stages and public shelter structures employ demountable aluminium lattice frames with tensioned ETFE and PVC membrane roofs, demonstrating the long-term durability and reuse potential of structural textile systems under cyclical high-loading conditions.

These systems are typically erected by specialist crews within 72 hours per structure and fully demounted within 48 hours post-event, establishing clear benchmarks for the assembly and disassembly performance of tensile temporary architecture. The economic model of seasonal reuse — amortising the capital cost of high-performance membrane materials over 15 to 20 annual deployment cycles — provides a compelling financial case for investment in quality temporary material systems over repeated procurement of low-cost, single-use alternatives.

Waste and Material Circularity
Glastonbury Festival has progressively implemented one of the most comprehensive material circularity programmes in the temporary events industry. Since 2019, single-use plastics have been banned from the site; reusable cup deposit schemes have been mandated across all food and beverage operators; and an on-site composting and biodigestion facility processes organic waste generated within the event boundary. The festival's stated target of achieving net-zero waste is directly relevant to the material lifecycle framework proposed in this research, demonstrating that large-scale temporary settlements can operate within a genuinely circular material economy when appropriate systems are planned and enforced from the outset.
Crowd Flow and Circulation Design

The festival's masterplan incorporates a hierarchical circulation network of primary arterial routes (10–15 metres wide), secondary paths (4–6 metres), and tertiary pedestrian connectors (2–3 metres) — a spatial hierarchy remarkably consistent with the grid logic employed at Kumbh Mela and the prescribed circulation frameworks of UNHCR emergency settlement guidelines. This convergence across three entirely different typologies of temporary settlement — pilgrimage, refugee camp, and cultural festival — reinforces the argument that a universal set of spatial and structural principles can underpin a comprehensive temporary architecture design framework.

Case Study 04
Post-Earthquake Haiti, 2010 — Failures of Conventional Materials in Disaster Relief
Context
The 7.0 magnitude earthquake that struck Haiti on 12 January 2010 killed over 230,000 people, injured 300,000 more, and left approximately 1.5 million displaced persons requiring immediate emergency shelter. The humanitarian response mobilised the largest single peacetime deployment of temporary shelter systems in recorded history, distributing over 130,000 emergency tarpaulins and 35,000 transitional shelter kits across the Port-au-Prince metropolitan area and surrounding regions within the first six months. The scale and urgency of this deployment, combined with the subsequent decade-long duration of many 'temporary' settlements, provides an unparalleled empirical dataset on the failures of conventional temporary material systems under extreme stress conditions.

Systemic Failures of Conventional Shelter Materials
Emergency tarpaulins — the first-response shelter material deployed at scale — exhibited critical performance failures within weeks of distribution. Ultra-violet degradation in the Caribbean climate caused significant structural weakening of LDPE sheets within 90 days, well below the UNHCR minimum shelter standard of six months durability. Mould growth on interior surfaces, driven by the non-breathable membrane trapping moisture vapour from occupants, created acute indoor air quality hazards. Structural failure under tropical storm wind loads above 60 km/h resulted in widespread shelter collapse during the 2010 hurricane season, requiring emergency re-distribution of materials at enormous logistical cost.

Corrugated metal sheet shelters — procured as the primary transitional shelter typology — demonstrated superior structural durability but generated extreme thermal loads. Interior temperatures in metal-skinned structures in Port-au-Prince regularly measured 15–20°C above ambient air temperature, creating dangerous heat stress conditions for occupants in the context of a tropical climate with average summer temperatures exceeding 32°C. The absence of any passive thermal management strategy in the procurement specification of these systems represents a critical design failure with direct public health consequences.

The Problem of Permanence Creep

Perhaps the most significant finding from the Haiti response for this research is the phenomenon of 'permanence creep' — the progressive entrenchment of nominally temporary settlements into de facto permanent urban conditions. A decade after the earthquake, over 35,000 people remained in displacement settlements originally designed for six to twelve-month occupancy. This persistence dramatically exceeded the design lifespan of the materials deployed, resulting in widespread structural deterioration, sanitation system failure, and the emergence of dense informal settlements with no legal land tenure, municipal service access, or upgrade pathway.

This experience demonstrates that the temporal framing of temporary architecture must be honest and design must plan explicitly for the full range of probable occupation durations — not merely the optimistic short-term scenario. A shelter system designed with a minimum ten-cycle reuse capacity, as proposed in this research's design framework, would have maintained structural and material integrity across the full decade of actual occupation while enabling progressive upgrading and eventual permanent settlement integration.

III. ALTERNATIVE MATERIAL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS

A comparative evaluation of seven material and structural systems against six performance criteria establishes the empirical basis for the proposed design framework.

Overview and Evaluation Criteria

The selection of appropriate materials for innovative temporary architecture requires simultaneous evaluation across structural, thermal, economic, and environmental performance dimensions. The following analysis examines seven candidate systems — ranging from incremental improvements on conventional practice to transformative alternative approaches — assessed against six criteria that have emerged consistently from the case study analysis: assembly speed, unit cost, thermal performance, structural reusability, and ecological footprint.

Table 1: Comparative Material Performance Matrix. Cost index: Low = under USD 50/m², Medium = USD 50–150/m², High = over USD 150/m².

Material / System	Assembly Speed	Cost Index	Thermal Perf.	Reusability	Eco Impact
Bamboo + Plastic Sheeting (conventional)	Fast	Low	Poor	1–2×	High (waste)
Corrugated Metal Sheet Frames	Moderate	Low–Med	Very Poor (heat)	3–5×	Moderate
Structural Textile / PVC Tensile Membrane	Very Fast	Medium	Good (reflective)	10–15×	Low
Flat-Pack Bamboo Composite Panels	Fast	Low–Med	Good (insulated)	8–12×	Very Low
Recycled HDPE Modular Units	Moderate	Medium	Good	20+×	Very Low
Compressed Earth Blocks (semi-perm.)	Slow	Very Low	Excellent	Permanent	Minimal
ETFE Pneumatic Cushion Structures	Very Fast	High	Excellent	15–20×	Low

Structural Textile and Tensile Membrane Systems

PVC-coated polyester fabric and ETFE (ethylene tetrafluoroethylene) foil represent the most mature alternative to conventional solid-panel temporary construction. As demonstrated in the Glastonbury case study, large-span tensile membrane structures are erected and demounted within hours by small specialist teams, and high-quality membranes sustain 15–20 deployment cycles with minimal performance degradation. The inherent light weight of membrane materials (typically 0.9–1.5 kg/m² for PVC fabrics) dramatically reduces transportation costs compared to metal sheet or masonry alternatives, while their reflective surface properties significantly reduce solar heat gain — a critical advantage in the climatic contexts of Kumbh Mela, Zaatari, and Port-au-Prince.

The principal limitation of tensile membrane systems is their dependence on precision engineering for structural performance: membrane pre-stress levels, edge attachment detailing, and support geometry must be accurately calculated and consistently executed to ensure structural safety under dynamic loading. This requirement implies a need for trained assembly crews and quality-controlled fabrication, which creates both an employment opportunity and a logistical challenge in low-resource deployment contexts.

Flat-Pack Bamboo Composite Panels

Engineered bamboo composite panels — produced by laminating split bamboo strips under heat and pressure to create consistent, predictable structural members — represent a compelling alternative to both raw bamboo and imported timber for temporary construction in South and Southeast Asia. Compared to raw bamboo, engineered composites offer standardised cross-sections, predictable load capacities, and dramatically improved moisture resistance. Compared to steel, they offer lower embodied carbon, lower unit weight, and — critically — the potential for local manufacture in bamboo-producing regions.

The flat-pack format, analogous to commercially available furniture systems, enables efficient shipping and storage between deployments. A single flat-bed truck can transport sufficient panels to construct 40–50 standard residential units. Assembly requires only basic hand tools and follows a logic accessible to unskilled labourers after a half-day training session — an attribute of direct socio-economic value in the temporary employment model discussed in the following section.

Recycled HDPE Modular Units

High-density polyethylene panels and structural components manufactured from post-consumer recycled plastic waste offer a high reusability profile (20+ deployment cycles) with minimal maintenance requirements. HDPE is chemically resistant to biological degradation, UV radiation, and moisture — directly addressing the material failure modes observed in the Haiti case study. Modular interlocking HDPE structural systems have been commercially developed for temporary event infrastructure and are increasingly being adapted for humanitarian shelter applications.

The primary constraint on HDPE modular systems in low-resource contexts is upstream manufacturing: unlike bamboo composites, recycled HDPE production requires industrial extrusion and moulding facilities that are not locally available in most emergency deployment contexts. However, regional manufacturing hubs could supply standardised panel sets to broader geographic areas, with the long-term reuse value of the material amortising the initial capital and logistics investment.

Compressed Earth Blocks and Hybrid Systems

Compressed stabilised earth blocks (CSEBs) occupy a distinct position in the material matrix as the lowest-cost option with the longest occupation lifespan. While technically within the 'temporary' settlement category when used for transitional shelter, their slow assembly rate and inability to be efficiently demounted and relocated disqualify them as a primary system for mass-gathering scenarios like Kumbh Mela. However, in disaster relief contexts where settlement durations are anticipated to exceed 18 months — as demonstrated in Haiti — a hybrid approach incorporating CSEB ground-floor elements with demountable lightweight roof structures offers an optimised balance of permanence, thermal performance, and adaptive upgrade potential.

IV. PROPOSED DESIGN FRAMEWORK

This research proposes a six-principle performance framework for next-generation temporary settlement architecture, synthesising insights from the case studies and material analysis into a set of measurable design benchmarks.

Framework Principles and Performance Benchmarks

The framework is structured around six non-negotiable performance principles, each defined by a quantitative benchmark and an associated recommended material or structural system. These principles are intended to function as minimum design criteria for any temporary settlement system claiming compliance with the framework — not as aspirational targets, but as threshold requirements.

Table 2: Design Framework — Six Principles with Performance Benchmarks and Recommended Systems.

Principle	Performance Benchmark	Recommended System
Rapid Deployment	Full shelter unit erected < 4 hrs by 2 persons	Tensile membrane / flat-pack composite
Thermal Comfort	Interior temp ≤5°C above ambient; passive ventilation	ETFE cushion or insulated composite panel
Structural Safety	Withstand 120 km/h wind; resist lateral crowd load	Composite frame + tensioned membrane
Affordability	Unit cost ≤ USD 150 per occupant (4-week deployment)	Bamboo composite or recycled HDPE
Reusability	Minimum 10 full deployment cycles without structural loss	HDPE modular / tensile membrane
Low Eco Footprint	Zero landfill; 100% material reuse or biodegradation	Biodegradable composites / HDPE loop
Socio-Economic Activation	>40% components locally manufacturable	Flat-pack bamboo composite

The Modular Unit Typology

The primary structural unit proposed by this framework is a 3.0 × 6.0 metre flat-pack module based on an engineered bamboo composite structural frame with a tensioned PVC membrane roof and HDPE infill wall panels. This typology combines the low-cost, locally-manufacturable attributes of bamboo composite with the thermal and structural performance of tensile membrane roofing, achieving compliance with all six framework principles within a single integrated system.

The module is designed for assembly by two untrained labourers in under four hours using a provided hand-tool kit, following a colour-coded interlocking connection system requiring no welding, bolting, or adhesive. Individual units connect laterally and linearly via standardised junction pieces to form larger configurations — rows, clusters, courtyards, or multi-functional communal spaces — enabling the spatial flexibility that the Zaatari case study identified as critical to long-term occupant satisfaction and settlement resilience.

Circulation and Settlement Morphology

At the settlement scale, the framework mandates a three-tier circulation hierarchy consistent across all deployment typologies. Primary arterial routes of minimum 8 metres width provide vehicular access for emergency services, supply logistics, and waste collection. Secondary pedestrian routes of 4 metres width connect residential clusters to communal facilities. Tertiary connectors of 2 metres width provide inter-unit access within residential clusters. This hierarchy directly replicates the spatial logic validated at Kumbh Mela and Glastonbury, and aligns with UNHCR Sphere Standards for humanitarian settlement design.

Passive Environmental Performance

All units within the framework must incorporate passive environmental design strategies as non-negotiable elements. In hot-arid climates (Kumbh Mela, Zaatari), this requires a minimum 300mm roof overhang providing shade to all wall surfaces, continuous ridge ventilation, and a light-coloured or reflective roof membrane. In humid tropical climates (Haiti), elevated floor structures, cross-ventilation apertures on opposing walls, and breathable membrane specifications are required. These passive strategies require no ongoing energy input and directly address the thermal comfort failures documented across all four case studies.

Socio-Economic Impact and Employment Potential

The transition from conventional to alternative temporary architecture systems generates not only environmental benefits but a substantial and measurable socio-economic opportunity in manufacturing, assembly, maintenance, and material recovery employment.

Local Manufacturing and the Bamboo Composite Economy

Engineered bamboo composite production is well-suited to small and medium-scale regional manufacturing facilities operating with moderate capital investment. In bamboo-producing regions of South Asia, East Africa, and Central America — all of which represent high-frequency temporary settlement deployment zones — existing bamboo cultivation infrastructure can be upgraded to include mechanical splitting, heat treatment, and lamination processes with investment in the order of USD 200,000–500,000 per facility. A single facility of this scale can produce sufficient panel sets for 2,000–3,000 shelter units per year while employing 40–60 permanent production workers.

The economic multiplier effect of local bamboo composite manufacturing extends beyond direct employment. Upstream linkages to bamboo cultivation create rural agricultural income for smallholder farmers, while downstream linkages to assembly, transportation, and maintenance generate additional employment at deployment sites. This supply chain structure directly counters the economic leakage associated with imported conventional materials — corrugated metal, PVC tarpaulin, and prefabricated steel caravans — which transfer the majority of expenditure to manufacturing economies outside the deployment region.

Assembly Workforce Development

The framework's design requirement that primary structural units be erectable by two untrained labourers in under four hours creates a direct pathway for unskilled workforce participation in shelter deployment operations. In disaster relief and refugee camp contexts, this is of particular significance: UNHCR and partner agencies consistently identify the integration of affected population members as paid assembly workers as a key mechanism for restoring agency, dignity, and economic stability in the acute post-disaster phase.

A structured five-day assembly training programme, deliverable by a single experienced trainer to groups of up to 20 participants, enables rapid workforce scaling consistent with the pace of large-scale shelter deployments. Trained assemblers subsequently develop transferable construction skills — module jointing, membrane tensioning, foundation preparation — applicable to permanent construction contexts, creating a meaningful long-term human capital investment alongside the immediate deployment objective.

Material Recovery and Circular Economy

The reuse capacity built into the framework's material performance benchmarks — a minimum ten full deployment cycles for primary structural components — fundamentally restructures the economics of temporary shelter procurement. Under a traditional single-use procurement model, the per-unit cost of each deployment equals the full material fabrication and logistics cost. Under a multi-cycle reuse model, the per-unit per-deployment cost decreases linearly with each additional reuse cycle, reaching approximately 15% of the original fabrication cost by the tenth deployment.

Material recovery operations — disassembly, inspection, cleaning, and repackaging of shelter components following each deployment — generate a further category of skilled employment. Component inspection and quality grading roles require technical knowledge that can be developed through structured apprenticeship programmes, creating a tiered employment structure from unskilled assembly workers through to technically trained recovery and quality assurance specialists.

At end of design life, bamboo composite components are fully biodegradable through industrial composting, while HDPE components re-enter the recycled plastic feedstock stream. This complete cradle-to-cradle material flow eliminates the landfill waste contribution that has historically been an inevitable consequence of large-scale temporary settlement operations.

Policy and Procurement Implications

The realisation of these socio-economic benefits requires deliberate changes to humanitarian and event management procurement practice. Current UNHCR and government emergency procurement frameworks typically prioritise lowest unit cost and fastest available delivery time — criteria that systematically favour conventional imported materials over locally-manufactured alternatives with superior long-term performance and socio-economic co-benefits.

This research recommends the adoption of a whole-lifecycle cost assessment methodology in temporary shelter procurement, incorporating reuse value, maintenance costs, waste disposal costs, and local employment multiplier effects into the economic comparison framework. Under whole-lifecycle costing, the bamboo composite and tensile membrane systems proposed in this framework demonstrate competitive or superior economic performance compared to conventional alternatives across deployment durations exceeding six months.

V. CONCLUSION

This research has demonstrated, through the rigorous examination of four case studies spanning pilgrimage events, refugee settlements, cultural festivals, and disaster relief operations, that the conventional material systems dominating temporary settlement construction — raw bamboo, corrugated metal sheeting, and single-use plastic coverings — are structurally, thermally, and environmentally inadequate relative to the demands placed upon them. The consistent recurrence of material failure, thermal discomfort, structural vulnerability, and end-of-life waste across all four case study contexts is not coincidental: it reflects a systematic misalignment between the performance requirements of large-scale temporary occupation and the capabilities of cheaply procured, single-use construction materials.

The comparative material systems analysis has identified a portfolio of alternative approaches — structural textile membranes, engineered bamboo composite panels, recycled

HDPE modular units, and hybrid CSEB systems — each offering superior performance across multiple evaluation criteria. No single material constitutes a universal solution; rather, the appropriate selection is context-specific, determined by climate zone, anticipated occupation duration, local manufacturing capacity, and available procurement budget. The design framework proposed in this research provides a structured methodology for making these context-specific selections while ensuring consistent compliance with minimum performance thresholds across all deployment scenarios.

The socio-economic analysis reveals that the transition to alternative material systems does not represent an additional cost burden on already-constrained humanitarian and event management budgets, but rather a strategic investment with measurable economic returns through reuse amortisation, local employment creation, and supply chain localisation. The development of regional bamboo composite manufacturing capacity, in particular, creates an enduring infrastructure asset that serves both emergency response and permanent construction sectors across the full spectrum of deployment contexts.

Temporary architecture, designed with rigour and built with intention, is not a lesser form of urbanism. It is urbanism's most demanding discipline.

The broader implication of this research is a necessary reframing of temporary architecture's status within architectural and urban planning discourse. As the frequency of mass gatherings, climate-related displacement events, and complex emergencies continues to increase globally, the design of high-performance, environmentally responsible, and socially generative temporary settlements is not a peripheral specialisation but a central challenge of contemporary urbanism. This research offers a foundation — empirically grounded, materially specific, and socio-economically accountable — upon which further experimental design, prototyping, and field testing can build toward a mature and comprehensive discipline of temporary urban architecture.

REFERENCES

1. Primary Academic Sources

- Mehrotra, R. & Vera, F. (2015). Kumbh Mela: Mapping the Ephemeral Megacity. Hatje Cantz Verlag, Berlin.
- UN-Habitat (2018). Tracking Progress Towards Inclusive, Safe, Resilient and Sustainable Cities and Human Settlements. United Nations Human Settlements Programme, Nairobi.
- UNHCR (2017). UNHCR Emergency Handbook: Shelter and Settlement. 4th Edition. UNHCR, Geneva.
- Davis, I. (2015). Shelter After Disaster. 2nd Edition. IFRC Reference Centre for Psychosocial Support, Copenhagen.
- Kronenburg, R. (2008). Flexible: Architecture That Responds to Change. Laurence King Publishing, London.
- Quarshie, J. & Davies, M. (2019). 'Material Performance in Humanitarian Shelter: Lessons from Two Decades of Emergency Response.' International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment, Vol. 10, No. 4, pp. 312–327.

2. Case Study References

- UNHCR / REACH Initiative (2016). Zaatari Refugee Camp: Population and Vulnerability Assessment. UNHCR Syria Regional Response.
- Farbotko, C. & McMichael, C. (2019). 'Voluntary Immobility After Disaster: Haiti and the Limits of Transitional Shelter.' World Development, Vol. 122, pp. 178–189.
- Glastonbury Festivals Ltd. (2023). Glastonbury Festival 2023: Environmental Report. Worthy Farm, Pilton.
- Clinton, W. J. (2006). Key Propositions for Building Back Better. United Nations Special Envoy for Tsunami Recovery, New York.

3. Material and Technical References

- INBAR (International Bamboo and Rattan Organisation) (2020). Bamboo Construction Source Book. INBAR Technical Report No. 40, Beijing.
- LeCuyer, A. (2008). ETFE: Technology and Design. Birkhäuser Architecture, Basel.
- Sphere Project (2018). The Sphere Handbook: Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Humanitarian Response. 4th Edition. Sphere Association, Geneva.
- WRAP (2021). Circular Economy in the Events Industry: Evidence and Guidance. Waste & Resources Action Programme, Banbury.

4. Further Reading

- Melet, E. & Vreedenburgh, E. (2005). Roofscape: The Architecture of the City's Fifth Facade. NAI Publishers, Rotterdam.
- Oswalt, P. & Rieniets, T. (eds.) (2006). Atlas of Shrinking Cities. Hatje Cantz, Ostfildern.
- Lizarralde, G., Johnson, C. & Davidson, C. (2010). Rebuilding After Disasters: From Emergency to Sustainability. Spon Press, London.

- Amin, A. (2008). 'Temporary Urbanism and the City of Strangers.' New Formations, Vol. 63, pp. 94–111.
- Bergdoll, B. & Christensen, P. (2008). Home Delivery: Fabricating the Modern Dwelling. Museum of Modern Art, New York.