International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends
Volume 11, Issue 4, Jul-Aug-2025, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X

# Development of a Solar-Driven Self-Navigating Vacuum Robot: Design, Implementation, and Analysis

**Bantu Tejasr, K. Shree Vatsal, Dharavath Mahesh, Assistant Professor Dr. Sukanth T.**
Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Chaitanya Bharathi Institute of Technology
Hyderabad, India

**Abstract- — This paper presents the design and practical implementation of a solar-driven, self-navigating vacuum robot intended for use in indoor settings. The system harnesses photovoltaic energy to eliminate grid dependency, uses a multi-sensor arrangement for real-time obstacle detection, and incorporates an Arduino Mega 2560 microcontroller for centralized decision-making. The prototype was subjected to rigorous testing across multiple indoor scenarios, where it recorded a 97% obstacle detection accuracy, approximately 94% cleaning coverage, and a continuous runtime of 60–70 minutes following a 3–4 hour solar charge. The outcomes confirm that merging renewable energy with embedded robotics yields a cost-effective and sustainable alternative to conventional cleaning appliances.**

**Keywords— photovoltaic power, mobile cleaning robot, embedded control, obstacle avoidance, ultrasonic sensing, green energy.**

## I. INTRODUCTION

The growing demand for smart home solutions has accelerated research into robotic devices capable of performing repetitive domestic tasks autonomously. Vacuum cleaning, a routine but labor-intensive chore, is a prime candidate for automation. While commercial robotic cleaners have entered the market, their heavy reliance on wall socket charging introduces practical limitations—particularly in rural and semi-urban areas where electricity supply may be unreliable or expensive.

Photovoltaic technology presents a compelling pathway toward energy-independent robotic systems. Monocrystalline solar panels, paired with efficient Li-ion storage, can sustain moderate power loads across extended operational periods without any external electricity input. When integrated with proximity sensors and a capable microcontroller, such a platform can support fully autonomous operation in structured indoor environments.

This study documents the end-to-end development of such a system—covering hardware selection, software logic, mechanical assembly, and empirical performance evaluation. The principal objective is to verify whether solar-powered autonomous cleaning can achieve competitive performance metrics while remaining accessible and affordable for typical household use.

### Research Objectives

- Construct a functional vacuum robot that derives its operating power entirely from a solar panel and rechargeable battery combination.
- Realize real-time, collision-free navigation using ultrasonic and infrared proximity detectors.
- Benchmark the robot's practical performance across runtime, area coverage, and obstacle-avoidance fidelity.

## II. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

### 1. Solar Energy in Mobile Robotic Platforms

Solar integration in mobile robotics has evolved considerably over the past decade. Patil (2021) showed that attaching photovoltaic modules to wheeled robots substantially extends operational windows by decoupling power from the grid. Complementary findings by Arjun and Prasad (2020) established that solar charging is practically viable for low-power autonomous devices, yielding continuous energy supply under typical ambient conditions. Zhao and Kim (2018) further demonstrated that solar-assisted household devices reduce cumulative electricity consumption, thereby offering lasting cost advantages over grid-dependent counterparts.

### 2. Sensor-Based Navigation Strategies

Collision-free mobility in autonomous robots hinges on the accuracy and responsiveness of the sensing subsystem. Gupta et al. (2020) validated the use of ultrasonic sensors for indoor obstacle detection, noting their reliability across varying surface materials. Research by Savaresi (2022) added that structured grid-based path planning—wherein the robot systematically covers predefined spatial cells—achieves superior area coverage compared to random or reactive navigation schemes. Lin and Hsu (2016) reinforced this by showing that heterogeneous sensor arrays combining ultrasonic, infrared, and bump detectors provide enhanced situational awareness in constrained spaces.

### 3. Embedded Control and Wireless Communication

Microcontroller-centric architectures have proven effective for coordinating robotic subsystems in real time. Singh et al. (2019) reported that Arduino-based control platforms deliver adequate processing throughput for sensor polling, motor control, and state-machine execution. Brockman et al. (2014) emphasized that coupling sensor feedback with adaptive movement algorithms improves both the precision and reliability of autonomous navigation. On the communication side, Chuang (2020) and Dyakov and Wipplinger (2020) highlighted Bluetooth-enabled interfaces as a practical means of maintaining user oversight without compromising the robot's autonomous operation.

### 4. Vacuum Suction Mechanism Design

The mechanical design of the cleaning subsystem is equally critical to overall robot performance. Tun (2019) analyzed compact DC vacuum assemblies and identified nozzle geometry, airflow velocity, and filter design as the primary factors governing dust intake efficiency. Rao et al. (2021) extended this work by demonstrating that motor specification and dust-chamber architecture significantly affect particle retention and power consumption. Takayama (2016) concluded that synergizing efficient suction with intelligent movement outperforms conventional handheld vacuuming in terms of coverage uniformity.

## III.. SYSTEM DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

### 1 Architectural Overview

The robot is organized around seven tightly coupled functional modules:

- **Solar Energy Module –** Photovoltaic panel responsible for primary power generation.
- **Power Management Module –** Charge controller and battery ensuring regulated energy delivery.
- **Control Module –** Arduino Mega 2560 serving as the central processing unit.
- **Perception Module –** Ultrasonic and IR sensors providing environmental awareness.
- **Drive Module –** Differential-drive DC motors enabling omnidirectional ground mobility.
- **Cleaning Module –** High-speed suction motor paired with a cyclonic dust chamber.
- **Communication Module –** HC-05 Bluetooth module enabling wireless user control.

A shared 12 V DC bus links all modules, with voltage regulators providing stable 5 V rails for the microcontroller and sensor array.

### 2. Solar Power Subsystem

A 12 V, 10 W monocrystalline panel serves as the primary energy source, feeding a charge controller that protects a 12 V Li-ion battery from overcharge and deep discharge. Monocrystalline cells were selected for their superior conversion efficiency and reliable output under partially cloudy skies. The battery acts as an energy reservoir, smoothing transient load fluctuations caused by motor startup and sensor sampling.

### 3. Perception Subsystem

Three HC-SR04 ultrasonic transducers are mounted at 0°, 90°, and 270° around the robot's perimeter, enabling simultaneous forward, left-flank, and right-flank distance measurements across a range of 2 to 400 cm. Infrared modules supplement this capability by detecting floor boundaries and stair edges. An optional light-dependent resistor can be engaged for solar-panel orientation feedback. All sensors are polled at 50–100 ms intervals to ensure responsive obstacle avoidance.

### 4. Control Logic and Navigation Algorithm

The firmware, written in Embedded C under the Arduino IDE, implements a Finite State Machine (FSM) governing the following operational states: Move Forward, Obstacle Detected, Turn Left, Turn Right, Reverse, and Resume Path. Grid-based coverage logic maintains a virtual cell map of the cleaning area, preventing repeated traversal and maximizing spatial efficiency. The suction motor is activated automatically upon forward motion and deactivated during turning maneuvers to conserve power.

### 5. Drive and Cleaning Subsystems

Rear-wheel differential drive—powered by two 12 V geared DC motors through an L298N H-bridge driver—enables forward, backward, and in-place rotation. A front castor wheel ensures structural balance. The suction assembly comprises a 12 V motor rated at 18,000–22,000 RPM, a shaped inlet nozzle for ground contact, a cyclonic collection chamber with approximately 200–300 ml capacity, and a mesh filter to prevent fine particle re-emission.

**6. Assembly and Fabrication**

The chassis, machined from circular acrylic sheet (25–30 cm diameter, 10–12 cm height), provides a compact and lightweight platform (1.2–1.5 kg). The solar panel is roof-mounted atop the chassis; the battery and control electronics occupy the interior; and sensors are secured at their designated angular positions. Wiring follows a star topology with a common ground rail. Post-assembly checks include sensor calibration, motor direction verification, and suction airflow measurement.

## IV. TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

Table 1: Electrical Specifications

| Component | Specification |
|---|---|
| Solar Panel | 12 V, 10 W monocrystalline PV module |
| Energy Storage | 12 V Li-ion battery, 2200–3000 mAh |
| Motor Driver | L298N Dual H-Bridge IC |
| Microcontroller | Arduino Mega 2560 |
| Suction Motor | 12 V DC, 18,000–22,000 RPM |
| Drive Motors | 12 V DC geared (150–300 RPM) |
| Proximity Sensors | HC-SR04 ultrasonic + IR modules |
| Wireless Module | HC-05 Bluetooth |
| Supply Voltage | 12 V DC bus (5 V regulated for MCU/sensors) |

Table 2: Mechanical Specifications

| Feature | Specification |
|---|---|
| Chassis Material | Acrylic / ABS plastic |
| Form Factor | Circular disc |
| Diameter | 25–30 cm |
| Overall Height | 10–12 cm |
| Mass | 1.2–1.5 kg |
| Locomotion Type | Differential drive |
| Wheel Diameter | 65 mm rubber wheels |
| Dust Chamber Volume | 200–300 ml |

Table 3: Software & Control Specifications

| Parameter | Details |
|---|---|
| Programming Language | Embedded C (Arduino IDE 2.x) |
| Path-Planning Method | Grid-based coverage + reactive obstacle avoidance |
| Communication Protocol | Serial UART + Bluetooth SPP |
| Control Architecture | Finite State Machine (FSM) |
| Sensor Polling Interval | 50–100 ms |

Table 4: Measured Performance Metrics

| Metric | |
|---|---|
| Continuous Runtime (full charge) | 60–70 minutes |
| Solar Recharge Duration | 3–4 hours (standard sunlight) |
| Obstacle Detection Range | 2–400 cm (effective to 120 cm indoors) |
| Area Coverage Efficiency | ~94% |
| Obstacle Detection Accuracy | ~97% |
| Bluetooth Control Range | Up to 12 metres |

## V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**1. Solar Charging and Runtime**

Under direct outdoor sunlight, the Li-ion battery reached full charge within 3 to 4 hours. Each charge cycle supported 60–70 minutes of uninterrupted indoor operation, confirming that the photovoltaic-battery tandem can sustain typical room-cleaning sessions without supplementary grid power.

**2. Obstacle Avoidance Performance**

Across multiple test environments—including furnished living rooms and office layouts—the robot achieved a 97% obstacle detection accuracy. Successful path corrections were executed reliably whenever objects were encountered within 120 cm. The three-sensor perimeter arrangement proved effective in eliminating blind spots on lateral approaches.

### 3. Cleaning Coverage and Suction Efficiency

Coverage trials on tile, marble, and vinyl flooring yielded an average spatial coverage efficiency of 94%. The cyclonic dust chamber effectively retained fine particles, and the mesh filter prevented re-emission, maintaining air quality throughout operation. The chamber's 200–300 ml capacity was sufficient for complete cleaning of a small-to-medium sized room between emptying cycles.

### 4. Wireless Control

Bluetooth communication remained stable across distances up to 12 metres, enabling smooth manual override at any point during autonomous operation. Response latency was imperceptible under normal usage conditions.

### Advantages and Application Scenarios

### Key Advantages

- Zero electricity cost during operation due to full solar dependency.
- Minimal user intervention required during cleaning cycles.
- Compact and lightweight form factor facilitating easy relocation.
- No combustion or chemical emissions; ecologically benign operation.
- Component-level construction enables straightforward maintenance and part replacement.
- Substantially lower unit cost compared to commercially available smart cleaners.

### Suitable Deployment Contexts

- Private households and residential apartments.
- Corporate offices and open-plan workspaces.
- Hostel facilities and shared dormitories.
- Educational institutions such as classrooms and libraries.
- Small retail and commercial indoor environments.
- Integration into broader smart-home automation ecosystems.

## VI. CONCLUSION

This study has successfully demonstrated the feasibility of a solar-driven autonomous vacuum robot that operates independently of grid electricity. By integrating a monocrystalline photovoltaic module, an Arduino Mega-based control system, a multi-sensor navigation array, and an efficient suction mechanism, the prototype achieved industry-relevant performance benchmarks in obstacle avoidance and cleaning coverage. The results validate the premise that renewable energy and embedded robotics can be combined into a practical, affordable, and environmentally responsible domestic cleaning solution.

Future development directions include the adoption of LiDAR-based simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM), enhanced suction system design for improved particle capture, IoT-enabled remote monitoring via Wi-Fi, and the addition of an autonomous docking station for hands-free battery recharging—collectively extending the system toward a fully unattended cleaning appliance.

## REFERENCES

1. N. Tun, "Design and Fabrication of a Compact DC Vacuum Mechanism," IRE Journal, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 58–64, 2019.
2. R. R. Patil, "Solar-Assisted Automatic Ground-Cutting Robot," TechRxiv, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.36227/techrxiv.14906673.v1.
3. A. Arjun and S. Prasad, "Evaluation of Solar Charging in Low-Power Autonomous Robotic Systems," Int. J. Renew. Energy Res., vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 553–560, 2020.
4. Y. Zhao and J. Kim, "Solar-Assisted Domestic Automation: An Energy Consumption Review," Renew. Energy, vol. 120, pp. 472–482, 2018.
5. R. Gupta, A. Verma, and S. Kumar, "Real-Time Obstacle Avoidance Using Ultrasonic Sensing in Mobile Robots," Int. J. Eng. Technol., vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 45–50, 2020.
6. S. M. Savaresi, "Autonomous Robotic Platforms for Structured Outdoor Tasks," Politecnico di Milano, 2022.
7. C. Lin and Y. Hsu, "Multi-Sensor Fusion for Indoor Autonomous Navigation," IEEE Sens. J., vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 4639–4648, 2016.
8. K. Singh, P. Kumar, and R. Sharma, "Arduino-Based Control Architectures for Smart Robotic Utilities," IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 112345–112356, 2019.
9. A. Chuang, "Wireless Interaction Interfaces for Indoor Service Robots," Robotics Autonomous Syst., vol. 33, 2020.
10. N. Dyakov and E. Wipplinger, "Remote-Control Capability in Indoor Service Robots," Emerg. Technol. Rev., vol. 45, pp. 100–115, 2020.
11. K. Rao, M. Reddy, and P. Babu, "Motor Selection and Dust-Chamber Optimization for Robotic Vacuum Systems," Int. J. Mech. Eng. Rob. Res., vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 67–74, 2021.