International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends
Volume 12, Issue 2, Mar-Apr-2026, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X

Rewiring Nigeria’s Energy Future: Blockchain and the possibility of Peer-to-Peer Electricity Trading

O.B Ayoko
Member, IET

Abstract- — Blockchain technology is reshaping how electricity can be produced, traded, and governed, offering new possibilities for countries grappling with unreliable grids and persistent supply gaps. This paper investigates the emergence of blockchain-enabled peer-to-peer (P2P) energy trading, using Nigeria as a lens to explore how decentralized digital infrastructure could redefine participation in electricity markets. Drawing on parallels with the rapid digitalization of financial services, the study examines how distributed ledger systems can support direct energy exchange between prosumers, shift utilities toward roles as market custodians, and improve system trust through transparent, tamper-proof transaction records. The analysis evaluates regulatory readiness, technical prerequisites, and socioeconomic impacts within Nigeria’s evolving energy ecosystem, where chronic shortages and grid instability create both urgency and opportunity for alternative market models. The findings highlight the potential for P2P trading to accelerate energy access, stimulate local investment, and catalyse a more resilient, consumer-centric electricity sector.

Index Terms—Blockchain technology, Power distribution, peer-to-peer energy trading, digital technology, distributed energy resources, Nigeria electricity market, smart contracts, energy tokenization.

I. INTRODUCTION

The global energy sector stands at a transformative juncture where technological innovation intersects with urgent sustainability imperatives. Traditional centralized electricity distribution models are being challenged by decentralized systems that empower consumers to become producers—or prosumers—capable of generating, storing, and trading energy [1]. Nigeria, Africa's largest economy and most populous nation, exemplifies the challenges facing developing countries in energy provision while simultaneously presenting unique opportunities for leapfrogging conventional infrastructure through blockchain-enabled trading platforms [2].

The proposition that "energy may become the currency of the next century" reflects more than metaphorical speculation; it represents a fundamental reconceptualization of electricity as a tradeable commodity in peer-to-peer marketplaces facilitated by distributed ledger technologies [3]. Just as mobile banking revolutionized financial inclusion in Sub-Saharan Africa through platforms like M-Pesa, blockchain-based energy trading could democratize access to reliable electricity while creating new economic opportunities for Nigerian households and businesses [4].

Nigeria's electricity sector faces persistent challenges including generation capacity shortfalls, transmission losses exceeding 30%, unreliable grid infrastructure, and approximately 85 million citizens without access to electricity [5]. These conditions have driven substantial investment in off-grid solar systems, diesel generators, and distributed renewable energy resources, creating an inadvertent foundation for P2P trading ecosystems [6]. This paper examines how blockchain technology can transform fragmented energy resources into an integrated, efficient marketplace where utilities evolve from monopolistic distributors into custodial service providers within a transparent, regulator-supervised framework.

Key Metrics: Nigeria's Energy Landscape

- ~85 million Nigerians lack access to electricity (IEA, 2023)
- Grid generation: 3,000–5,000 MW against demand exceeding 20,000 MW
- Transmission losses: >30% of generated electricity
- Annual expenditure on diesel backup generators: ~$14 billion
- Grid unreliability costs: estimated 2–3% of GDP annually (World Bank, 2021)
- Mobile phone penetration: >85% a key enabler for digital energy platforms

II. BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY AND ENERGY TRADING: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A. Blockchain Fundamentals in Energy Applications

Blockchain technology operates as an immutable, distributed ledger that records transactions across multiple nodes without requiring centralized intermediaries [7]. In energy applications, blockchain enables automated, transparent transactions through smart contracts-executing agreements with terms directly written into code [8]. These characteristics address critical challenges in traditional energy markets: information asymmetry, transaction costs, settlement delays, and trust deficits between parties [9].

The architecture typically involves tokenization of energy units, whereby kilowatt-hours become digital assets tradeable on blockchain platforms [10]. Smart meters provide real-time generation and consumption data to the blockchain, enabling automated matching of supply and demand between prosumers [11]. This infrastructure creates what Hassan et al. [12] describes as "transactive energy systems" where market participants autonomously negotiate prices and execute trades based on predetermined algorithms and preferences.

B. From Financial Parallels to Energy Markets

The evolution of cashless transactions provides instructive parallels for understanding potential energy trading transformations. Digital financial services demonstrated that decentralized networks could provide efficient, reliable, and traceable transactions superior to cash-based systems [13]. Nigeria's rapid adoption of mobile money platforms, with transaction values exceeding ₦500 trillion annually, illustrates the population's receptiveness to digital transaction systems [14].

Applying this framework to energy markets suggests that utilities could transition from direct electricity sellers to custodial service providers analogous to banks safeguarding financial assets while actual energy trading occurs peer-to-peer between users [15]. This model preserves infrastructure management expertise while democratizing market participation. Independent regulators would monitor transactions, ensure fair pricing, levy service charges, and maintain system stability, similar to financial regulatory bodies overseeing banking operations [16].

III. THE NIGERIAN CONTEXT: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

A. Current Energy Landscape

Nigeria's electricity sector underwent privatization in 2013 yet remains characterized by systemic inefficiencies. Generation capacity fluctuates between 3,000–5,000 MW against estimated demand exceeding 20,000 MW [17]. Distribution companies (DisCos) struggle with collection losses, aging infrastructure, and limited investment capital [18]. Consequently, households and businesses spend approximately $14 billion annually on backup generators, creating significant economic waste and environmental pollution [19].

However, Nigeria possesses substantial renewable energy potential 427 GW from solar, 10 GW from wind, 14 GW from hydropower, and extensive biomass resources [20]. The declining costs of solar photovoltaic systems have driven widespread adoption of rooftop installations and mini grids, particularly in underserved regions [21]. This distributed generation infrastructure creates the physical foundation necessary for P2P trading platforms.

TABLE I
Nigeria's Renewable Energy Potential

Energy Source	Potential Capacity	Status
Solar Photovoltaic	427 GW	Rapidly growing; rooftop & mini-grid adoption
Hydropower	14 GW	Partially utilized; significant untapped capacity
Wind Energy	10 GW	Early-stage development
Biomass	Extensive	Traditional use; commercial potential emerging
Current Grid Generation	3,000–5,000 MW	Against demand >20,000 MW

B. Regulatory Environment and Market Structure

The Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission (NERC) governs electricity markets through frameworks that currently prioritize bilateral contracts and grid-based distribution [22]. However, recent regulatory developments indicate openness towards innovative market structures. The 2023 Electricity Act decentralized certain regulatory powers to states, enabling experimentation with alternative market models [23]. This legislative flexibility provides opportunities for pilot blockchain trading programs in progressive states.

The existing three-tier market structure comprising generation companies (GenCos), transmission company (TCN), and distribution companies would require fundamental reconfiguration to accommodate P2P trading [24]. Blockchain implementation could introduce a fourth layer: decentralized trading platforms where GenCos and DisCos become service providers charging fees for grid access and balancing services rather than electricity commodity sales [25].

C. Technological Infrastructure Requirements

Successful blockchain-based energy trading demands robust digital infrastructure including smart meters, reliable internet connectivity, and blockchain nodes distributed across the network [26]. Nigeria faces significant gaps in these prerequisites. Smart meter penetration remains below 15%, while internet access, though expanding rapidly, exhibits reliability issues particularly in rural areas [27].

Nevertheless, mobile phone penetration exceeds 85%, providing a ubiquitous interface for energy trading applications [28]. Lessons from mobile money implementations suggest that lightweight, mobile-optimized blockchain solutions could overcome infrastructure limitations. Moreover, Nigeria's vibrant technology sector, particularly in Lagos and Abuja, has produced successful fintech innovations demonstrating local capacity for developing blockchain applications [29].

IV. BLOCKCHAIN-ENABLED P2P ENERGY TRADING: IMPLEMENTATION MODELS

A. Technical Architecture

A functional P2P energy trading system requires integration of several technological components. At the physical layer, distributed energy resources (DERs) including rooftop solar panels, battery storage systems, and potentially electric vehicle batteries provide generation and storage capacity [30]. Smart meters equipped with bidirectional communication capabilities measure real-time energy flows and transmit data to blockchain networks [31].

The blockchain layer operates as the transaction platform where energy tokens representing kilowatt-hours are traded between participants [32]. Smart contracts automatically execute trades when buyers' bids match sellers' offers, considering factors including price, quantity, time preferences, and renewable energy preferences [33]. Consensus mechanisms potentially Proof of Authority or Proof of Stake variants optimized for energy applications validate transactions while maintaining network security [3].

B. Market Mechanisms and Price Discovery

Blockchain platforms can accommodate various market structures ranging from continuous bilateral trading to periodic auctions [34]. Double auction mechanisms, where buyers and sellers simultaneously submit bids and offers, have demonstrated efficiency in pilot projects globally [35]. Prices emerge from real-time supply-demand equilibrium, potentially incorporating factors like time-of-use, renewable energy premiums, and grid congestion [8].

For Nigerian applications, hybrid models combining P2P trading with utility backstop services would provide reliability during supply shortfalls [1]. Participants could specify preferences such as prioritizing renewable energy sources or minimizing costs, with algorithms optimizing matches accordingly. Service charges levied by utilities for grid access and balancing would be automatically calculated and collected through smart contracts [36].

C. Role Transformation: Utilities as Energy Custodians

The reconceptualization of utilities as energy custodians rather than direct sellers represents a paradigm shift comparable to banking evolution [15]. In this model, DisCos would provide critical services including grid maintenance, voltage regulation, frequency control, and settlement assurance functions requiring technical expertise that individual prosumers cannot replicate [25].

This custodial role generates revenue through service fees rather than electricity commodity margins, potentially improving cash flow predictability and aligning utility incentives with grid efficiency rather than volume sales [37]. For Nigeria's financially struggling DisCos, this model could provide sustainable business structures while reducing capital requirements for generation investments [38].

V. REGULATORY FRAMEWORK AND GOVERNANCE

A. Regulatory Adaptation Requirements

Implementing blockchain-based P2P trading necessitates comprehensive regulatory reforms addressing market access, pricing mechanisms, technical standards, consumer protection, and dispute resolution [39]. NERC would need to establish frameworks permitting retail competition while maintaining system reliability and protecting vulnerable consumers [40].

International precedents provide guidance. The European Union's Clean Energy Package explicitly recognizes prosumer rights and energy communities engaging in P2P trading [41]. Australia's regulatory framework permits bilateral energy trading with registration requirements and standardized contracts [42]. Nigeria could adapt these models while addressing local circumstances including informal settlements, limited consumer financial literacy, and weak contract enforcement mechanisms [43].

B. Role of Independent System Operators

The Transmission Company of Nigeria (TCN), operating as the system operator, would assume expanded responsibilities in blockchain-enabled markets [44]. Beyond traditional grid balancing, TCN would need to integrate distributed generation forecasts, manage congestion in distribution networks experiencing bidirectional flows, and ensure that P2P transactions respect grid constraints [45].

Real-time monitoring of blockchain transactions would enable sophisticated grid management, identify congestion patterns and implement dynamic pricing signals to encourage beneficial trading behaviours [46]. This enhanced visibility could significantly improve Nigeria's grid reliability challenges by enabling predictive maintenance and optimized dispatch [6].

VI. SOCIOECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS AND ENERGY JUSTICE

A. Financial Inclusion and Economic Empowerment

P2P energy trading could generate significant economic opportunities for Nigerian households and small businesses. Prosumers with excess generation capacity would earn income from energy sales, creating new revenue streams particularly in rural areas with abundant solar resources [4]. Research suggests that blockchain-based trading reduces transaction costs by up to 40% compared to traditional bilateral contracts, enhancing economic viability for small-scale participants [8].

However, initial investment requirements for solar installations and smart meters could exclude low-income households, potentially exacerbating energy poverty [47]. Addressing this requires targeted policies including subsidized equipment financing, community-owned energy systems, and progressive tariff structures ensuring basic access affordability [2].

B. Energy Security and Grid Resilience

Nigeria's chronic electricity unreliability costs the economy an estimated 2–3% of GDP annually [48]. Decentralized trading systems enhance resilience by distributing generation across numerous small sources rather than concentrating dependence on large, failure-prone plants [49]. During grid outages, local energy communities could maintain power through islanded microgrids coordinated via blockchain platforms [12].

This resilience carries particular significance for critical services including healthcare facilities, telecommunications infrastructure, and water treatment systems that currently rely heavily on expensive, polluting diesel generators [19]. Blockchain-enabled trading could integrate these facilities into local energy networks with priority access arrangements encoded in smart contracts [30].

VII. CHALLENGES AND MITIGATION STRATEGIES

TABLE II
Key Challenges and Mitigation Strategies for P2P Energy Trading in Nigeria

Challenges	Mitigation / Opportunities
Smart meter penetration < 15%	Phased rollout; mobile-optimized interfaces leveraging 85% phone penetration
Internet connectivity gaps in rural areas	Lightweight blockchain protocols; offline sync with periodic updates
Grid capacity shortfall (3-5 GW vs 20 GW demand)	Distributed generation diversifies supply and reduces dependence on large plants
DisCo financial constraints and aging infrastructure	Custodial model reduces capital requirements; fee-based revenue stream
Cybersecurity risks & smart contract vulnerabilities	Zero-knowledge proofs; encryption standards; consumer education programs
Energy poverty exclusion risk	Community-owned systems; subsidized financing; progressive tariff structures
Regulatory gaps for P2P frameworks	2023 Electricity Act enables state-level pilots: international precedents available

A. Technical and Infrastructure Barriers

Despite promising potential, blockchain energy trading faces substantial implementation challenges in Nigeria's context. Limited smart meter deployment requires significant infrastructure investment estimated at $2–3 billion for nationwide coverage [22]. Internet connectivity issues could disrupt transaction processing, necessitating hybrid systems that operate partially offline with periodic blockchain synchronization [26].

Mitigation strategies include phased implementation beginning with well-connected urban areas, leveraging existing mobile networks for communication infrastructure, and developing lightweight blockchain protocols optimized for low-bandwidth environments [12]. Public-private partnerships could mobilize capital for smart meter deployment while piloting programs in economic hubs like Lagos and Abuja to demonstrate viability [21].

B. Cybersecurity and Privacy Concerns

Blockchain systems, while inherently secure against tampering, face cybersecurity risks including denial-of-service attacks, smart contract vulnerabilities, and potential exploitation of IoT devices [31]. Nigeria's emerging cybersecurity challenges, including increasing digital fraud, necessitate robust security protocols and consumer education [50].

Privacy concerns arise from detailed energy consumption data potentially revealing household behaviours and occupancy patterns [51]. Regulatory frameworks must mandate data protection standards, provide opt-out mechanisms for granular data sharing, and impose penalties for unauthorized data access [39]. Encryption protocols and zero-knowledge proofs can enable transaction validation without exposing sensitive consumption patterns [52].

VIII. EVOLUTION TIMELINE: KEY MILESTONES

TABLE III
Evolution of Blockchain-Enabled P2P Energy Trading Global and Nigerian Milestones

Year	Milestone	Significance
2008	Bitcoin whitepaper (Nakamoto)	Foundation of blockchain/distributed ledger technology
2013	Nigeria power sector privatization	DisCos/GenCos created; market opened but challenges persist
2015	Brooklyn Microgrid pilot launched	First real-world P2P energy trading using blockchain
2018	Smart contract energy platforms emerge	Automated, trustless energy transactions become viable
2019	EU Clean Energy Package	Prosumer rights legally recognized; P2P trading framework created
2021	Rapid solar DER adoption in Nigeria	Physical infrastructure for P2P systems starts forming
2022	Australia P2P trading regulations	International regulatory blueprint for developing nations
2023	Nigeria Electricity Act decentralization	State-level regulatory experimentation now permitted
2024	NERC quarterly reports on smart grid	Formal tracking of blockchain/smart meter integration progress

IX. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE OUTLOOK

The vision of energy as "the currency of the next century" reflects genuine potential for blockchain technology to fundamentally transform electricity markets in developing economies like Nigeria. By enabling efficient, reliable, and traceable P2P trading comparable to cashless financial transactions, distributed ledger systems could address chronic electricity challenges while creating economic opportunities and enhancing energy security. The evolution of utilities into energy custodians, analogous to banks in financial systems, represents a sustainable business model accommodating decentralized generation while preserving essential grid management functions.

However, realizing this potential requires coordinated action across technological, regulatory, and social dimensions. Infrastructure investments in smart meters and digital connectivity must accompany regulatory reforms recognizing prosumer rights and establishing market governance frameworks. Pilot programs in progressive states could demonstrate technical feasibility and economic viability, building momentum for national adoption.

Nigeria's trajectory mirrors the nation's financial sector transformation through mobile money initially dismissed as impractical given infrastructure limitations, yet ultimately achieving remarkable penetration and impact. With appropriate policies, strategic investments, and stakeholder collaboration, blockchain-enabled energy trading could similarly leapfrog conventional electricity market structures, positioning Nigeria as a leader in Africa's energy transition while delivering reliable, affordable electricity to millions currently underserved by existing systems. The future of energy trading may indeed arrive sooner than anticipated, catalyzed by the same innovative spirit that has driven Nigeria's fintech revolution.

Acknowledgment
The authors gratefully acknowledge the Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission (NERC) for providing Open access to industry data, and the various academic institutions whose research underpins this review.

REFERENCES

1. W. Tushar, T. K. Saha, C. Yuen, D. Smith, and H. V. Poor, "Peer-to-peer trading in electricity networks: An overview," IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 3185–3200, 2021.
2. L. Olatomiwa, S. Mekhilef, M. S. Ismail, and M. Moghavvemi, "Energy management strategies in hybrid renewable energy systems: A review," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 62, pp. 821–835, 2022.
3. M. Andoni, V. Robu, D. Flynn, S. Abram, D. Geach, D. Jenkins, P. McCallum, and A. Peacock, "Blockchain technology in the energy sector: A systematic review of challenges and opportunities," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 100, pp. 143–174, 2019.
4. S. C. Nwokolo, A. U. Obiwulu, J. C. Ogbulezie, and S. O. Amadi, "Hybridization of statistical machine learning and numerical models for improving beam, diffuse and global solar radiation prediction," Cleaner Engineering and Technology, vol. 9, p. 100528, 2023.
5. International Energy Agency, "Nigeria energy outlook," IEA Publications, Paris, 2023.
6. U. B. Akuru, I. E. Onukwube, O. I. Okoro, and E. S. Obe, "Towards 100% renewable energy in Nigeria," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 71, pp. 943–953, 2021.
7. S. Nakamoto, "Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system," Decentralized Business Review, p. 21260, 2008.
8. E. Mengelkamp, J. Gärttner, K. Rock, S. Kessler, L. Orsini, and C. Weinhardt, "Designing microgrid energy markets: A case study of the Brooklyn microgrid," Applied Energy, vol. 210, pp. 870–880, 2018.
9. J. J. Sikorski, J. Haughton, and M. Kraft, "Blockchain technology in the chemical industry: Machine-to-machine electricity market," Applied Energy, vol. 195, pp. 234–246, 2017.
10. C. Pop, T. Cioara, M. Antal, I. Anghel, I. Salomie, and M. Bertoncini, "Blockchain based decentralized management of demand response programs in smart energy grids," Sensors, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 162, 2018.
11. A. Pieroni, N. Scarpato, L. Di Nunzio, F. Fallucchi, and M. Raso, "Smarter city: Smart energy grid based on blockchain technology," International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 298–306, 2018.
12. M. U. Hassan, M. H. Rehmani, and J. Chen, "Differential privacy in blockchain technology: A futuristic approach," Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, vol. 145, pp. 50–74, 2021.
13. P. K. Ozili, "Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability," Borsa Istanbul Review, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 329–340, 2018.
14. Central Bank of Nigeria, "Annual financial stability report," CBN Publications, Abuja, 2023.
15. Y. Parag and B. K. Sovacool, "Electricity market design for the prosumer era," Nature Energy, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 1–6, 2016.
16. C. Zhang, J. Wu, Y. Zhou, M. Cheng, and C. Long, "Peer-to-peer energy trading in a microgrid," Applied Energy, vol. 220, pp. 1–12, 2018.
17. Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission, "Quarterly report on the Nigerian electricity supply industry," NERC, Abuja, 2024.

18. A. K. Aliyu, B. Modu, and C. W. Tan, "A review of Nigeria's electricity challenge: Pathways to integrated planning strategies," Energy Policy, vol. 175, p. 113489, 2023.
19. C. Oji, O. Soumonni, and J. O. Ojoh, "Energy poverty and economic development: Household perspective in Nigeria," Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, vol. 50, p. 101833, 2022.
20. O. S. Ohunakin, M. S. Adaramola, O. M. Oyewola, and R. O. Fagbenle, "Solar energy applications and development in Nigeria: Drivers and barriers," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 32, pp. 294–301, 2021.
21. O. O. Ajayi and O. S. Ojo, "Prospects of developing solar energy resource for sustainable electricity generation in Nigeria," Renewable Energy Focus, vol. 37, pp. 56–69, 2021.
22. Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission, "Quarterly report on the Nigerian electricity supply industry," NERC, Abuja, 2024.
23. Federal Republic of Nigeria, "Electricity Act 2023," Federal Government Press, Abuja, 2023.
24. N. A. Idris, H. S. Lamin, M. J. Ladan, and M. M. Orosun, "Nigeria's wind energy potentials: The path to a diversified electricity generation-mix," International Journal of Modern Physics and Applications, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1–14, 2020.
25. C. Eid, P. Codani, Y. Perez, J. Reneses, and R. Hakvoort, "Managing electric flexibility from distributed energy resources: A review of incentives for market design," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 64, pp. 237–247, 2016.
26. S. N. G. Gourisetti, M. Mylrea, and H. Patangia, "Evaluation and demonstration of blockchain applicability framework," IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 1142–1156, 2020.
27. Nigerian Communications Commission, "Industry statistics," NCC, Abuja, 2023.
28. GSMA, "The mobile economy: Sub-Saharan Africa 2023," GSMA Intelligence, London, 2023.
29. N. Ndung'u and L. Signé, "The Fourth Industrial Revolution and digitization will transform Africa into a global powerhouse," Brookings Institution, Washington, DC, 2020.
30. Y. Zhou, J. Wu, C. Long, and W. Ming, "State-of-the-art analysis and perspectives for peer-to-peer energy trading," Engineering, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 739–753, 2018.
31. M. Mylrea and S. N. G. Gourisetti, "Blockchain for smart grid resilience: Exchanging distributed energy at speed, scale and security," Resilience Week, pp. 18–23, 2017.
32. K. Mannaro, A. Pinna, and M. Marchesi, "Crypto-trading: Blockchain-oriented energy market," AEIT International Annual Conference, pp. 1–5, 2017.
33. [33] N. Wang, W. Xu, Z. Xu, and W. Shao, "Peer-to-peer energy trading among microgrids with multi-dimensional willingness," Energies, vol. 11, no. 12, p. 3312, 2021.
34. T. Sousa, T. Soares, P. Pinson, F. Moret, T. Baroche, and E. Sorin, "Peer-to-peer and community-based markets: A comprehensive review," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 104, pp. 367–378, 2019.
35. C. Long, J. Wu, C. Zhang, L. Thomas, M. Cheng, and N. Jenkins, "Peer-to-peer energy trading in a community microgrid," IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting, pp. 1–5, 2018.
36. C. Zhang, J. Wu, C. Long, and M. Cheng, "Review of existing peer-to-peer energy trading projects," Energy Procedia, vol. 105, pp. 2563–2568, 2017.
37. M. Brown and S. Zhou, "Smart grid policies: An international review," Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment, vol. 9, no. 2, p. e365, 2020.
38. E. K. Ogunleye, "Political economy of Nigerian power sector reform," The Extractive Industries and Society, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 102–112, 2021.
39. L. Diestelmeier, "Changing power: Shifting the role of electricity consumers with blockchain technology — Policy implications for EU electricity law," Energy Policy, vol. 128, pp. 189–196, 2019.
40. Z. Abdmouleh, R. A. M. Alammari, and A. Gastli, "Recommendations on renewable energy policies for the GCC countries," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 50, pp. 1181–1191, 2015.
41. European Commission, "Clean energy for all Europeans package," European Commission, Brussels, 2019.
42. Australian Energy Market Commission, "Peer-to-peer trading arrangements," AEMC, Sydney, 2022.
43. M. O. Oseni and M. G. Pollitt, "The promotion of regional integration of electricity markets: Lessons for developing countries," Energy Policy, vol. 88, pp. 628–638, 2016.
44. S. O. Oyedepo et al., "Towards a sustainable electricity supply in Nigeria: The role of decentralized renewable energy system," European Journal of Sustainable Development Research, vol. 2, no. 4, p. 40, 2018.
45. N. M. Kumar et al., "Distributed energy resources and the application of AI, IoT, and blockchain in smart grids," Energies, vol. 13, no. 21, p. 5739, 2020.
46. A. Lüth, J. M. Zepter, P. C. D. Granado, and R. Egging, "Local electricity market designs for peer-to-peer trading: The role of battery flexibility," Applied Energy, vol. 229, pp. 1233–1243, 2018.

47. B. K. Sovacool and M. H. Dworkin, "Energy justice: Conceptual insights and practical applications," Applied Energy, vol. 142, pp. 435–444, 2015.
48. World Bank, "Nigeria: Challenges and opportunities in the power sector," World Bank Group, Washington, DC, 2021.
49. W. Leal Filho et al., "Universities as living labs for sustainable development: Supporting the implementation of the sustainable development goals," Springer, 2022.
50. N. A. Azeez and O. J. Idiege, "Cybersecurity awareness and adoption of technologies in Nigeria: A review," International Journal of Information Security, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 567–582, 2021.
51. Z. Guan et al., "Privacy-preserving and efficient aggregation based on blockchain for power grid communications in smart communities," IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 82–88, 2020.
52. S. M. H. Bamakan, A. Motavali, and A. Babaei Bondarti, "A survey of blockchain consensus algorithms performance evaluation criteria," Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 154, p. 113385, 2020.

Author Biography

O.B Ayoko received the B.Eng. degree in mechanical engineering from the Federal University of Technology Akure (FUTA), Nigeria, in 2011, and an MSc. degree in Renewable energy and sustainable Technology from the University of South Wales, UK, in 2024. He has over 10 years of experience in the power distribution sector with core area of specialisation being metering energy loss detection, reduction and prevention and electricity business operations. His research includes energy storage, resilience of electricity power networks and metering.