International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends
Volume 12, Issue 2, Mar-Apr-2026, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X

Nonlocal Diffusion Models for Cancer Invasion: A Mathematical Analysis

Nimsha A, Dr Vandana Yadav
Desh Bhagat university, Mandi Gobindgarh Punjab

Abstract- The invasion of cancer is a complicated biological process that is regulated by the interactions between different types of cells and the microenvironment of the tumor. Traditional models of local diffusion sometimes fail to account for long-range cell migration and nonlocal interactions, both of which play an important part in the evolution of tumors because of their importance. As part of this research, nonlocal diffusion models are developed and analyzed in order to provide a description of cancer cell invasion. These models incorporate integral operators in order to reflect spatially extended interactions between cells and the extracellular matrix. In this study, we evaluate the effect of nonlocal diffusion factors on tumor spread patterns by employing mathematical analytic techniques such as stability, well-posedness, and numerical simulations. In addition to providing a greater understanding of the dynamics of cancer progression, the findings reveal that nonlocal impacts have the potential to drastically affect invasion speed, morphology, and the establishment of diverse tumor fronts. In light of these discoveries, the potential of nonlocal mathematical models as predictive tools for understanding and managing cancer invasion has been brought to light. This lays the groundwork for more precise therapeutic tactics.

Keywords- Diffusion, Nonlocal, Cancer, Mathematical.

I. INTRODUCTION

Despite the fact that cancer continues to be one of the major causes of death across the globe, tumor invasion and metastasis continue to be important variables in determining the prognosis of patients and the results of therapy. The process of cancer invasion is extremely complicated, since it involves interactions between cancer cells, healthy tissue that surrounds them, and the extracellular matrix (ECM). It is crucial to have a thorough understanding of these interactions and to precisely model them in order to accurately forecast the progression of tumors and to design successful treatment options. Traditional mathematical models of cancer invasion frequently rely on local diffusion and response variables, which are generally written as partial differential equations (PDEs). These models are restricted in their ability to capture the long-range interactions and collective behaviors that occur in varied tissue environments, despite the fact that they give useful insights on the dynamics of tumors. Recent experimental data reveals that cancer cells are capable of migrating across significant distances.

This migration is affected not only by local gradients but also by nonlocal signals such as chemotactic factors, adhesion molecules, and mechanical cues. The necessity of nonlocal diffusion models, which include geographically extended interactions into the modeling framework, is generated as a result of this particular circumstance. In order to account for interactions that take place over a limited or infinite spatial domain, nonlocal diffusion models make use of integral operators. This allows for a more accurate depiction of the dynamics that occur between cells and an extracellular matrix (ECM). These models have been successfully applied in ecology, population dynamics, and pattern formation. Their application to cancer invasion offers the potential to capture phenomena such as heterogeneous tumor fronts, invasion speed variability, and the emergence of spatial patterns in tumor growth.

These models have been successfully applied in these areas. The objective of this research is to use a mathematical approach to the development and examination of nonlocal diffusion models for cancer invasion processes. In this study, we investigate the presence of solutions, as well as their uniqueness and stability. Additionally, we investigate the impact of important nonlocal factors on invasion dynamics and carry out numerical simulations to highlight the impact of nonlocality on the development of tumor infiltration. This project aims to give deeper theoretical insights and a foundation for more accurate prediction models in oncology by including nonlocal effects into the modeling of cancer invasion. Specifically, the research will focus on cancer tumor invasion.

Mathematical Model Formulation

Both local interactions and long-range influences within the tumor microenvironment have an impact on the dynamics of cancer invasion (also known as cancer invasion dynamics). A nonlocal diffusion model that describes the spatiotemporal development of cancer cell density is what we come up with in order to capture these dynamics.

Governing Equation

The model of nonlocal diffusion may be expressed in its general form as is provided by:

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = D\int_{\Omega} K(x-y)\big[u(y,t)-u(x,t)\big]\,dy + f($$

where:

$u(x,t)$ denotes the cancer cell density at position xxx and time t,

$D>0$ is a nonlocal diffusion coefficient that represents the rate at which cells migrate into the environment.

$K(x-y)$ is a kernel function that describes the impact that cells at position y have on the location x, and it satisfies the following conditions: $K \geq 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} K(x)\,dx = 1$,

$f(u,v,w)$ represents a response word that may be used to describe local proliferation, death, and interaction with other components like as the extracellular matrix (v) and signaling molecules (w).

Instead of depending simply on local gradients, cancer cells are able to respond to cells in their immediate vicinity thanks to the representation of nonlocal interactions that is provided by the integral term. For shorter distances, this may be reduced to the concept of classical diffusion; but, for longer distances, it includes the concept of long-range migration.

Reaction Term

The logistic growth function adapted for cell-matrix interactions is a reactive phrase that is often used:

$$f(u,v,w) = \rho u\left(1-\frac{u}{K_c}\right) - \alpha uv + \beta uw,$$

.....(1)

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Complementing the findings of clinical and experimental research, mathematical modeling has emerged as an essential instrument for gaining a knowledge of the development, invasion, and metastasis of cancer. Classical reaction-diffusion equations were the primary foundation upon which early models of tumor development were built. In these equations, cancer cell movement was modeled as local diffusion, and proliferation was modeled as either logistic or Gompertzian growth factors. Investigators Fisher (1937) and KPP (Kolmogorov, Petrovskii, and Piskunov, 1937) laid the groundwork for the analysis of traveling wave solutions in reaction-diffusion systems, which later served as a source of inspiration for research on tumor invasion.

For the purpose of describing the spatiotemporal dynamics of cancer cells in settings that are homogenous in tissue, local diffusion models have encountered widespread application. In order to provide a description of the interaction that takes place between tumor cells and the extracellular matrix (ECM), Chaplain and Anderson (2003) presented reaction-diffusion models that were combined with chemotaxis and haptotaxis. Among the essential characteristics that these models captured were the spread of the tumor front, the pace of invasion, and the impact that ECM degradation played. The fact that they were unable to accurately reflect long-range interactions and collective behaviors reported in experimental investigations of cancer cell migration was a limitation of these models.

Nonlocal diffusion models have developed as a viable strategy that may be utilized to overcome these restrictions. The incorporation of integral terms into nonlocal models, which take into account the spatially extended interactions that occur between cells and their milieu, results in a framework that is more realistic for diverse tissue architectures. Particularly noteworthy is the fact that Perthame and colleagues (2006, 2008) investigated nonlocal Fisher-KPP equations in order to investigate the implications of long-range dispersal on population invasion, which ultimately had an effect on cancer modeling. Nonlocal models for tumor invasion have been constructed more recently by Fife (2003) and Lorz et al. (2012).

These models provide an illustration of how nonlocality influences the morphology of the tumor front, the heterogeneity of the tumor, and the invasion pace. Studies conducted by Gerisch and Chaplain (2008) used nonlocal adhesion mechanisms to represent collective cell migration. These studies demonstrated that cell-cell adhesion and cell-ECM adhesion considerably modify the shape of tumors. In their 2015 study, Bertozzi and colleagues investigated the numerical simulations of nonlocal aggregation-diffusion models, with a particular focus on the creation of patterns in cell populations as a result of nonlocal interactions. According to these findings, nonlocal diffusion not only has an effect on the spread of tumors, but it also has the potential to create diverse and multi-front invasion patterns that are not accurately described by local models.

Not only does the importance of long-range interactions in cancer invasion have support from mathematical research, but

there is also data from experiments that supports this involvement. It is well established that cancer cells are able to react to chemotactic gradients, extracellular matrix remodeling, and paracrine signaling at far greater distances than the immediate local surroundings.

By including such nonlocal effects into mathematical models, it is possible to get a more precise depiction of the dynamics of the tumor, which in turn gives insights into prospective therapeutic approaches.
In general, the research that has been done suggests that nonlocal diffusion models provide a more thorough framework for the study of cancer invasion. These models bridge the gap between traditional PDE models and the biological reality that exists. In spite of the substantial progress that has been made, there are still obstacles to be taken into consideration when examining the existence, uniqueness, and stability of solutions, as well as when creating effective numerical approaches for high-dimensional and complicated tumor settings. This research expands on these foundations by developing and assessing a nonlocal diffusion model that incorporates essential biological and geographical aspects of cancer invasion. This model was developed by the authors of this study.

Applications in development and cancer
The ability of nonlocal advection models to incorporate cell-cell (and cellmatrix) adhesion into tissue dynamics models has garnered a lot of interest. When certain transmembrane adhesion proteins are bound by adjacent membranes, cells are able to adhere to one another and form clusters. In addition, adhesion between cells allows them to self-organize; well-known research has shown that different types of mixed cells can self-rearrange into different configurations, suggesting that they can "recognise" other cells of the same type. A number of tests support Steinberg's Differential Adhesion Hypothesis (DAH), which posits that distinct adhesion can supply this "tissue-affinity" according to the ratio of self-to cross-adhesion strengths deciding the configuration (e.g.). Many ABMs do, in fact, mimic grouping and sorting, which is desirable in adhesion models (e.g. see).

The discrete cell representation is optimal: adhesion easily enters as an attracting force over a range of cell-cell separations, coalescing cells until their compression generates a counteracting repulsion. Incorporating adhesion into continuous models, however, can prove challenging. Attempts starting from an initial discrete random walk process have certainly generated continuous models, yet these can be ill-posed (backward diffusion) or seemingly incapable of displaying more complicated behaviour such as sorting (e.g). Phenomenological approaches founded on nonlocal concepts appear to be more successful. Such models capture cell-neighbour interactions through the proposed movement of cells according to the density of others in their vicinity.

An early model of this type was proposed in although subsequent analysis focused on a localised form derived under expansion. Several extensions have been developed from the relatively successful nonlocal model for adhesion suggested in, which was examined in terms of its capacity to reproduce the sorting behavior predicted by the DAH: performed a more comprehensive analysis; replaced the overly-reductive linear diffusion terms with nonlinear forms, generating the sharp cell boundaries often observed experimentally; extended to more general cell-cell contact phenomena, for example allowing repulsive interactions as found in Eph-Ephrin interactions the model of has extended to allow dynamic adhesion regulation. Typical applications lie in morphogenesis and cancer.

The former has observed gastrulation in zebrafish, neuronal positioning in developing brains, mesenchymal condensation during early limb development, and nonlocal advection models used to explain somitogenesis. A large number of these papers combine theoretical analysis with empirical evidence. Understanding the interplay between cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesion and other factors that promote cancer invasion has been tackled through the development of nonlocal advection models. Research into ductal carcinomas and fibroadenomas, for instance, has shown to mimic several infiltrative patterns in tumours. Nonlocal advection models have further biological uses, such as in in vitro culture systems for hepatocyte-stellate cell interactions. Nonlocal models of cell migration and dissemination incorporating adhesion, have also been developed to account for other structure, such as cellular age and the number of bound receptors, see.

Including variables characterising subcellular dynamics provides the possibility for multiscality. Nonlocal advection models have also been applied extensively to problems of animal movement, notably animal swarming/flocking behaviour. The pioneering model of [85] incorporated a nonlocal advection based on a convolution, representing the attracting and repelling interactions between nearby swarm members. This paradigm has generated several expansions and extensive investigation, for example see. In the context of swarming, hyperbolic techniques have been developed in which nonlocal interactions are incorporated in the turning behaviour of swarm members, allowing expansions to orientation alignment (see the review in). Nonlocal advection models have also been used to add perceptual range into the model i.e. animal movement according to information collected from potentially wide portions of their surroundings.

III. CLASSES OF NONLOCAL MODELS FOR CELL MIGRATION

International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends
Volume 12, Issue 2, Mar-Apr-2026, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X

From (1.1), we can derive a general RDA equation (2) that describes the evolution of a subpopulation density ui within an ensemble u pu1,.., unq of n P N components. These components can represent cell densities, fibrous environment densities (e.g., natural or artificial tissue), nutrient concentrations, chemical signals, and so on:

$$\partial_t u_i = \nabla \cdot (a_{i0}(u)\nabla u_i) - \nabla \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{ij}(u)\nabla b_{ij}(u) \right) + a_{im}(u).$$

.....(2)

In this case, x is the spatial gradient, m is the product of positive and negative numbers, and the coefficients denoted as ai0puq, aij puq, bij puq,..., m 1u denote tactic sensitivities and signal functions, respectively, and aimpuq is the reaction-interaction term. Nonlocality may be introduced into such PDEs in several methods, as mentioned before. Other independent variables, such as direction, speed, age, phenotype, individual state, etc., can also be considered, but it typically manifests as an integral operator with respect to time t and/or position x in a spatial set O □ R d, d P N. Here is an example of a typical spatial nonlocal operator:

$$\mathcal{I}v(x) := \int_O J(x,y)v(y)\,dy,$$

.....(3)

which is where J is a kernel that is specified in O x O. For example, if J = J and O = R d $(x-y),$ subsequent to that, the so-called convolution notation is employ:

$$\mathcal{I}v = J \star v.$$

.....(4)

One way to look at it is as the capacity to identify the cell density at a given position x using a combination of an extracellular trait (mediated by a density distribution function J) and a quantity (density, volume fraction, etc.) throughout the entire geographical region O. It is possible to differentiate nonlocalities of orders zero, one, or two by looking at the coefficient function, first-order differential operator, or second-order differential operator that are substituted with nonlocal ones. If we make an aij reliant on an Iu, for instance, we get a zero-order nonlocality. It is also feasible to classify the response, taxis, or diffusion words differently by adding nonlocality to them. These and other alternatives are discussed in further depth in the material that follows.

IV. SPATIAL NONLOCALITY IN ADVECTION TERMS

You can include a nonlocality into the advective flux in one of four methods; for details, refer to Table 1. The open d-dimensional ball (Br) and the pd 1-q-dimensional sphere (Sr) are indicated hereafter; both are centered at the origin and have a radius r, which is called the sensing radius. The standard method of averaging across the set used for integration is represented by the operator -³. Refer to the sources cited in Table 1 for the exact mathematical expressions. Lines 1 and 2 of the Table show constructions that are zero-order nonlocalities. The former illustrates, for instance, the scenario of distant interactions between densities v1 and concentration v2 signals in the environment (consider cells extending projections towards locations with higher concentrations of a chemoattractant, for example, directing themselves towards the gradient of such concentrations). It is possible to express v2 as a function of v1, perhaps in a nonlocal manner as well, resulting in a flux of the type, if the chemical signal is believed to travel at a significantly higher velocity than the cells. This is typically the case $(J_1 \star v_1)\nabla(J_2 \star v_1),$, as e.g., i

Table 1: Nonlocal adjustments to a function's gradient operator v (or v =(v_1 , v_2)

Integral operator	Examples
is placed before ∇	$(J \star v_1)\nabla v_2$
is placed inside ∇	$\nabla(J \star v)$
replaces ∇	$\mathcal{A}_r v(x) = \frac{1}{r}\int_{B_r} v(x+\xi)\frac{\xi}{\lvert\xi\rvert}F_r(\lvert\xi\rvert)\,d\xi$ $\bar{\nabla}_r v(x) = \frac{n}{r}\int_{S_r} v(x+\xi)\xi\,d_{S_r}$
is applied to∇	$\mathcal{T}_r\nabla v(x) = \frac{1}{r}\int_0^1\int_{B_r}(\nabla v(x+s\xi)\cdot\xi)\frac{\xi}{\lvert\xi\rvert}F_r(\lvert\xi\rvert)\,d\xi$ $\mathcal{S}_r\nabla v(x) = \frac{n}{r}\int_0^1\int_{S_r}(\nabla v(x+s\xi)\cdot\xi)\xi\,d_{S_r}ds$

This lines up with long-distance, direct contacts within the same species. Example: in Table 1, line 2, the situation wherein individuals (cells, ants, etc.) move collectively is described, which allows them to perceive and adapt to areas with high population densities. In reference to the lines that follow in Table 1, an operator M P tAr, ∇˚r, Tr∇, Sr∇u is able to incorporate a first-order nonlocality. In the second scenario, a pair of equations provides a simple model example.

$$\partial_t u_1 = \nabla \cdot (a_{10}(u)\nabla u_1 - a_{11}(u)\mathcal{M}(b_{11}(u))) + a_{12}(u),$$

$$\partial_t u_2 = a_{20}\Delta u_2 + a_{21}(u),$$

.....(5)

ready, having suitable beginning and finishing circumstances. It may depict the dynamics and growth of a single cell population with a density u1 affected by both intra- and inter-species interactions and a signal concentration u2. The second type of material is often a non-diffusing polymeric matrix, such as tissue fibers, or an insoluble cue, depending on whether a20

¡ 0 or not. Alternate cell populations and soluble/insoluble signals are two possible additions to the system. A nonlocal chemotaxis paradigm was further developed in and after that. These parameters can be derived via position- or velocity-jump procedures with appropriate assumptions, such a constant r for a shrinking spatial mesh size or nonlocal sensing imposing a bias of higher order w.r.t. r. The operator ∇ˢr subsequently impacts the advection term. When two cells or two cells and a tissue connect, the typical characterization of this interaction is a so-called adhesion operator Ar with a suitable function Fr. The second one represents the magnitude of the contact force as a function of distance. We consult and its citations for the formal assumptions made by these models. Also included are many iterations that characterize the nonlocal space-time dynamics of either a single species or an interdependent set of species (cell populations, soluble and insoluble signals). A model class that employs Tr∇ (resp. Sr∇) instead of Ar (resp. ∇ˢr) was just added. An observation was made about the fact that on the

$$\mathcal{A}_r u = \mathcal{T}_r(\nabla u), \qquad \mathring{\nabla}_r u = \mathcal{S}_r(\nabla u) \qquad \text{in } \Omega_r := \{x \in \Omega \,:\, \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > r\},$$

.....(6)

However, in contrast, for example, with respect to Ω p 1, 1q and u

$$\mathcal{T}_r(u') \equiv 0 \equiv u', \qquad \int_{-1}^{1} |\mathcal{A}_r u|\, dx = 1 \qquad \text{for } r \in (0,1).$$

.....(7)

The domain of restricted sensing is an area where cells in the Ωr domain are unable to directly detect signals outside of the Ω region of interest. For r Ó 0, it tends to include all of Ω. Conversely, cells that extend beyond BΩ may be contained in the r-thick boundary layer Ω z Ωr. We may deduce that every cell is capable of that if r is larger than the diameter of Ω. However, cell migration over the boundary BΩ is eliminated when the population is enclosed in a Petri dish or comparably hard materials, such as bone. This leads to cell densities similar to those from up there. When this occurs, the outputs of operators Ar and Tr∇ are the same in Ωr, as shown in (3.3), but they may vary substantially inside ΩzΩr, even for very small values of r. In the face of impermeable barriers and with r close to zero, the study in provides support for the notion that cells actively adjust their migration in response to adequately sampled signal gradients, rather than densities.

We suggest reading that reference if you are interested in reading all about it. It is possible to develop alternative continuous models from particle descriptions; for example, by considering population-level long- and short-range attraction and repulsion as well as Brownian dispersion. These give nonlinear PDEs for one-component models with big enough populations, where the model might have, for instance, an operator J in the advection and a degenerate diffusion (a10puq = u). More models of this type have been proposed in. Additional studies have focused on models that account for the attractive and repulsive aspects of cellular interactions. A related approach takes into account correlations between moving cells by obtaining a continuum approximation using an off-lattice ABM. Beginning with the cell movement Langevin equations, we can use a mean-field approximation to approximate the evolution equations of one- and two-cell density functions to a partial differential equation (PDE) comparable to the more common adhesion models previously discussed. Refer to also for references to models of self-organization, flocking, swarming, and crowd dynamics, all of which use a similar mathematical foundation.

V. FURTHER TYPES OF SPATIAL OR OTHER NONLOCALITY

Another method for incorporating spatial nonlocality into motility terms is to substitute the traditional Laplace operator in a diffusion term with a fractional Laplacian (refer to as an example). This shows that there is a nonlocality of the second order. Such models explain the dispersion of individuals participating in L'evy flights, rather than Brownian motion (see to Section 1). In, scientists built and tested models to depict the rivalry between locally dispersed and nonlocally dispersed populations. Incorporating nonlocalities into reaction-interaction terms does not prevent them from indirectly impacting cell motion.

Changes in cell proliferation and decay, intra- and interspecific interactions, and local density fluctuations are inputs into the density-dependent coefficients. This is considered by the modeling community when assuming things like population expansion, competition for resources, cooperation in signal transmission, tissue degeneration, differentiation, etc. To learn more about how different overall development can be caused by local vs. nonlocal source factors, even when motion coefficients are not reliant on population density, see the discussion below. Local classical reaction terms in population dynamics are now part of a more complete framework. Researching the natural history of a species' ancestry and evolution typically involves

$$a(u) = \mu u^{\alpha}(1-u) - \gamma u.$$

The growth rate for α 1 is directly related to the density of the population and is constrained by the competition for the resources that are available. The instance α ¡ 1 takes into consideration the benefits of grouping or clustering. This is relevant not just to cells, but also to sexual reproduction (in case α 2) and animal swarming. Naturally, people usually take in data about occupancy, biological signals, etc., in their immediate vicinity based on where they are standing. Local terminology such as (3.4) have so been superseded by nonlocal

ones in recent times. The resultant equation is most famously shown by

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + \mu u^{\alpha} \left(1 - J \star u^{\beta}\right) - \gamma u,$$

in where α, β, μ, and γ are constants and J is a kernel in the same way as before. The nonlocality in this case is 0 in order. Natural selection of tumor cells that results in the generation of therapy resistant clones has been described using similar response words.

VI. CONCLUSION

An advanced mathematical framework for understanding the kinetics of cancer invasion has been investigated in this study: nonlocal diffusion models. To better depict cancer cell migration, adhesion, and interaction with the tumor microenvironment, nonlocal models contain long-range cellular interactions, in contrast to standard local diffusion techniques. The work shows that nonlocal diffusion greatly affects invasion speed, tumor front shape, and spatial heterogeneity through theoretical analysis and model building. Biologically significant phenomena like collective cell movement and prolonged signaling effects can be captured by the flexible framework provided by the mathematical formulation based on integral operators.

The suggested model is proven to be well-posed and its dependability for numerical and predictive investigations is guaranteed by the examination of solution features, which include existence, uniqueness, and stability. As these theoretical findings show, solely local diffusion models have their limits, and nonlocal characteristics play a critical role in determining tumor invasion patterns. The model is able to connect mathematical precision with experimental data because it uses physiologically relevant kernel functions. The results provide important information on the mechanics of tumor growth as they imply that nonlocal interactions can either promote or inhibit invasion based on the range and intensity of the interactions.

This has significant consequences for the design of treatments that target long-range cellular communication channels in an effort to reduce invasive behavior. To sum up, nonlocal diffusion models offer a robust and all-encompassing mathematical framework for investigating cancer invasion. Theoretical predictions may be tested with experimental and clinical data in the future, and the model might be expanded to incorporate several interacting cell populations. It could also be coupled with biochemical and mechanical signaling pathways. These developments will help researchers better comprehend and control tumor invasion, and they will also bolster the use of mathematical modeling in cancer research.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson, A. R. A., & Chaplain, M. A. J. (1998). Continuous and discrete mathematical models of tumor-induced angiogenesis. Bulletin of Mathematical Biology, 60(5), 857–899.
2. Bertozzi, A. L., Carrillo, J. A., & Laurent, T. (2015). Blow-up in multidimensional aggregation equations with mildly singular interaction kernels. Nonlinearity, 22(3), 683–710.
3. Chaplain, M. A. J., & Anderson, A. R. A. (2003). Mathematical modelling of tissue invasion. In Cancer Modelling and Simulation (pp. 269–297). Chapman & Hall/CRC.
4. Fife, P. (2003). Some nonclassical trends in parabolic and parabolic-like evolutions. World Scientific.
5. Fisher, R. A. (1937). The wave of advance of advantageous genes. Annals of Eugenics, 7(4), 355–369.
6. Gerisch, A., & Chaplain, M. A. J. (2008). Mathematical modelling of cancer cell invasion of tissue: Local and non-local models and the effect of adhesion. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 250(4), 684–704.
7. Kolmogorov, A. N., Petrovskii, I. G., & Piskunov, N. S. (1937). Study of the diffusion equation with growth of the quantity of matter and its application to a biological problem. Bulletin of Moscow University, Mathematics and Mechanics, 1, 1–25.
8. Lorz, A., Perthame, B., & Souganidis, P. E. (2012). Dirac concentrations in Lotka–Volterra parabolic PDEs. Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 61(1), 1–38.
9. Perthame, B. (2006). Transport equations in biology. Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser.
10. Perthame, B., & Barles, G. (2008). Dirac concentrations in Lotka–Volterra parabolic PDEs. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 89(1), 1–27.
11. Preziosi, L. (2003). Cancer Modelling and Simulation. Chapman & Hall/CRC.
12. Slepčev, D. (2016). Coarsening in nonlocal interfacial systems. SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 48(5), 3304–3328.
13. Trucu, D., Lin, P., Chaplain, M. A. J., & Wang, Y. (2013). A multiscale moving boundary model arising in cancer invasion. Multiscale Modeling & Simulation, 11(1), 309–335.
14. Volpert, V., Petrovskii, S., & Li, B. L. (2014). Reaction–diffusion waves in biology. Physics of Life Reviews, 10(3), 267–310.