

# Advancing Compliance Maturity Through the Five Whys Methodology: A Strategic Framework for Root Cause Analysis and Continuous Improvement

Justin Paul Iacouzzi  
MA, MBA, CCEP

**Abstract-** This article critically examines the Five Whys methodology within the context of regulatory compliance, emphasizing its role as a structured root cause analysis tool that transcends traditional reactive approaches. It situates the method historically and practically, corroborates its efficacy through empirical evidence, explores organizational adoption challenges, and illustrates its application through case studies in cybersecurity and logistics. The article concludes by highlighting the Five Whys' strategic potential to enhance compliance maturity, operational performance, and ethical governance, particularly in the era of rapid technological advancement enabling more sophisticated risk management capabilities.

**Keywords –** Five Whys, root cause analysis, regulatory compliance, continuous improvement, risk management, compliance maturity, organizational culture, cybersecurity, logistics, ethical governance, digital transformation, operational performance.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The global regulatory environment grows increasingly complex due to expanding international frameworks, rapid technological innovations, and heightened stakeholder scrutiny. Regulatory agencies now require comprehensive investigations that identify underlying systemic causes of compliance failures, which are essential for preventing recurrence and maintaining program integrity [1, 2, 3]. Digital transformation—driven by artificial intelligence, machine learning, big data analytics, and automation—revolutionizes compliance through real-time risk detection at unprecedented scale and speed. Nevertheless, these technologies also present novel challenges, including algorithmic bias, cybersecurity threats, and data privacy risks, demanding advanced Root Cause Analysis (RCA) methodologies to keep pace with evolving operational complexities [4, 5, 6].

Traditional reactive compliance schemes focusing primarily on symptom resolution are insufficient for the current dynamic risk landscape. RCA offers a robust and systematic approach to uncover systemic issues, enabling organizations to move beyond temporary patchwork fixes that carry the risk of recurrence and regulatory penalties. The Five Whys technique presents an accessible yet rigorous RCA framework that facilitates iterative questioning to reveal complex causal layers, stimulating a culture shift from mere remediation to ongoing continuous improvement [3]. When integrated seamlessly into compliance workflows, this methodology fosters a culture that reduces repeat failures, optimizes processes, and

promotes proactive risk management aligned with compliance maturity models progressing toward integrated, risk-based regulatory programs [7, 8].

Embedding the Five Whys supports organizational evolution from fragmented reactive responses to a culture of continuous improvement, thereby minimizing recurring problems, enhancing operational efficiency, and aligning with frameworks emphasizing risk anticipation and holistic regulatory integration [7, 9]. The consistent application of the Five Whys is challenged by variability in practitioner expertise, resistance to deep investigative inquiry, constrained resources, and siloed communication channels. Overcoming these impediments requires intentional leadership, cross-functional collaboration, and structured training programs to embed the RCA mindset deeply into organizational culture and behavior [10]. This article explores how the Five Whys methodology can act as a strategic enabler, uncovering hidden vulnerabilities, fostering interdisciplinary learning, strengthening governance, and empowering organizations to meet evolving regulatory demands with resilience and ethical rigor [3, 11].

## II. THE FIVE WHYS METHODOLOGY IN ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

Effective application of the Five Whys demands rigorous planning, skilled facilitation, and adherence to industry best practices. The process commences with a concise problem statement that maintains focus and relevance, preventing digression and unproductive

discourse [9, 12]. Establishing cross-functional teams mitigates bias and allows a thorough examination of interrelated systemic factors [11, 13]. Facilitators play a pivotal role by posing neutral, open-ended "Why?" questions, insisting on evidence-based responses rather than speculation, and meticulously documenting findings for transparency and audit readiness [3, 7, 14, 15].

Pitfalls include premature cessation of questioning, individual blame misattributed to systemic causes, and cognitive biases such as groupthink limiting depth. To enhance rigor, Five Whys is often complemented by tools like Ishikawa (fishbone) diagrams and Pareto analysis to map causal factors and prioritize issues, supporting comprehensive data-driven decisions [3, 16, 17]. Successful sessions necessitate deliberate preparation, inclusive participation, rigorous validation, and commitment to systemic insight driving corrective actions and operational resilience [18].

### **III. HISTORICAL CONTEXT AND SIGNIFICANCE**

Developed in the 1930s as part of Sakichi Toyoda's Toyota Production System, the Five Whys was conceived to advance continuous improvement (Kaizen) and efficient problem resolution within automotive manufacturing [19]. Its elegant simplicity and versatility facilitated widespread adoption beyond manufacturing, spanning healthcare, information technology, finance, and regulatory compliance, to address complex operational and systemic challenges [20, 21]. In healthcare, it identifies latent process weaknesses often overlooked by incident reporting; in IT, it aids diagnosis of system failures and security breaches; in compliance settings, it supports investigations of regulatory breaches and ethical violations [22, 23, 24].

Compared to other RCA tools, the Five Whys is easily accessible requiring minimal training. More complex tools—Fishbone diagrams, Fault Tree Analysis, and Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA)—offer broader or quantitative insights but with greater demands and complexity. Organizations often customize Five Whys iterations and integrate complementary tools to sustain effectiveness within continuous improvement initiatives [25, 26].

### **IV. BENEFITS AND REGULATORY INTEGRATION**

Organizations applying the Five Whys consistently report measurable compliance and operational benefits. For example, a global logistics firm cut shipment errors by

30% within one year, reducing remediation costs and enhancing customer satisfaction; a financial services company addressed anti-money laundering gaps, averting regulatory sanctions [21].

Regulators increasingly demand demonstrable root cause analyses as evidence of compliance program robustness. The U.S. Department of Justice's 2024 corporate compliance evaluation explicitly identifies comprehensive root cause investigation as a hallmark of effective compliance culture and risk management. The Five Whys provides a repeatable, transparent, and auditable mechanism readily assessable by auditors and regulators [26]. Incorporating Five Whys findings into compliance reporting enhances transparency, supports due diligence, and strengthens governance accountability, facilitating legal defense and dispute resolution [27, 28].

### **V. STRUCTURED RCA WITH THE FIVE WHYS**

RCA moves beyond mere fact-finding by uncovering deeper organizational drivers of compliance failures, enabling targeted corrective actions that mitigate risks and prevent recurrence [29, 30]. The Five Whys typically unfolds over five iterative inquiries, focusing on objective, evidence-based inquiry to identify systemic vulnerabilities such as inadequate training, ambiguous policies, or organizational cultural gaps [1, 31].

Maintaining objectivity separates root cause analysis from disciplinary functions, fostering collaborative, insightful investigations. Regular application changes compliance from reactive problem-solving to proactive risk management with continuous improvement essential for resilience [20, 29, 30].

### **VI. FIVE-STEP FRAMEWORK FOR APPLYING THE FIVE WHYS METHODOLOGY**

#### **A. Step One: Define the Problem with Clarity and Evidence**

The initial step requires articulating a precise problem statement grounded in verifiable facts, such as documented incidents, observations, or empirical data. This clarity ensures that all team members share a common understanding and that the investigation remains focused on the actual failure or event that triggered the analysis. A well-defined problem statement prevents diversion into irrelevant topics and establishes a firm foundation for subsequent inquiry [1, 20, 30].

### **B. Step Two: Identify the Direct Cause via Objective Evidence**

Once the problem is clearly defined, the next phase involves determining the immediate cause by examining specific actions, decisions, or oversights directly responsible for the issue. This step requires meticulous review of documented evidence, such as process logs, procedural compliance records, or incident reports, ensuring that the identified cause is fact-based and not speculative. Recognizing the direct cause contextualizes the problem in actionable terms and links it explicitly to the identified failure [1, 31].

### **C. Step Three: Analyze Contributing Systemic Factors**

This stage moves beyond immediate causes to inspect the systemic and organizational conditions that made the direct cause possible. Common contributing factors include ineffective training, ambiguous or outdated procedures, weak supervision, or insufficient communication. By identifying these underlying conditions, organizations can uncover latent vulnerabilities that may cause recurrent issues, allowing corrective efforts to address not only symptoms but the broader systemic environment [29, 30].

### **D. Step Four: Examine Broader Organizational Systems and Leadership Influences**

Deepening the inquiry, this step explores why systemic contributing factors persist within the organizational structure. It scrutinizes leadership roles, resource allocations, policy effectiveness, supervisory frameworks, and cultural norms that collectively enable vulnerabilities to remain unaddressed. Leadership's commitment to communication, training, and resource provision is essential in this stage, as failure in these dimensions perpetuates risk exposure. Addressing systemic weaknesses requires strategic organizational reforms beyond isolated procedural fixes [30, 31].

## **VII. STEP FIVE: IDENTIFY ROOT ORGANIZATIONAL OR CULTURAL CAUSES FOR SUSTAINABLE CHANGE**

The final step surfaces the fundamental cultural or organizational causes that underpin systemic issues, such as leadership priorities, governance models, or allocation of strategic resources. It emphasizes the need for structural improvements, including comprehensive training programs, ongoing employee education, enhanced accountability mechanisms, and leadership engagement. This step is pivotal for transforming the analysis into sustainable organizational reform, fostering long-term

compliance resilience, ethical responsibility, and continuous operational improvement [1, 20, 29].

## **VIII. EMPIRICAL AND QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION**

Research complements qualitative RCA with quantitative assessments of Five Whys effectiveness. Key (2019) demonstrated trained facilitators improve root cause identification and corrective action quality, supported by metrics with strong inter-rater reliability. Surveys in Poland confirmed disciplined Five Whys application correlates with greater quality and risk reductions [13, 32].

Metrics such as recurrence rates, audit nonconformities, incident frequency, time to root cause, and cost savings (e.g., logistics firm's 30% error reduction and \$1.2 million savings) demonstrate operational benefits [13, 32, 33]. Limited longitudinal data indicate embedding Five Whys fosters proactive risk culture and sustained compliance improvements [2, 13]. Process indicators—participant engagement, facilitator competencies, and documentation quality—inform maturity alongside qualitative feedback [2, 33].

## **IX. INTEGRATION INTO COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS**

Adoption challenges include organizational resistance, leadership gaps, and insufficient training necessitating deliberate change management. Executive sponsorship, resource commitment, and tailored training foster effective implementation [34, 35]. Incentives rewarding problem solving and psychological safety encourage transparency and inquiry, while embedding Five Whys within existing processes supports habitual cultural integration enhancing agility and resilience [6, 36].

## **X. CASE STUDIES: CYBERSECURITY AND LOGISTICS**

### **1) Cybersecurity**

Neto et al. (2020) analyzed a significant data breach at a major financial institution due to AWS WAF misconfiguration exploited by SSRF attacks, compromising sensitive data of over 100 million customers. Root causes including weak identity and access controls, delayed detection, and fragmented governance exposed regulatory compliance gaps. The study calls for continuous auditing, timely patching, and integrated security culture alongside regulatory harmonization [37].

### **2) Logistics**

Gutiérrez Gutiérrez et al. (2016) applied Lean Six Sigma with DMAIC methodology in consumer electronics logistics to improve payment accuracy and cycle times. Utilizing SIPOC, Value Stream Mapping, and the Perfect Order Index, supported by management commitment and adaptive DMAIC use, the projects enhanced efficiency and transparency [38, 39].

Both cases underscore moving beyond surface fixes by rigorously applying root cause analysis. The cybersecurity breach highlights risks from weak technical controls and governance misalignment; logistics success demonstrates value in structured problem solving and flexible management. The Five Whys effectively reveals systemic root causes, supporting continuous improvement and risk management across domains [21, 32, 40].

## XI. CONCLUSION: STRATEGIC VALUE OF THE FIVE WHYS

The Five Whys method elevates compliance maturity by shifting from reactive fixes toward proactive, systemic risk management. It fosters deep inquiry into root causes, enabling data-driven solutions that remediate current and future risks. Embedded in compliance workflows, it cultivates transparency, accountability, and a culture of continuous improvement, fostering innovation and operational excellence [2, 41].

Emerging advances in artificial intelligence and machine learning will further augment Five Whys application by automating data analysis and hypothesis generation, while human expertise remains indispensable for contextual judgment and ethical interpretation [9]. The method's elegant simplicity and adaptability position as a cornerstone for agile and rigorous risk management strategies amid increasingly complex global regulatory environments [41, 42]. By prioritizing systemic issues over individual blame, the Five Whys reinforces ethical governance, shared accountability, and strengthens stakeholder confidence. It empowers compliance professionals to elevate their roles from reactive troubleshooters to proactive stewards of continuous learning, innovation, and organizational resilience [11, 41, 45].

## REFERENCES

1. M. F. Rajeh, 5 WHYS: One of the simplest and fastest problem-solving ways to get to the root of the problem, Independently published, 2020.
2. J. Roussel, "5 whys: A simple tool for root cause analysis and goal setting," 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://blog.kainexus.com/continuous-improvement/5-whys>.
3. S. Traeger, "How to conduct a 5 whys root cause analysis," 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://easyrca.com/blog/how-to-conduct-5-whys-root-cause-analysis/>.
4. A. Fessi, Digital transformation: How to lead business transformation through digital platforms and AI, Independently published, 2025.
5. S. D. Singh, Research methods in business risk management. Arts & Science, Academic Publishing, 2015.
6. L. Wilson, Creative problem solving: Root cause analysis, 5 Whys, Feynman technique and beyond, Independently published, 2023.
7. K. & T. C. Boothroyd, Fundamentals of risk management: Understanding, evaluating and implementing effective enterprise risk management, 7th ed., Kogan Page, 2024.
8. D. Kosutic, ISO 27001 risk management in plain English: A step-by-step handbook for information security practitioners in small businesses, Advisera Expert Solutions Ltd, 2016.
9. Anderson, B., & Fagerhaug, T., Root cause analysis: Simplified tools and techniques, ASQ Quality Press, 2006.
10. Perkins, S. J., & Arvinen-Muondo, R., Organizational behaviour: People, process, work and human resource management, Routledge, 2021.
11. H. Aguinis, Research methodology: Best practices for rigorous, credible, and impactful research, SAGE Publications, Inc, 2024.
12. Percarpio, K. B., Watts, V., & Weeks, W. B., "The effectiveness of root cause analysis: What does the literature tell us?," Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety, vol. 34, no. 7, p. 391–398, 2008.
13. B. A. Key, "Five Whys Root Cause System Effectiveness: A Two Factor Quantitative Review (Master's thesis)," 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://digitalcommons.wku.edu/theses/3098/>.
14. R. Kusserow, "Compliance root cause analysis (RCA) & identification," 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.compliance.com/resources/compliance-root-cause-analysis/>.
15. R. Thilakarathna, 5 Whys: The effective root cause analysis book: Root cause analysis problem-solving by 5 Whys the effective hard and normal problem-solving journal book, Independently published., 2025.
16. Blokdyk, G., Fishbone diagram: The ultimate step-by-step guide, Independently published, 2021.
17. R. G. Iyer, The art of creating Pareto analysis: A complete end-to-end guide to understand Pareto charts and easily create them in Excel | Pareto principle | Pareto chart in Excel | 80:20 rule, Independently published, 2021.
18. D. Mielo, Company culture: How to build an engaged and empowered workforce, Independently published, 2024.
19. De La Espriella, R., "The 5 Whys method: Origins and modern applications," 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://bluedragonrootcause.com/5-whys-method/>.



20. American Society for Quality (ASQ), "Five Whys and Five Hows," 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://asq.org/quality-resources/five-whys>.
21. IMD, "How to use the 5 Whys method to solve complex problems," 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.imd.org/blog/strategy/the-5-whys-technique/>.
22. Krager, D., & Krager, C., *HIPAA for health care professionals*, 2nd ed., Cengage Learning, 2017.
23. J. T. Marchewka, *Information Technology Project Management: Providing Measurable Organizational Value*, 9th ed., Wiley, 2022.
24. Musonza, V., & Musonza, T., *Information technology policies and procedures: Ensuring information security compliance*, Van Raalte Publishing, 2020.
25. Alkhatbah, H., & Magdy, Y., "Data analytics usage in improving hospitals quality in health care management: Case study, public hospitals in Kingdom of Saudia Arabia," *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1-14, 2025.
26. U.S. Department of Justice, Criminal Division (DOJCD), "Evaluation of corporate compliance programs," 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.justice.gov/criminal/criminal-fraud/page/file/937501/dl?inline=>.
27. G. P. Miller, *The law of governance, risk management, and compliance*, 2nd ed., Aspen Publishers, 2024.
28. U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), "The importance of root cause analysis during incident investigation," OSHA 3895, 2016.
29. Ethics & Compliance Initiative (ECI), "Ethics & compliance considerations in a remote working environment," 2021. [Online]. Available: [https://f.hubspotusercontent20.net/hubfs/4979575/Whitepapers/ECI\\_WGP\\_FINAL.pdf](https://f.hubspotusercontent20.net/hubfs/4979575/Whitepapers/ECI_WGP_FINAL.pdf).
30. M. Kaptein, "The effectiveness of ethics programs: The role of scope, composition, and sequence," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 132, no. 2, p. 415–431, 2015.
31. Treviño, L. & Nelson, K., *Managing Business Ethics: Straight Talk About How to Do It Right*, 8th ed., John Wiley & Sons, 2021.
32. Barsalou, M., Starzyńska, B., & Konrad, M., "Inquiry into the Use of Five Whys in Industry," *Quality Innovation Prosperity*, vol. 27, no. 1, p. 62–78, 2023.
33. D. C. Montgomery, *Design and analysis of experiments*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, 1976.
34. G. Hutchins, *ISO 31000: 2018 enterprise risk management. CERM Academy Series on Enterprise Risk Management, Certified Enterprise Risk Manager (R) Academy*, 2018.
35. J. C. Maxwell, *The 21 irrefutable laws of leadership workbook*, HarperChristian Resources, 2022.
36. K. Akinsola, "Legal compliance in corporate governance frameworks: Best practices for ensuring transparency, accountability, and risk mitigation," 2025. [Online]. Available: [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=5126542](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5126542).
37. J. Abma, "How to: Server-side request forgery (SSRF)," 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://www.hackerone.com/blog-How-To-Server-Side-Request-Forgery-SSRF>.
38. De Leeuw, S., Gutiérrez Gutiérrez, L. J., & Dubbers, R., "An integrative model for Lean Six Sigma implementation in logistics services environments," *Review of Business and Economic Literature*, vol. 58, no. 3, p. 211–230, 2013.
39. Gutiérrez Gutiérrez, L. J., De Leeuw, S., & Dubbers, R., "Logistics services and Lean Six Sigma implementation: A case study," *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 324–342, 2016.
40. LeanCor Logistics Blog (LLB), "The 5 Whys: Brilliant processes drive brilliant results," 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://leanlogisticsblog.wordpress.com/2011/09/28/the-5-whys-brilliant-processes-drive-brilliant-results/>.
41. J. Fader, "Ten top lessons from recent FCPA settlements: Lesson no. 4 — Start with a root cause analysis," 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://compliancepodcastnetwork.net/ten-top-lessons-from-recent-fcpa-settlements-lesson-no-4-start-with-a-root-cause-analysis/>.
42. S. Lyon, "How to conduct a root cause analysis," 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ncontracts.com/nsight-blog/how-to-conduct-a-root-cause-analysis>.