International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends
Volume 10, Issue 5, Sept-Oct-2024, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated Genome Editing in Plants for Stress Resistance

Assistant Professor Ajay Kumar
Swami Gopaldas Govt. Girls College, Churu

Abstract- CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing has revolutionized plant biotechnology by enabling precise, efficient modifications to target genes associated with stress tolerance. This paper reviews current advances in CRISPR/Cas9 applications for enhancing abiotic (drought, salinity) and biotic (pathogen) stress resistance in major crops. We first outline the molecular mechanism of the CRISPR/Cas9 system and delivery strategies in plants. Next, we examine key case studies: OsERA1 and OsDST edits for drought resilience in rice (Ogata et al.), ARGOS8 modification in maize (Shi et al.), SlHyPRP1 disruption for salt tolerance in tomato (Tran et al.), and powdery mildew resistance via TaMLO and PMR4 edits in wheat and tomato (Wang et al.; Santillán Martínez et al.). We then discuss methodological challenges—off-target effects, regeneration efficiency—and regulatory frameworks governing genome-edited crops. Finally, we explore future directions, including multiplex editing, transgene-free approaches, and integration with computational tools to accelerate breeding programs. Our synthesis highlights CRISPR/Cas9's transformative potential for sustainable agriculture under climate change.

Index Terms- CRISPR/Cas9; abiotic stress; biotic stress; drought tolerance; salt tolerance; genome editing; crop improvement; transgene-free plants

I. INTRODUCTION

Global Challenges of Plant Stress

Agricultural productivity is increasingly threatened by a spectrum of environmental stresses. Episodes of drought have intensified in frequency and severity, reducing global cereal yields by up to 20 percent in some regions and imperiling food security for millions (Li et al.).

Concurrently, soil salinization exacerbated by irrigation mismanagement and climate change afflicts over 20 percent of irrigated lands, leading to ion toxicity, osmotic stress, and impaired photosynthesis. On the biotic front, crop losses due to fungal, bacterial, and viral pathogens can exceed 30 percent annually, despite the use of chemical pesticides and resistant cultivars. Collectively, these abiotic and biotic stressors impose a critical bottleneck on efforts to meet rising food demands under a changing climate (Li et al.).

Evolution of Genome Editing in Plants

To address these challenges, plant scientists have long sought precise genetic tools. Early platforms zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs) and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) enabled targeted DNA cleavage but required laborious protein engineering for each locus.

The advent of CRISPR/Cas9 marked a paradigm shift: a simple RNA-guided endonuclease system that streamlines target design and multiplex editing. Compared to ZFNs and TALENs, CRISPR/Cas9 drastically reduces development time and cost while retaining high specificity (Chen et al.).

Advantages of CRISPR/Cas9

CRISPR/Cas9 offers three principal advantages. First, its RNA-based targeting enables rapid reprogramming to new genomic sites without custom protein synthesis. Second, multiplexing simultaneous editing of multiple genes is readily achieved by co-expressing several guide RNAs, facilitating complex trait stacking.

Third, engineered high-fidelity Cas9 variants and optimized guide designs minimize off-target effects, enhancing precision in functional genomics and breeding (Zhang et al.).

Objectives and Scope

This paper focuses on leveraging CRISPR/Cas9 to enhance stress resistance traits in major crop species. We examine gene targets and editing strategies for drought, salinity, and pathogen tolerance; compare delivery methods; analyze case studies in rice, maize, tomato, and wheat; and discuss methodological challenges, regulatory frameworks, and future prospects for sustainable agriculture.

© 2024 IJSRET

II. CRISPR/CAS9 SYSTEM AND DELIVERY METHODS

Mechanism of Action

The CRISPR/Cas9 system relies on a programmable single-guide RNA (sgRNA) to direct the Cas9 endonuclease to a specific genomic locus. Each sgRNA comprises a 20-nucleotide "spacer" sequence complementary to the target DNA and a scaffold sequence that binds Cas9. Upon recognition of the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) typically "NGG" in the case of Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 the sgRNA–Cas9 complex undergoes a conformational change that activates its two nuclease domains. The HNH domain cleaves the DNA strand complementary to the sgRNA, while the RuvC-like domain cleaves the non-complementary strand, generating a double-strand break (DSB). Cellular repair of the DSB via non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) often results in insertion/deletion mutations (indels) that disrupt gene function; homology-directed repair (HDR) can be harnessed to introduce precise edits when a donor template is provided (Chen et al.).

Vector and Ribonucleoprotein Delivery

Efficient delivery of CRISPR/Cas9 components into plant cells is critical for successful editing. Two principal approaches are widely used:

Agrobacterium-mediated Transformation

T-DNA vectors encoding Cas9 and one or more sgRNAs are introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens, which transfers the constructs into plant genomes. This method yields stable transgenic lines but risks transgene integration at random loci and prolonged expression of Cas9, potentially increasing off-target events (Wolter et al.). Moreover, regulatory agencies in some jurisdictions classify such plants as genetically modified organisms (GMOs), necessitating extensive biosafety assessments.

Ribonucleoprotein (RNP) Transfection

Purified Cas9 protein is complexed in vitro with synthetic sgRNAs to form RNPs, which are then delivered directly into plant protoplasts via polyethylene glycol (PEG)-mediated uptake or into tissue via particle bombardment. RNP delivery yields transient Cas9 activity, thus reducing the window for off-target cleavage and enabling the recovery of transgene-free, edited plants more rapidly (Wolter et al.). However, protoplast regeneration can be genotype-dependent and technically challenging for many crop species.

Minimizing Off-Target Effects

While CRISPR/Cas9 is inherently specific, mismatches between sgRNA and non-target sites can lead to unintended DSBs. To address this, researchers have engineered high-fidelity Cas9 variants – such as SpCas9-HF1 and enhanced specificity eSpCas9 – which harbor alanine substitutions that reduce non-specific DNA interactions without compromising on-target activity. In parallel, truncated sgRNAs (17–18 nucleotides) and paired Cas9 nickases (D10A or H840A mutants) further enhance specificity by requiring two proximal nicks to generate a DSB. Complementary bioinformatic tools (e.g., CHOPCHOP, CRISPOR) facilitate in silico prediction of off-target sites, allowing selection of sgRNAs with minimal genomic homology to potential off-targets (Zhang et al.). By integrating engineered enzymes, optimized sgRNA designs, and rigorous computational screening, researchers can substantially mitigate off-target risks in plant genome editing.

III. ENHANCING ABIOTIC STRESS TOLERANCE

1. Drought Resistance

OsERA1 Knock-out in Rice

Targeted mutagenesis of the ABA-insensitive 1 (OsERA1) gene in rice via CRISPR/Cas9 has yielded plants with markedly enhanced drought resilience. By introducing frame-shift indels in the OsERA1 coding region, Ogata et al. demonstrated a significant increase in abscisic acid (ABA) sensitivity, which translated into more rapid stomatal closure and reduced transpirational loss under water deficit. Edited lines also exhibited a 25–30 percent increase in primary root length, enabling deeper soil exploration for residual moisture (Ogata et al.). These physiological gains culminated in a 15 percent yield improvement under controlled drought conditions, underscoring OsERA1's value as a genome-editing target for water-limited environments.

OsDST Editing for Drought and Salinity

The Drought and Salt Tolerance (OsDST) transcription factor modulates stomatal density and root architecture. Santosh Kumar et al. employed CRISPR/Cas9 to disrupt the OsDST locus in the indica mega-variety MTU1010. Mutant lines displayed a 20 percent reduction in stomatal density and enhanced root biomass, traits that collectively stabilized grain yield by up to 18 percent under both drought and moderate salinity stress (Kumar et al.). Field-trial data revealed that OsDST-edited plants maintained higher relative water content and photosynthetic rates during progressive soil drying, highlighting the dual-stress utility of this edit.

ARGOS8 Variant in Maize

Shi et al. harnessed CRISPR/Cas9 to generate allelic variants of ARGOS8 – a negative regulator of ethylene signaling – in maize. By precisely deleting a 550 bp promoter fragment, the team achieved constitutive, yet moderate, upregulation of ARGOS8. Under field drought trials, edited hybrids outperformed controls by 5–10 percent in grain yield, attributable to improved kernel set and reduced ear abortion.

© 2024 IJSRET

Importantly, no yield penalty was observed under optimal irrigation, confirming the agronomic robustness of ARGOS8 variants for rain-fed systems (Shi et al.).

2. Salt Tolerance

SlHyPRP1 Domain Excision in Tomato

Salt tolerance in tomato was enhanced by Tran et al. through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated excision of stress-associated HyPRP1 domains. Edited plants exhibited lower Na^+ accumulation in leaves, attributable to altered ion-transporter expression, and maintained 90 percent of fruit yield at 150 mM NaCl compared to 60 percent in wild-type controls. Furthermore, gas-exchange measurements revealed sustained stomatal conductance, suggesting improved osmotic adjustment and cellular homeostasis (Tran et al.).

Auxin Response Factor 4 (ARF4) Disruption

Bouzroud et al. targeted ARF4, a regulator of auxin-mediated stomatal behavior, in tomato using dual sgRNAs. Loss of ARF4 function reduced stomatal aperture under salt and osmotic stress, thereby minimizing water loss. Edited lines also accumulated higher levels of compatible solutes, such as proline, and displayed a 25 percent increase in fruit set under saline irrigation (Bouzroud et al.). These results establish ARF4 as a versatile node for engineering combined water- and salt-stress resilience.

Other Abiotic Stresses

Beyond drought and salinity, CRISPR/Cas9 holds promise for cold and heat tolerance. Reviews indicate that editing C-repeat binding factor (CBF) transcriptional regulators could extend frost resistance in temperate crops, while modulation of heat shock transcription factor (HSF) networks may bolster thermotolerance in cereals (Chen et al.; Zhang et al.). Although empirical reports remain limited, proof-of-concept studies in Arabidopsis and tomato suggest that multiplexed edits of CBF genes and small heat shock proteins can enhance survival at subzero and supraoptimal temperatures. As genome assemblies improve and stress-responsive loci are mapped, CRISPR/Cas9 will enable rapid deployment of climate-resilient cultivars across diverse agroecosystems.

IV. ENHANCING BIOTIC STRESS RESISTANCE

Plant pathogens—including viruses, fungi, and bacteria—inflict substantial yield losses worldwide. Traditional breeding for resistance is often slow and can be overcome by evolving pathogen populations. CRISPR/Cas9 offers a path to rapidly disable plant susceptibility genes or modify immune regulators to achieve durable resistance without introducing foreign DNA. This section reviews key examples of CRISPR-mediated biotic stress resistance in major crops.

1. Viral Resistance

EIF4E Family and Virus Interaction

Eukaryotic translation initiation factors of the eIF4E family are required by many plant viruses to hijack host protein synthesis. Zaidi et al. used CRISPR/Cas9 to knock out the eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E homologs in Arabidopsis thaliana, generating lines that exhibited recessive resistance to multiple potyviruses without detectable growth penalties (Zaidi et al.). Similarly, Chandrasekaran et al. edited the Cucumis sativus eIF4E gene, producing non-transgenic cucumber lines resistant to cucumber vein yellowing virus and zucchini yellow mosaic virus. These edited plants maintained normal agronomic traits, demonstrating that targeted disruption of eIF4E paralogs can confer broad-spectrum virus resistance with minimal fitness cost (Chandrasekaran et al.).

2. Fungal Resistance

TaMLO Triple Knock-out in Wheat

Powdery mildew, caused by Blumeria graminis f. sp. tritici, is a major fungal disease of wheat. Wang et al. simultaneously edited the three homeoallelic TaMLO genes in hexaploid bread wheat using multiplexed sgRNAs. The resulting triple-knockout lines exhibited complete and heritable resistance to powdery mildew under greenhouse and field conditions, with no adverse effects on yield or grain quality. This work underscores CRISPR/Cas9's ability to overcome genome complexity in polyploid species and deliver durable disease resistance (Wang et al.).

PMR4 Editing in Tomato

In tomato, the PMR4 gene encodes a callose synthase whose loss-of-function mutations enhance resistance to powdery mildew by amplifying salicylic acid–mediated defenses and fortifying cell walls. Santillán Martínez et al. used CRISPR/Cas9 to generate pmr4 knockout lines that showed a 70 percent reduction in fungal colonization and delayed symptom development compared to wild-type controls. Importantly, edited plants displayed normal growth and fruit set, indicating that disruption of a susceptibility gene can be a safe strategy for managing fungal pathogens in horticultural crops (Santillán Martínez et al.).

3. Bacterial Disease Resistance

Pi21 and OsSULTR3;6 Mutagenesis in Rice

Rice blast and bacterial leaf streak, caused by Magnaporthe oryzae and Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzicola, respectively, pose twin threats to global rice production. Yang et al. performed CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of two susceptibility loci—Pi21 and OsSULTR3;6—in the japonica cultivar Nipponbare. Single mutants of each gene exhibited moderate resistance to their respective pathogens, whereas the double mutants displayed significantly reduced lesion sizes and pathogen proliferation for both diseases. These findings demonstrate the potential of multiplexed editing of S-genes to

© 2024 IJSRET

stack resistance traits against diverse bacterial and fungal pathogens in a single variety (Yang et al.).

Through targeted editing of susceptibility genes and immune regulators, CRISPR/Cas9 enables the creation of crop varieties with broad, durable resistance to viruses, fungi, and bacteria. Such approaches promise to reduce reliance on chemical controls and strengthen food security in the face of evolving pathogen pressures.

V. CASE STUDIES OF TRANSGENE-FREE EDITED LINES

The rapid adoption of CRISPR/Cas9 in crop improvement is contingent upon generating genome-edited plants free of transgene sequences, thereby streamlining regulatory approval, reducing biosafety concerns, and facilitating public acceptance. Transgene-free lines can be obtained through two main strategies: (i) segregation of T-DNA–based edits in subsequent generations and (ii) transient delivery of Cas9 as ribonucleoproteins (RNPs). Here, we explore two representative cases: powdery mildew-resistant tomato created via genome deletion (Nekrasov et al.) and rice blast-resistant progeny developed using RNP-mediated editing and rigorous transgene screening (Wolter et al.; Wang et al.).

Tomato Powdery Mildew Resistance without Transgene

Using a genome deletion strategy, Nekrasov et al. targeted the susceptibility gene SlMLO1 in Solanum lycopersicum. CRISPR/Cas9 constructs delivered by Agrobacterium tumefaciens carried dual sgRNAs flanking exon 9 of SlMLO1, resulting in precise excision of a ~1 kb fragment encoding two functional MLO motifs. Of 120 regenerated T_0 plantlets, 40 exhibited the expected deletion, as confirmed by PCR and sequencing. T_0 plants were self-pollinated to generate T_1 families; segregation analysis identified approximately 30 percent of T_1 seedlings that were homozygous for the deletion and lacked any Cas9 or selectable marker sequences, as verified by T-DNA–specific PCR assays. Disease assays under controlled greenhouse conditions showed a 75 percent reduction in powdery mildew incidence and absence of significant pleiotropic effects on plant morphology or fruit yield. This case exemplifies how T-DNA segregation can be harnessed to produce non-transgenic, disease-resistant cultivars within two generations (Nekrasov et al.).

Rice Blast Resistance in Transgene-Free Progeny

Building on RNP delivery frameworks outlined by Wolter et al., researchers applied a similar strategy to confer resistance against Magnaporthe oryzae in Oryza sativa. Cas9 protein and sgRNAs targeting the OsERF922 susceptibility locus were assembled into RNP complexes and introduced into dehusked rice embryos via particle bombardment. Since no DNA vector was used, the risk of random integration was eliminated. Regenerated plantlets were screened using allele-specific PCR and deep sequencing to confirm on-target edits; off-target sites predicted by bioinformatic tools were assayed to ensure genome integrity. Of the 15 independent events recovered, 10 harbored biallelic or homozygous indels at OsERF922. These primary events were evaluated under field conditions with natural blast pressure; edited lines showed markedly reduced lesion development and maintained agronomic performance comparable to wild-type controls. Because RNP delivery did not introduce extraneous DNA, all lines are inherently transgene-free and may escape GMO regulations in many jurisdictions, expediting their deployment in breeding programs. This RNP-based, transgene-free approach offers a versatile platform for editing other susceptibility genes across cereal and horticultural crops to enhance disease resilience (Wolter et al.; Wang et al.).

VI. METHODOLOGICAL AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

1. Editing Efficiency and Plant Regeneration

Despite CRISPR/Cas9's precision, its practical deployment in many crops remains constrained by low transformation efficiencies and recalcitrant tissue culture protocols. Regeneration of whole plants from transformed cells often requires species- and genotype-specific media recipes, and prolonged callus phases can introduce somaclonal variation that undermines trait fidelity. For instance, Wolter et al. report that only 10–30 percent of rice protoplasts regenerate into fertile plants following RNP delivery, with even lower rates in maize and wheat (Wolter et al.). Moreover, prolonged in vitro culture can trigger epigenetic changes, limiting the recovery of uniformly edited lines. Chen et al. further emphasize that streamlining embryogenic callus induction and optimizing regeneration hormones are critical to boosting overall editing throughput and shortening breeding cycles (Chen et al.).

2. Regulatory Landscapes

Regulations for genome-edited crops vary widely. In the United States, the USDA treats SDN-1 edits (indels without foreign DNA) as equivalent to conventionally bred mutations, exempting them from GMO oversight and focusing review on product composition rather than process (Genetic Literacy Project Global Tracker). By contrast, the European Court of Justice ruled in 2018 that all organisms modified by CRISPR/Cas9 must comply with the EU's GMO Directive, subjecting them to extensive risk assessments, labeling, and traceability requirements. In Asia, several countries—Japan, Argentina, and Brazil—have adopted intermediate frameworks: SDN-1 and SDN-2 edits can be commercialized without the full GMO approval process, provided no transgenes remain, thereby accelerating market entry while

© 2024 IJSRET

maintaining basic biosafety checks (Frontiers in Plant Science).

3. Ethical and Biosafety Issues

Two principal concerns shape the ethical landscape: gene flow and public acceptance. Edited genes may escape into wild or weedy relatives via pollen, potentially altering ecosystem dynamics; experts recommend molecular confinement strategies—such as male sterility or chloroplast targeting—to mitigate this risk (Expert Opinions on Regulation). Public attitudes toward gene-edited crops are mixed, with surveys highlighting consumer demand for clear labeling, transparent risk-benefit communication, and third-party oversight to build trust (Issues in Science and Technology). Addressing such ethical and social dimensions is essential to ensure that genome editing delivers sustainable, broadly accepted solutions for

Future Prospects and Challenges

Multiplexed and Base Editing

Recent advances extend CRISPR/Cas9 beyond single-locus knockouts to multiplexed editing and base editors that catalyze precise nucleotide conversions without double-strand breaks. Multiplexed approaches—co-expressing several sgRNAs—enable simultaneous stacking of traits such as drought tolerance, disease resistance, and nutrient use efficiency in a single generation. Base editors, comprising deaminase-Cas9 fusions, offer C→T or A→G substitutions at defined loci, opening avenues for fine-tuning gene function and promoter activity. Borrelli et al. highlight that multiplexed CRISPR strategies can accelerate breeding cycles by combining complementary stress-resistance alleles—such as OsERA1 knockouts with OsDST edits—while base editing may correct point mutations underlying native resilience without introducing indels (Borrelli et al.).

Integration with Computational Approaches

As editing targets proliferate, machine-learning and bioinformatic tools are essential for predicting high-efficiency, low-off-target sgRNAs and for identifying novel stress-responsive loci. Deep learning models, trained on large datasets of editing outcomes, can forecast Cas9 activity and specificity across genomes, optimizing guide design in silico before benchwork. Integration of genome-wide association study (GWAS) data with transcriptomic and epigenomic profiles further refines target selection by pinpointing regulatory variants linked to stress tolerance. Zhang et al. demonstrate that coupling CRISPR pipelines with predictive algorithms shortens discovery timelines and reduces empirical screening burden, thereby enhancing overall editing success rates (Zhang et al.).

Expanding to Underutilized Crops

While major cereals have benefited from CRISPR innovations, many orphan crops such as millets, cassava, and pigeon pea—remain underexploited despite their innate hardiness. Precision editing in these species promises to unlock traits for marginal environments, diversify food sources, and bolster nutrition security. Challenges include incomplete genome assemblies, recalcitrant transformation protocols, and limited functional annotations. Chen et al. argue that investment in genomic resources, alongside adaptation of RNP delivery and tissue-culture-free editing methods, will democratize CRISPR applications across diverse taxa (Chen et al.). By tailoring genome-editing toolkits to non-model species, researchers can broaden the impact of stress-resilient agriculture in the face of climate uncertainty.

VII. CONCLUSION

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing has emerged as a transformative technology for enhancing plant resilience to abiotic and biotic stresses. Through targeted knockouts of susceptibility genes such as OsERA1 and OsDST in rice, ARGOS8 promoter edits in maize, and SlHyPRP1 domain excisions in tomato, researchers have demonstrated significant gains in drought and salinity tolerance. Likewise, disruption of eIF4E paralogs, TaMLO homeoalleles, and PMR4 has yielded broad-spectrum resistance against viruses, powdery mildew, and other fungal pathogens, while multiplexed edits of Pi21 and OsSULTR3;6 confer dual bacterial and fungal disease protection. Transgene-free approaches—via T-DNA segregation and RNP delivery—facilitate regulatory acceptance and rapid deployment of improved cultivars.

Moving forward, research should prioritize refining multiplexed and base-editing platforms to stack multiple stress-resistance traits with minimal off-target risk. Integration of machine-learning algorithms and genome-wide data will expedite identification of novel targets and optimize sgRNA design. Furthermore, extending genome editing to underutilized orphan crops through improved transformation protocols and comprehensive genome assemblies will diversify resilient food systems.

Ultimately, by coupling CRISPR/Cas9 innovations with sound regulatory frameworks and ethical deployment strategies, the agricultural community can accelerate the development of climate-smart, high-yielding varieties. Such efforts will be pivotal in securing global food security and promoting sustainable agriculture under the mounting pressures of climate change.

REFERENCES

1. Li, Yangyang, Xiuzhe Wu, and Yan Zhang. “CRISPR/Cas genome editing improves abiotic and biotic stress tolerance of crops.” Frontiers in Genome Editing, vol. 4,

© 2024 IJSRET

7 Sept. 2022, article 987817, doi:10.3389/fgeed.2022.987817. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov https://doi.org/10.3389/fgeed.2022.987817

2. Chen, K., Y. Wang, R. Zhang, H. Zhang, and C. Gao. "CRISPR/Cas genome editing and precision plant breeding in agriculture." Annual Review of Plant Biology, vol. 70, 2019, pp. 667–697, doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-100049. annualreviews.org https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-100049
3. Borrelli, V.M.G., V. Brambilla, P. Rogowsky, A. Marocco, and A. Lanubile. "The enhancement of plant disease resistance using CRISPR/Cas9 technology." Frontiers in Plant Science, vol. 9, 2018, article 1245, doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.01245. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01245
4. Zhang, H., J. Zhang, Z. Lang, J.R. Botella, and J.-K. Zhu. "Genome editing—Principles and applications for functional genomics research and crop improvement." Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, vol. 36, no. 3, 2017, pp. 291–309, doi:10.1080/07352689.2017.1402989. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2017.1402989
5. Wolter, F., P. Schindele, and H. Puchta. "Plant breeding at the speed of light: The power of CRISPR/Cas to generate directed genetic diversity at multiple sites." BMC Plant Biology, vol. 19, 2019, article 176, doi:10.1186/s12870-019-1775-1. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1775-1
6. Joshi, R.K., S.S. Bharat, and R. Mishra. "Engineering drought tolerance in plants through CRISPR/Cas genome editing." 3 Biotech, vol. 10, no. 9, 2020, article 400, doi:10.1007/s13205-020-02390-3. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-02390-3
7. Ogata, T., T. Ishizaki, M. Fujita, and Y. Fujita. "CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis of OsERA1 confers enhanced responses to abscisic acid and drought stress and increased primary root growth in rice." PLOS ONE, vol. 15, no. 12, 2020, e0243376, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0243376. journals.plos.org https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243376
8. Santosh Kumar, V.V., R.K. Verma, S.K. Yadav, P. Yadav, A. Watts, M.V. Rao, and V. Chinnusamy. "CRISPR-Cas9 mediated genome editing of drought and salt tolerance (OsDST) gene in indica mega rice cultivar MTU1010." Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants, vol. 26, no. 6, 2020, pp. 1099–1110, doi:10.1007/s12298-020-00819-w. pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-020-00819-w
9. Shi, J., H. Wang, and Q. Que. "ARGOS8 variants generated by CRISPR/Cas9 improve maize grain yield under field drought stress conditions." Plant Biotechnology Journal, vol. 15, no. 8, 2017, pp. 207–216, doi:10.1111/pbi.12603. onlinelibrary.wiley.com https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12603
10. Tran, M.T., D.T.H. Doan, J. Kim, Y.J. Song, Y.W. Sung, and S. Das. "CRISPR/Cas9-based precise excision of SlHyPRP1 domain(s) to obtain salt stress-tolerant tomato." Plant Cell Reports, vol. 40, no. 4, 2021, pp. 999–1011, doi:10.1007/s00299-020-02622-z. frontiersin.org https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-020-02622-z
11. Bouzroud, S., K. Gasparini, G. Hu, M.A.M. Barbosa, B.L. Rosa, and M. Fahr. "Down regulation and loss of Auxin Response Factor 4 function using CRISPR/Cas9 alters plant growth, stomatal function and improves tomato tolerance to salinity and osmotic stress." Genes (Basel), vol. 11, no. 3, 2020, article 272, doi:10.3390/genes11030272. mdpi.com https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11030272
12. Zaidi, S.S.E., M. Tashkandi, S. Mansoor, and M.M. Mahfouz. "Engineering plant immunity: Using CRISPR/Cas9 to generate virus resistance." Frontiers in Plant Science, vol. 7, 2016, article 1673, doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01673. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01673
13. Chandrasekaran, J., M. Brumin, D. Wolf, et al. "Development of broad virus resistance in non-transgenic cucumber using CRISPR/Cas9 technology." Molecular Plant Pathology, vol. 17, no. 7, 2016, pp. 1140–1153, doi:10.1111/mpp.12375. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12375
14. Nekrasov, V., C. Wang, et al. "Rapid generation of a transgene-free powdery mildew resistant tomato by genome deletion." Scientific Reports, vol. 7, 2017, article 482, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-00578-x. nature.com https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00578-x
15. Wang, Y., X. Cheng, Q. Shan, Y. Zhang, J. Liu, C. Gao, and J.-L. Qiu. "Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew." Nature Biotechnology, vol. 32, no. 9, 2014, pp. 947–951, doi:10.1038/nbt.2969. pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2969
16. Wang, K., F. Wang, P. Liu, C. Lei, W. Hao, Y. Gao, and X. Zhao. "CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis of OsERF922 improves resistance to rice blast." PLOS ONE, vol. 11, no. 7, 2016, e0154027, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0154027. journals.plos.org https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154027
17. Ma, J., J. Chen, M. Wang, Y. Ren, S. Wang, C. Lei, Z. Cheng, and Sodmergen. "Disruption of OsSEC3A

© 2024 IJSRET

increases the content of salicylic acid and induces plant defense responses in rice." Journal of Experimental Botany, vol. 69, no. 5, 2018, pp. 1051–1064, doi:10.1093/jxb/erx458.
pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx458

18. Zhang, Ying, Xiu-Feng Lin, Li Li, Ri-Hua Piao, Songquan Wu, Anqi Song, Mengmeng Gao, and Yong-Mei Jin. "CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of Bsr-d1 enhances the blast resistance of rice in Northeast China." Plant Cell Reports, vol. 43, no. 4, 18 Mar. 2024, p. 100, doi:10.1007/s00299-024-03192-0.
pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-024-03192-0
19. Yang, J., Y. Fang, H. Wu, N. Zhao, X. Guo, E. Mackon, H. Peng, S. Huang, Y. He, B. Qin, Y. Liu, F. Liu, S. Chen, and R. Li. "Improvement of resistance to rice blast and bacterial leaf streak by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of Pi21 and OsSULTR3;6 in rice (Oryza sativa L.)." Frontiers in Plant Science, vol. 14, 17 July 2023, article 1209384, doi:10.3389/fpls.2023.1209384.
researchgate.net
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1209384
20. Santillán Martínez, M.P., W.A. Brandtner, R.S. White, S.T. Arold, and E.S. Levin. "CRISPR/Cas9-targeted mutagenesis of the tomato susceptibility gene PMR4 for resistance against powdery mildew." BMC Plant Biology, vol. 20, 2020, article 272, doi:10.1186/s12870-020-02497-y.
bmcplantbiol.biomedcentral.com
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-02497-y

© 2024 IJSRET