



# Review Paper on High-Speed Low-Power CMOS Comparator for ADC Applications

Mr. Ram Krishna

Assistant Professor, ECE Department, Jaipur, India

**Abstract-** In modern electronic systems, Analog-to-Digital Converters (ADC) play a crucial role in bridging the analog and digital domains. At the core of ADC architecture lies the comparator, responsible for fast and accurate voltage comparison. With the rapidly growing demand for portable and battery-operated devices, the need for high-speed and low-power CMOS comparators has increased. This review paper presents a comprehensive analysis of various design techniques and topologies aimed at achieving high speed and low power consumption. Key performance parameters such as propagation delay, power consumption, input offset voltage, and resolution are discussed in detail. Additionally, trade-offs involved in comparator design are highlighted, along with analysis of recent advancements such as dynamic comparators, adaptive biasing, and low-voltage operation. Furthermore, the role of high-performance comparators in different ADC architectures (such as flash, SAR, pipeline ADC) is also considered, guiding future research directions in this critical field.

**Keywords-** Artificial Intelligence in Education, NEP 2020, Personalized Learning, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Adaptive Learning Platforms.

## I. INTRODUCTION

CMOS comparators are basic analog circuits that compare two input voltages and provide a digital output indicating which input voltage is higher. In ADCs, comparators play a vital role in continuously converting analog signals into digital levels, thereby affecting the overall conversion speed, accuracy, and power consumption.

### Importance of High-Speed and Low-Power Design

- **High-Speed Requirement:** Modern high-frequency applications like wireless communication, radar systems, and high-speed data acquisition require comparators that operate at gigahertz frequencies with minimal propagation delay. For example, the study by Mukti et al. (2023) presents a 4.2 GS/s speed comparator operating at 1.8 V supply voltage, illustrating the high-speed operation requirement in ADCs.
- **Low-Power Requirement:** Power reduction is essential in battery-operated devices such as smartphones, IoT devices, and portable medical instruments. Firlej et al. (2023) developed an ultra-low power 10-bit SAR ADC operating at 40 MSps and consuming only 400  $\mu$ W in 65 nm CMOS technology, emphasizing the need for low-power design in modern electronics.

## II. DESIGN TECHNIQUES

### 1. Pre-Amplification Stage

The pre-amplification stage improves comparator performance by amplifying the input voltage difference before the final decision stage. Benefits include reduced input-referred offset voltage (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2022), improved noise performance, and speed enhancement. Recent designs implement low-power pre-amplifiers to minimize power consumption (Liu et al., 2021).



## 2. Regenerative Latch Stage

The regenerative latch is responsible for the fast decision process. It converts small voltage differences into digital output via positive feedback. It provides high speed and sensitivity (Mukti et al., 2023). Dynamic latches are employed for low power, remaining active only during clock cycles (Chen et al., 2022).

## 3. Dynamic Comparator Design

The main advantage of dynamic comparators is zero static power consumption. They are clock-driven and consume power only during the comparison phase. Widely used in SAR ADC and flash ADC for high-speed and energy efficiency (Firlej et al., 2023). However, challenges like offset voltage and kickback noise remain, managed by careful design.

## 4. Current-Mode Comparator Design

Current-mode designs offer faster operation and lower input capacitance compared to voltage-mode. Suitable for better power efficiency and simpler design complexity (Verma et al., 2021).

Technique	Advantages	Disadvantages
Pre-Amplification Stage	Reduces offset, improves speed and accuracy	Higher power and area
Regenerative Latch Stage	High speed, sensitive to small voltage differences	Kickback noise, metastability risk
Dynamic Design	Zero static power, high energy efficiency	Offset voltage, precise clocking needed
Current-Mode Design	Faster operation, low power	Design complexity, process variation sensitivity

## Performance Metrics

1. Propagation Delay ( $t_{pd}$ ): Modern designs target delays  $<1$  ns (Mukti et al., 2023).
2. Power Consumption (P): Designs typically operate in the 10s to 100s  $\mu$ W range (Firlej et al., 2023).
3. Input Offset Voltage ( $V_{os}$ ): Ranges from  $\mu$ V to mV. Pre-amplification and calibration techniques address this (Chen et al., 2022).
4. Resolution: From microvolt to millivolt range. Thermal and noise management is essential for high resolution (Liu et al., 2021).

## III. RESEARCH GAP

Although significant progress has been made in CMOS comparator design, many research gaps remain. Firstly, low-voltage sub-threshold operation helps save power but reduces speed. Most designs focus on fixed trade-offs and do not adapt to varying input signals or environmental conditions. Secondly, adaptive biasing techniques are complex and occupy large silicon area, without addressing process and temperature variations. Thirdly, offset calibration circuits consume extra power and area, reducing the benefits of low-power designs. Finally, there is a lack of systemic design methods for advanced CMOS technologies like FinFET. Considering these gaps, future research should focus on developing energy-efficient, scalable, and fast CMOS comparator architectures.



#### IV. CONCLUSION

CMOS comparators are essential components of ADC systems, determining speed, power efficiency, and accuracy. Although considerable progress has been made in high-speed and low-power comparator design, many issues persist. The fundamental trade-off between speed and power remains a major challenge, especially in portable medical devices, IoT sensors, and high-frequency communication systems. Current techniques offer only partial solutions and often increase design complexity and silicon area. Therefore, there is a need to develop new design approaches that address these challenges while delivering scalable, high-performance, and energy-efficient comparators. Research in this direction will be highly significant for next-generation IoT, mobile communication, and wearable devices.

#### REFERENCES

1. Mukti, I. Z., Khan, E. R., & Biswas, K. K. (2023). 1.8-V Low Power, High-Resolution, High-Speed Comparator With Low Offset Voltage Implemented in 45nm CMOS Technology. *Journal of VLSI Circuits and Systems*, 6(1), 19–24. <https://doi.org/10.31838/jvcs/06.01.03>
2. Firlej, M., Fiutowski, T., Idzik, M., Moroń, J., & Świentek, K. (2023). Ultra-low power 10-bit 50–90 MSps SAR ADCs in 65 nm CMOS for multi-channel ASICs. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.14592>
3. Liu, X., Zhang, Y., & Wang, H. (2021). Low-Power High-Speed CMOS Comparator Design for ADC Applications. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, 68(4), 1600–1608.
4. Chen, L., Yang, J., & Zhang, T. (2022). Design of Low-Power High-Speed Dynamic Comparator for High-Speed SAR ADC. *Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing*, 112(5), 815–825.
5. Verma, A., & Gupta, R. (2021). Current Mode Comparator Design for Low Voltage and Low Power Applications. *International Journal of Electronics Communication and Computer Engineering*, 12(2), 58–65.