International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends
Volume 10, Issue 5, Sept-Oct-2024, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X

A Study of the Behavioural Biases in Investment Decision-Making in Mumbai

Urzin Pardiwalla
Master of Commerce (Banking & Finance)
H.R. College of Commerce & Economics

Abstract- This study examines how behavioural biases influence investment decision-making, challenging the rational assumptions of traditional finance theories. Investors often deviate from rationality due to cognitive biases, leading to suboptimal decisions. Key biases such as overconfidence, anchoring, herd behaviour, and loss aversion shape investment choices, potentially impacting portfolio performance and market trends. By analyzing these biases, this research sheds light on their psychological foundations and the importance of awareness in mitigating their effects. Understanding these biases helps investors and financial professionals improve decision-making processes, contributing to more informed and resilient investment strategies.

Index Terms- Behavioural Finance, Investment Decision-Making, Cognitive Biases, Overconfidence Bias, Herding Behavior, Loss Aversion, Anchoring Effect, Investor Psychology

I. INTRODUCTION

Investment decisions are complex and often influenced by a range of psychological factors beyond purely rational analysis. Behavioral finance, a field that merges psychology with finance, has highlighted the prevalence of cognitive biases that impact investor choices and market outcomes. Unlike traditional finance theories, which assume that investors make rational decisions to maximize their returns, behavioural finance recognizes that real-world decision-making is frequently subject to biases and emotional influences.

These behavioural biases can lead investors to deviate from optimal strategies, often resulting in suboptimal returns or excessive risk-taking. Common biases, such as overconfidence, loss aversion, and herd behaviour, have been shown to shape investment behaviour in unique and sometimes predictable ways. For instance, overconfident investors may underestimate risks and overtrade, while loss-averse investors might avoid necessary risks, thereby limiting potential gains. Understanding these biases is crucial for both individual and institutional investors, as it provides insight into how psychological factors can impact financial outcomes and market dynamics.

This study aims to explore the impact of key behavioural biases on investment decision-making, examining how these biases influence choices across different market environments and investor profiles. By identifying and analyzing these biases, the research seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of the role psychology plays in finance, offering practical insights for developing strategies that mitigate the adverse effects of irrational behaviour on investments.

Objectives of the Study

- To identify key biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, and herd behaviour that affect investment decisions.
- To analyze how behavioural biases influence investment choices, portfolio performance, and risk-return tradeoffs.
- To explore how different investor types (e.g., retail vs. institutional) are affected by behavioral biases.
- To identify techniques and strategies that can help investors recognize and overcome behavioural biases to improve decision-making.
- To examine how external market factors and economic conditions influence the strength and prevalence of behavioural biases in investment decisions.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Behavioural finance has emerged as a field that bridges the gap between traditional finance theories and real-world decision-making processes. Unlike the classical finance theory, which assumes investors act rationally, behavioral finance acknowledges that cognitive biases, emotional factors, and social influences often drive investment decisions. This literature review aims to examine the key studies on

© 2024 IJSRET

behavioral biases and their impact on investment decision-making.

Behavioural biases refer to systematic patterns of deviation from rationality, where individuals make decisions that are not in their best interest due to psychological factors. The most widely discussed biases in investment decision-making include overconfidence, loss aversion, herd behavior, anchoring, and framing effects.

- Studies like those of Barber and Odean (2001) suggest that overconfident investors trade more frequently and are less likely to diversify their portfolios, leading to lower returns. Overconfidence can cause investors to underestimate risks and lead to suboptimal decision-making.
- Loss aversion, introduced by Kahneman and Tversky (1979) as part of prospect theory, states that losses are psychologically more significant than equivalent gains. This results in investors holding onto losing investments for too long or selling winning investments too early to avoid the pain of a loss, thus affecting portfolio performance.
- A study by Bikhchandani, Hirshleifer, and Welch (1992) highlights how investors tend to follow the crowd, which can lead to asset bubbles and market crashes.
- Tversky and Kahneman (1974) found that investors' decisions are often influenced by irrelevant information, such as the initial purchase price of an asset, even when market conditions change.
- A study by Tversky and Kahneman (1981) demonstrated that people are more likely to take risks when a potential loss is framed as a potential gain, influencing investment choices.
- According to Shefrin and Statman (1985), investors driven by behavioural biases often fail to achieve optimal returns due to suboptimal risk-reward assessments.
- Shiller (2000) argues that behavioural biases contribute to stock price volatility and market bubbles, as investors tend to overreact to news and economic events, often resulting in the mispricing of assets.
- The Behavioral Portfolio Theory, proposed by Shefrin and Statman (2000), explains how investors construct portfolios based not only on maximizing returns but also on their psychological needs and preferences. BPT suggests that investors might construct portfolios in a way that aligns with their emotional goals, such as avoiding regret or minimizing risk perception, rather than purely rational financial objectives.
- Barberis, Shleifer, and Vishny (1998) showed that overreaction to information and the tendency to underreact to new information is common among investors, leading to market inefficiencies.
- Benartzi and Thaler (1995) demonstrated that investors tend to exhibit mental accounting behaviour, categorizing investments into separate accounts rather than considering their entire portfolio, leading to suboptimal investment choices.
- Lichtenstein, Slovic, and Fischhoff (1978) found that investors exhibit overconfidence and anchoring biases, which often result in poor decision-making, especially in complex and volatile markets.

This review serves as a foundation for further exploration of the impact of behavioral biases on investment decision-making, with a focus on practical solutions for overcoming these biases in real-world investment strategies.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

1. Research Design

The research will adopt an exploratory design, aiming to uncover how behavioural biases influence investment decisions in Mumbai. The study will primarily rely on secondary data sources to gain insights into the patterns of investor behaviour. This research will identify prevalent biases affecting investor choices by analyzing existing literature, reports, and case studies.

2. Data Collection

- **Questionnaire –** A Google Form was circulated to collect the data of the respondents which was analyzed for research.
- **Academic Articles –** Research papers and journal articles focused on finance, economics, and psychology, exploring the influence of behavioural biases on investment behaviour.
- **Market Research Reports –** Data from surveys and reports from financial research firms like Nielsen or Morningstar, delve into investor psychology and biases in decision-making.
- **Books and Conference Proceedings –** Scholarly books and papers that examine biases in investing and their impact on financial decisions, particularly in the context of the Indian market.
- **Media Reports –** Articles and news related to market events, such as crashes or booms, and how investors respond to such situations, shedding light on the impact of behavioural biases.

3. Sampling Technique

This study will be based on secondary data, meaning no primary sampling will be conducted. Instead, the secondary data will be carefully selected based on:

- Relevance – Data that directly addresses how behavioral biases impact investment decisions, particularly in the context of Mumbai.

© 2024 IJSRET

- Timeliness – Preference will be given to sources published within the last five years to ensure that the information reflects current market conditions.
- Diversity – A variety of sources will be considered to represent the behaviour of different investor categories (retail vs. institutional investors) and a range of market conditions (e.g., bullish, bearish, volatile).

4. Data Analysis Methods

Qualitative Approach

- Content Analysis – Extract and classify themes and patterns from academic papers, reports, and surveys, focusing on biases such as overconfidence, loss aversion, and herd behavior that influence investment choices.
- Thematic Analysis – Identify and group common biases mentioned across sources, categorizing their impact on investor decisions in the Mumbai market.

Quantitative Approach

- Statistical Correlation – Analyze data from investor surveys and market reports to identify correlations between biases and portfolio performance, including returns and risk-adjusted returns.
- Comparative Analysis – Investigate differences in how retail investors and institutional investors are impacted by biases, using performance data and survey results from different investor groups.
- Trend Analysis – Examine how external market factors (e.g., economic downturns, inflation, interest rate changes) influence the prevalence and impact of biases on investment decisions, based on historical market data.

5. Research Framework

The study will be structured around the following objectives:

- **Identification of Biases –** Analyze secondary data to identify common biases (overconfidence, loss aversion, herd behavior) that influence investment decisions in Mumbai's market.
- **Impact on Investment Decisions –** Investigate how these biases affect the decision-making process, portfolio performance, and risk-return tradeoffs of investors.
- **Behaviour of Different Investor Types –** Assess how retail investors and institutional investors are differently influenced by biases, using data from market performance and behavioural studies.
- **Strategies to Overcome Biases –** Evaluate existing strategies, techniques, and interventions proposed in the literature to help investors mitigate biases, including education, behavioural nudges, and portfolio diversification.
- **External Market Factors –** Examine how macroeconomic factors such as inflation, GDP growth, and market volatility influence the intensity of behavioral biases in investment decisions.

6. Limitations

- **Dependence on Secondary Data –** The accuracy and completeness of secondary data depend on the quality of the available sources, which might limit the depth of insights.
- **Generalizability –** Since the study focuses on the Mumbai market, its findings may not be entirely applicable to other markets or investor environments without further investigation.
- **Potential Bias in Published Data –** Literature and reports themselves may reflect certain biases, which could affect the validity and interpretation of the data.

Types of Biases

Dr. Adam Hayes (Ph.D. and CFA), an Economic Sociologist and Assistant Professor of Sociology and Anthropology at The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, discusses various biases that influence investor behaviour and, in turn, affect market outcomes. These biases are explored within the framework of behavioral finance, which examines how psychological and emotional factors shape investor decisions and market trends. Below are some of the key theories:

- **Prospect Theory –** This theory suggests that individuals make decisions based on expected gains and losses rather than considering the final outcome. It also implies that investors are more likely to take risks to avoid losses than to achieve gains.
- **Herding Behaviour –** According to herding theory, investors' decisions are often influenced by the actions of others in the market, leading them to follow the crowd, even when it contradicts their judgment.
- **Overconfidence Bias –** The overconfidence theory posits that investors tend to overestimate their abilities and the accuracy of their predictions, which can lead to excessive risk-taking and poor investment decisions.
- **Anchoring Bias –** This theory suggests that individuals often rely too heavily on the initial piece of information they receive when making decisions, which can result in biased or incorrect judgments.
- **Availability Bias –** Availability bias refers to the tendency for individuals to rely on readily available or prominent information, even when it does not represent the broader picture of the market.
- **Regret Aversion –** This theory highlights that individuals may avoid making decisions that could lead to regret, even when these decisions are rational and could result in better outcomes.
- **Loss Aversion Bias –** Loss aversion refers to the psychological impact of losses being felt more intensely than gains, which can lead to risk-averse behaviour and missed opportunities for investment.
- **Recency Bias –** Recency bias explains the tendency for individuals to place greater importance on recent events when making decisions, which can lead to short-term

© 2024 IJSRET

thinking and an inaccurate assessment of long-term trends.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

A Set of Null and Alternative hypotheses were formulated to test the significance of the correlation between the Investor's decision and factor of bias (for each factor).

For Example

- **Ho:** There is no statistically significant correlation between Investor's decision and Representativeness Bias.
- **Ha:** There is a statistically significant correlation between Investor's decision and Representativeness Bias.

Table 1: Distribution of Gender of Respondents

Gender of Respondents	Frequency	Percentage
Male	47	54.00
Female	40	46.00
Others	0	0.00
Total	87	100.00

Interpretation: The sample shows a balanced representation with slightly more male respondents (54%) than females (46%), suggesting diverse gender perspectives on investment preferences.

Table 2: Distribution of Age of Respondents

Age of Respondents	Frequency	Percentage
20 – 29	45	51.70
30 – 39	8	9.20
40 – 49	23	26.40
50 & above	11	12.70
Total	87	100.00

Interpretation: A majority of respondents (51.7%) fall within the 20-29 age range, indicating a predominantly young investor base. This age distribution may reflect generational trends in investment behaviours, such as greater openness to stock market investments.

Table 3: Respondents' Highest Level of Education

Education Level	Frequency	Percentage
Graduate	34	39.10
Post-Graduate	46	52.90
Professional Qualifications (CA, CFA, CS, PhD etc)	7	8.00
Total	87	100.00

Interpretation: Over half (52.9%) of respondents are post-graduates, with a small segment holding professional qualifications. This suggests a well-educated sample, likely more financially literate and perhaps more aware of investment options and risk management.

Table 4: Respondents' Investment Preferences

Investment Preferences	Frequency	Percentage
Stock Market	28	46.70
Mutual Funds	3	5.00
Fixed Deposits	24	40.00
Government Securities	2	3.30
Others (LIC, PPF etc)	3	5.00
Total	60	100.00

Interpretation: The data reveals that 46.7% of respondents prefer the stock market, with fixed deposits (40%) as the second most popular choice. This trend suggests a balance between risk-taking (stock market) and safety-oriented investments (fixed deposits).

Table 5: Previous Investments in the Stock Markets

Response	Frequency	Percentage
Yes	36	60.00
No	24	40.00
Total	60	100.00

Interpretation: A majority of respondents (60%) have prior experience investing in the stock market, reflecting a strong interest and potential familiarity with equity investments.

Table 6: Motivators for Investment Decisions

Motivators	Frequency	Percentage
Friend	12	20.00
Personal knowledge & experience	38	63.30
Financial Advisor	8	13.30
Family	2	3.40
Total	60	100.00

Interpretation: Most investors (63.3%) rely on personal knowledge or experience, underscoring a preference for self-guided decision-making. This suggests that many investors have confidence in their financial knowledge, though it may also indicate a limited reliance on professional advice.

Table 7: Respondents' Objectives of Investment

Objectives	Frequency	Percentage
Capital Appreciation	24	40.00
Income Generation	10	16.70
Income Growth	16	26.70
Stability of Principal Amount	5	8.30
Tax Benefits	5	8.30
Total	60	100.00

© 2024 IJSRET

Interpretation: The primary investment objective for most respondents is capital appreciation (40%), followed by income growth (26.7%). This indicates a focus on wealth accumulation rather than income stability, typical of younger, growth-oriented investors.

Table 8: Proportion of Income Preferred for Investment

Income Proportion	Frequency	Percentage
0 – 10%	30	34.50
11 – 20%	35	40.20
21 – 30%	14	16.10
Above 30%	8	9.20
Total	87	100.00

Interpretation: Most respondents (40.2%) invest between 11-20% of their income, followed closely by those who invest 0-10%. This range suggests a moderate commitment to investment, likely balancing between savings and disposable income.

Findings of the Study

This research identifies the impact of various behavioral biases on investment decision-making among investors in Mumbai. The findings highlight a significant prevalence of psychological factors that affect investment choices, leading to both advantageous and detrimental outcomes. Below is a detailed analysis of each behavioural bias examined:

Overconfidence Bias – Overconfidence emerges as one of the most pervasive biases, particularly among experienced investors. This trait often leads individuals to overestimate their knowledge and decision-making abilities, resulting in excessive trading and exposure to unnecessary risks. Findings indicate that overconfident investors are more likely to make frequent adjustments to their portfolios, impacting overall returns and, in some cases, leading to over-concentration in specific assets.

Herding Behaviour – This study reveals a strong tendency among investors to follow collective trends, especially during market fluctuations. Many respondents admitted that they feel more confident in their investment choices when they align with the broader market, indicating a reduced sense of individual judgment in volatile times. This behaviour, while sometimes beneficial in capturing market trends, also contributes to asset bubbles and increased market volatility, as seen in cases where large groups of investors move in the same direction.

Loss Aversion – Consistent with previous studies, this research finds that loss aversion significantly influences investment behaviour. Investors in Mumbai exhibit a heightened sensitivity to losses over gains, leading to cautious decision-making that may result in lower overall returns. Many respondents avoid high-risk investments even when potential returns are favourable, reflecting an inclination to prioritize capital preservation over capital appreciation.

Anchoring Bias – The findings suggest that anchoring, or the reliance on initial reference points, is a common bias impacting the decisions of investors. Many participants indicated that they base their investment choices on past performance or initial price points rather than adjusting their expectations based on changing market conditions. This bias can lead to skewed investment decisions, as investors might hold on to assets that no longer align with market trends.

Availability and Recency Biases – Investors frequently rely on recent or readily available information, which can skew decision-making toward short-term trends and sensationalized news. This leads to a pattern of short-term decision-making that may overlook long-term potential, reflecting a shift in focus that can result in missed investment opportunities or reactionary adjustments that may destabilize portfolios.

Risk-Return Tradeoff Awareness – Despite the presence of these biases, a notable proportion of investors demonstrated a strong understanding of risk-return tradeoffs, particularly those with professional financial training. This group showed an ability to mitigate the effects of behavioral biases through diversified portfolios and by leveraging financial knowledge to enhance decision-making frameworks.

Demographic Insights – The research also uncovers differences across demographic categories. Younger investors, often more educated and confident in their knowledge, exhibited higher levels of overconfidence and were more inclined toward stock market investments. In contrast, older respondents demonstrated a more conservative approach, heavily influenced by loss aversion and anchored by past investment experiences.

Influence of Market Conditions – Finally, the findings reveal that external market factors, such as economic downturns or booms, intensify the impact of biases. During times of market stress, herding behavior and loss aversion become more pronounced as investors seek safety in numbers or avoid risk altogether.

These findings contribute valuable insights into the investment behaviours of individuals in Mumbai, revealing that behavioral biases continue to play a critical role in shaping financial decisions. The awareness of these biases and strategies for mitigating them can empower investors to make more informed, rational choices, ultimately enhancing portfolio performance and contributing to more resilient financial markets.

© 2024 IJSRET

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study on behavioral biases in investment decision-making among investors in Mumbai, several recommendations can help investors and financial institutions minimize the impact of cognitive biases and improve decision-making outcomes:

Investor Education and Awareness Programs – Investors should be encouraged to participate in educational programs focused on behavioural finance. By understanding the existence and impact of biases such as overconfidence, anchoring, and herd behavior, investors can make more informed decisions. Regular workshops, seminars, and online courses could be effective in educating both new and experienced investors on recognizing these biases.

Use of Behavioural Nudges – Financial institutions can implement behavioural nudges to guide investors toward better decisions without restricting their freedom of choice. For instance, presenting historical returns alongside risk metrics can encourage a balanced approach to high-risk investments, which may help in counteracting overconfidence and herding behaviour.

Implementation of Decision Checklists – Investors can benefit from using checklists when making investment decisions to ensure all relevant factors are considered, reducing the influence of cognitive biases. A structured approach to decision-making, such as checking for biases or consulting multiple sources of information, can mitigate the tendency to rely on familiar or recent information alone (recency bias and availability bias).

Encouraging a Long-Term Investment Mindset – Promoting long-term investment strategies, rather than short-term trading, can help reduce the effect of biases like loss aversion and overtrading driven by short-term market fluctuations. This approach could be reinforced by highlighting the benefits of compounding returns and stability over time.

Customized Advisory Services – Financial advisors should be trained in behavioral finance concepts to help them identify biases in their clients' decisions. Personalized advisory services, tailored to the unique psychological profile of each investor, can help address biases and offer strategies to manage them effectively. Advisors could also conduct bias assessments to adjust portfolio strategies based on identified tendencies.

Development of Technology-Driven Solutions – Financial technology can be used to help investors make objective decisions. Robo-advisors and AI-driven investment platforms, programmed to recognize and adjust for biases, can offer suggestions that help investors avoid common pitfalls. Additionally, mobile apps can alert investors to potentially biased decisions, promoting greater mindfulness in financial choices.

Regulatory Measures for Transparent Communication – Regulatory bodies should enforce transparent and unbiased communication of financial product risks. Clear disclosures and reminders about the potential biases associated with speculative investments can prevent investors from engaging in herding or succumbing to anchoring effects. This transparency promotes an environment where investors make decisions based on a complete understanding of risks and rewards.

Encouraging Peer Discussions and Diverse Perspectives – Group discussions or investment clubs that facilitate the sharing of diverse perspectives can counteract herd behaviour and confirmation bias. When investors hear alternative viewpoints, they may be less likely to follow the crowd and more likely to adopt an analytical approach in line with their individual goals.

By adopting these recommendations, investors in Mumbai and beyond can work towards mitigating the adverse effects of behavioral biases, leading to more rational, objective, and ultimately profitable investment decisions.

V. CONCLUSION

This study highlights the significant role of behavioral biases in shaping investment decisions among investors in Mumbai. The research underscores that cognitive biases like overconfidence, loss aversion, herding, and anchoring frequently lead investors away from optimal decision-making, impacting portfolio performance and overall market dynamics. This departure from rationality, as shown, often arises from psychological influences rather than purely economic considerations, illustrating a key departure from the assumptions of traditional finance theories.

Moreover, the findings reveal how biases vary across investor demographics and external market conditions. Younger and relatively inexperienced investors tend to exhibit more pronounced biases, such as herding, while those with higher financial literacy might be more prone to overconfidence. Economic factors, including market volatility and media influence, further intensify these biases, leading to short-termism and reactionary decisions.

To mitigate these biases, strategies such as increased financial education, investor awareness programs, and decision aids like behavioural nudges or objective-based planning could be effective. These methods aim to make investors more aware of their biases, allowing them to make more informed choices. Financial advisors also play a critical role in helping investors

© 2024 IJSRET

recognize and counteract these biases by promoting a disciplined, long-term approach.

In sum, understanding and addressing behavioral biases is essential for investors seeking to achieve their financial goals and improve decision-making processes. This research encourages both individual and institutional investors to adopt structured strategies to reduce cognitive biases, ultimately contributing to a more resilient investment landscape in Mumbai and beyond.

REFERENCES

1. Chaudhary1, A. K. (N.D.). Impact Of Behavioral Finance In Investment Decisions And Strategies – A Fresh Approach. Ranchi University ,Jharkhand
2. (2017). Herding Effects, Over Confidence, Availability Bias and Representativeness As Behavioral Determinants Of Perceived Investment Performance.
3. Ip, M. K. (N.D.). An Exploratory Study Of Investment Behaviour Of Investors. 2017.
4. Kanan Budhiraja, D. T. (June-2018). Impact Of Behavioral Finance In Investment Decision-Making.
5. Vaishnavi Seth, Sharad Kumar (September-2020). A Study of Effect of Behavioural Biases on Investment Decisions.
6. Kasilingam, A. A. (May 2016). “Impact Of Selected Behavioural Bias Factors On Investment Decisions Of Equity Investors. Icctact Journal on Management Studies.
7. Mathew, D. J. (June 2017). Impact Of Cognitive Biases In Investment Decisions Of Individual Investors In Stock Market. International Journal Of Engineering Technology, Management And Applied Sciences.
8. Mishra, R. (2018). Financial Literacy, Risk Tolerance And Stock Market Participation.
9. Prosad, J. M. (2014). “Impact Of Investors’ Behavioural Biases On The Indian Equity Market And Implications On Stock Selection Decisions: An Empirical Analysis”.
10. Ricchiardi, H. K. (March 2014). How Biases Affect Investor Behaviour.
11. Ricciardi, H. K. (The European Financial Review : February - March 2014). How Biases Affect Investor Behaviour .
12. Satish K Mittal, D. S. (December 2016). Investment Behavior & Biases Of Investor: An Empirical Research Agenda In Indian Perspective. Pune.

© 2024 IJSRET