International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends
Volume 10, Issue 5, Sept-Oct-2024, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X

Risk Identification

Sattam A Otaibi, Ahmed A AlSaleh, Mohammed H Aljaber, Dhawi A Alotaibi
Saudi Aramco Oil Company
Project Management Department

Abstract- Identifying and managing risk processes are essential for achieving organizational success. This study investigates the significance of risk assessment, evidence differentiation, and control in safeguarding fundamental objectives and enhancing contemporary decision-making. Organizations can develop strategies to mitigate adverse effects on performance, financial stability, and resource allocation if they promptly recognize a potential risk. Utilizing global indicators with ISO 31000 and specialized frameworks such as RiskWatch and RiskLens, organizations can more effectively identify and monitor risks across several domains. Fundamental factors encourage the prompt detection of inadequacies, thereby preventing negative consequences, boosting efficiency, cutting down on resource wastage, and guaranteeing the prioritization of well-informed choices. Furthermore, the item provides insights from the Deloitte Global Impact Survey, which indicates that 61% of firms acknowledge that risk identification and management are significant factors in transformation success. The incorporation of opportunities into business strategies, as demonstrated by several studies, results in enhanced success rates and improved planning aligned with strategic objectives. The inquiry thoroughly examines essential brainstorming strategies, SWOT analysis metrics, requirements, and protocols while highlighting developmental areas that facilitate organizational change. In addition, research indicates that the integration of opportunities into change initiatives results in increased success rates and enhanced alignment with key objectives. The agency's ability to efficiently organize and execute tasks at a large scale, utilizing appropriate tools and techniques, is essential to its effectiveness and long-term success.

Index Terms-Risk Management, Risk Identification, Threat-distinguishing Evidence, ISO 31000, RiskWatch, RiskLens, Proactive Planning, Strategic Risk Management, Resource Allocation, Risk Assessment Techniques, Deloitte Global Survey, Financial Stability, Operational Efficiency

I. INTRODUCTION

Robust risk management is essential for safeguarding organization objectives and ensuring unquestionably long-term success. An essential component of this methodology is effective risk identification, commonly referred to as threat-distinguishing evidence. This methodology entails a comprehensive analysis and evaluation of potential risks that could impact exceptional aspects of an organization, such as its operational efficiency, financial stability, and core values.

A vital component of improving an organization's preparedness and response strategies is risk-distinguishing proof. Businesses can develop proactive plans to mitigate or avoid risks by identifying threats early on rather than reacting to problems as they arise. This early identifiable evidence not only reduces the likelihood of impoverishment but also permits more effective aid distribution and crucial decision-making.

Moreover, efficient chance character distinguishes groups to minimize waste and maximize the management of valuable assets. Recognizing capacity risks enables more resource and asset distribution, but, lately, capacity boundaries have become necessary annoyances. Additionally, it advances decision-making by incorporating meaningful insights into prospective soliciting situations, leading to more informed and significant decisions.

Businesses employ a variety of techniques and devices for chance-discriminating proof, such as conventional systems like ISO 31000 and specialized software like RiskWatch and RiskLens, to gather those points of interest. These tools facilitate the threat assessment process and promote a systematic approach to identifying and managing capacity concerns.

A crucial tool for managing instability in both individual and organizational contexts is risk-distinguishing evidence. This approach entails systematically identifying potential risks that may impact the objectives, such as financial, operational, or

© 2024 IJSRET

essential. Businesses may much better prepare for and address capacity issues by having a higher ability to identify risks.

II. RISKS IDENTIFICATION

The first stage in risk management is to identify potential risks. This includes identifying and describing risk factors that may interfere with firms' objective. This instrument is important since it provides ingredients for risk assessment and mitigation measures.

Importance of Risk Identification

Early chance identification enables proactive planning and viable aid administration, reduces waste, and ensures effective asset management. It also improves decision-making by providing better data for informed important choices, ultimately leading to improved returns. Identifying risks early and precisely comes with significant benefits; the followings are some major benefits:

- **Proactive Planning:** By identifying risks early on, organizations can develop methods to effectively deal with or prevent them once they emerge.
- **Asset Management:** Separating capability boundaries and distributing assets where they will be most needed reduces waste.
- **Advanced Preference Hypothesis:** Prove that early opportunity positioning produces considerably higher measures for educated arrangement alternatives, which can lead to advantageous innovation.

III. SURVEYS RISK MANAGEMENT

Effective risk management is crucial for success, with Deloitte Insight showing 71% of organizations view it as essential. On the other hand, disregarding the risk management process can lead to significant revenue declines and project shortcomings as follows:

According to Deloitte's Global Survey Exploring Strategic Risk dated 2013, which was conducted as a collaborative endeavor between Deloitte and Forbes Insights, sixty-one percent of organizations assert that their risk management programs sufficiently facilitate the formulation and implementation of company strategy.

The international survey had over 300 participants from the Americas (33%), Europe/Middle East/Africa (EMEA) (33%), and Asia/Pacific (34%). The majority of respondents comprised C-level executives (263), board members (22), and other risk executives (21). Surveyed firms represented all five primary industry sectors (consumer/industrial products (27%), life sciences/health care (11%), technology/media/telecommunications (11%), energy (12%), financial services (28%), and others (9%), each with yearly revenues above US$1 billion (or its equivalent).

Figure 1: Organizations Perspectives about Risk Management According to Deloitte's Global Survey Exploring Strategic Risk, dated 2013

The poll primarily concentrated on the risk management program and subsequently examined firms' perspectives on strategic risks. This has been addressed by posing several inquiries, such as: On a scale of 1 to 5, how well does your risk management program facilitate the development and execution of your business strategy? (5 signifies excellent), Who predominantly dictates your organization's strategy for managing strategic risk?, etc.

Figure 2: The differences between conventional Risk Program and Strategic Risk according to Deloitte's Global Survey Exploring Strategic Risk dated 2013

The most significant element about the survey is the increased integration of strategic risk analysis into corporate business strategies and planning processes. This approach appears to yield positive outcomes, as survey results indicate that 61% of companies believe their risk management programs adequately support the development and execution of business strategy. The figures are lower in EMEA (51%) and slightly higher in the Americas (67%) and Asia/Pacific (63%).

However, there remains considerable potential for enhancement. Overall, only 13% of companies rate their risk management programs as 5 out of 5 in terms of supporting strategy, while 40% deem them unsufficient. The situation is markedly worse in EMEA, where merely 5% assign a rating of 5 out of 5, and 49% classify their programs as deficient.

© 2024 IJSRET

Figure 3: The effectiveness of Risk Management Programs by Region according to Deloitte's Global Survey Exploring Strategic Risk dated 2013

Furthermore, higher compound annual growth rate Companies are more likely to approach risk management practice as an essential tool to achieve strategic goals. In fact, a survey conducted by Deloitte Insight spotted a correlation between compound annual growth rate (CAGR) and firms risk management deployment as follows:

According to Deloitte's 2019 survey of risk management, Deloitte conducted a poll involving 100 chief risk officers (CROs) or similar executives, 100 C-suite executives not mainly accountable for risk, and 300 executives engaged in risk-related functions, including IT and operational risk. This sample was obtained from US corporations having a minimum of US$500 million in annual revenue across several industries. Survey questions are designed to elucidate executives' perspectives on risk management, existing practices, structure of risk functions, essential activities and capabilities, technological applications, and potential for enhanced value creation. One of the primary outcomes of the survey suggested that firms with a strategic perspective on risk management derive better benefit. Companies that prioritize risk management as a critical aspect for attaining strategic objectives generally experience greater growth. Among the studied enterprises, those exhibiting a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 5 percent or above were twice as likely to regard risk management as essential for attaining strategic objectives compared to those with a CAGR below 5 percent.

Figure 4: Risk Management as Essential for attaining strategic objectives compared in correlation to firms' CAGR according to Deloitte's 2019 survey of Risk Management

With benefits come unpleasant disadvantages that organizations have to handle properly. In terms of cost, according to Deloitte's 2019 survey of risk management, approximately 25 percent of firms allocate between US$10 million and US$25 million, while around 40 percent invest between US$25 million and US$50 million a year in risk management. Expenditures exceeding $10 million correlate with a higher likelihood of participants rating their programs as outstanding or satisfactory.

Although each firm must establish its own budgetary objectives, risk management necessitates considerable resources and continuous capability enhancements. Prominent programs adopt a risk-based strategy for resource allocation, investing in personnel, processes, and technology in sectors of their organization that have the highest risk or potential. They also assess their risk profile and appetite to adjust these assets dynamically. They adapt to changing conditions and modify risk management and mitigation strategies to address the developing risk picture.

Figure 5: Correlation between Risk Management implementation percentage and firm expenditure according to Deloitte's 2019 survey of Risk Management

Another complication with risk management is integration. In fact, most risk management programs are not highly integrated across the enterprises. Only about one-third of CROs and a quarter of risk managers and C-suite risk nonowners view their risk management programs as highly integrated. Meanwhile, although less than 10 percent of non-CROs see their programs as separated and insulated, a surprising 18 percent of CROs view their programs as such.

Figure 6: CROs preceptive about Risk Management Program integration across their respective enterprises according to Deloitte's 2019 survey of Risk Management

It is somewhat unsettling that about one in five CROs views their own programs as separated and insulated. Higher levels of integration might be expected in organizations with a CRO leading the program. When CROs say that their programs are either separated and insulated or highly integrated, it could mean that they have a better understanding of risk management or a stricter idea of what it means to be

© 2024 IJSRET

International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends
Volume 10, Issue 5, Sept-Oct-2024, ISSN (Online): 2395-566X

"integrated" than the other two groups of respondents. Alternatively, companies with the greatest need to integrate risk management programs may be the likeliest to appoint a CRO to remedy the situation.

IV. RISK IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE TECHNIQUES

Viable risk identification tools vary from conceptualizing to analysis tools. Such tools, including counseling business benchmarks and experts, are needed to collect and assess project-associated risks. Major tools that can be used are the following:

- **Brainstorm:** Gather a diverse organization to allow for greater capacity risks.
- **SWOT Analysis:** To identify risks, evaluate qualities, flaws, opportunities, and threats.
- **Reference Notes:** Make early inquiries based on industry benchmarks or previous experiences.
- **Expert Advice:** Look for people with expertise in relevant subjects.
- **Document the Risks:** The recognized dangers should be recorded in the risk log including a portrayal of the character, potential effects, and risks.
- **Regular Audit:** Constantly audit and revamp the risk list to deal with new threats and reassess existing ones.

Figure 7: R Risk Identification Techniques that are Commonly Used by Risk Managers or Project Teams

V. RISK IDENTIFICATION TOOLS

The utilization of a set of tools and property enhances the quality of risk identification proof. For instance, international standards provide a global method for risk management, while applications streamline the risk assessment. There are numerous tools and processes to offer assistance with the risk-distinction proof activity as follows:

- **ISO 31000:** is global well recognized guideline platform that provides courses on risk management. (Source: ISO)
- **Risk Management Computer Program:** applications like RiskWatch, RiskLens, and Palisade's @Chance returned computerization and rearrange risk recognizable proof and analysis.

Figure 8: Risk Identification Frameworks: Standards and Software Used by Risk Managers or Project Teams

Books

Executing risk mindfulness requires getting to know fundamental controls. Currently, there are many resources that provide in-depth knowledge and practices. Furthermore, there are many online platforms to enhance gaining knowledge of risk identification and administration. The following are the main examples of such:

- **James Lam's "Enterprise Chance Administration:** Systems, Best Hones, and Case Studies" offers factor by means of point stories into chance administration strategies.
- The PMBOK ® Guide gives precious bits of information into chance management practices.
- **Educational Resources:** Coursera and edX provide courses on risk administration, counting modules on risk identification.

VI. CONCLUSION

Appropriate proof of risk distinctions is critical in achieving intended outcomes. Through the presentation of inexperienced risks specialties, organizations can advance plan and regulate strategies to achieve direct impact control. Working with and operating proper tools increases proficiency and produces underused capacities in simple determination and planning.

While the risks continue, the key components in effectively distinguishing strategies remain straightforward and demonstrate long-term and adaptable success.

REFERENCES

1. Deloitte Insights. (2013). Global Survey Exploring Strategic Risk. Deloitte and Forbes Insights. Retrieved from https://www2.deloitte.com.
2. Deloitte Insights. (2019). Survey of Risk Management. Deloitte Risk and Financial Advisory. Retrieved from https://www2.deloitte.com.
3. ISO 31000. (2018). Risk Management – Guidelines. International Organization for Standardization. Retrieved

© 2024 IJSRET

from https://www.iso.org/iso-31000-risk-management.html.
4. Project Management Institute (PMI). (2016). Pulse of the Profession: The High Cost of Low Performance. PMI. Retrieved from https://www.pmi.org.
5. Lam, J. (2014). Enterprise Risk Management: From Incentives to Controls. Wiley.
6. Palisade Corporation. (n.d.). @Risk: Risk Analysis using Monte Carlo Simulation. Retrieved from https://www.palisade.com/risk/.
7. RiskWatch International. (n.d.). RiskWatch: Risk Management Software. Retrieved from https://www.riskwatch.com.
8. RiskLens. (n.d.). RiskLens Cyber Risk Quantification Platform. Retrieved from https://www.risklens.com.

© 2024 IJSRET