

Application Of Nature-Based Solutions For Climate Change: A Comprehensive Review And Feasibility Study

R. Swetha

Master Of Engineering In Environmental Engineering
Department Of Civil Engineering Kumaraguru College Of Technology
Coimbatore

Abstract- Climate change poses one of the most formidable challenges to global ecological and socioeconomic stability in the twenty-first century. As atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases continue to rise, the scientific community has increasingly turned to Nature-Based Solutions (NbS) as a viable and cost-effective complementary strategy to conventional technological mitigation approaches. This report provides a systematic analysis of Nature-Based Solutions, examining their mechanisms, classifications, documented effectiveness, and real-world implementation challenges. NbS encompass a spectrum of ecosystem-centred interventions — including reforestation, wetland restoration, urban greening, and sustainable agricultural practices — that simultaneously deliver climate mitigation benefits while enhancing biodiversity and community resilience. Key findings of this report indicate that NbS possess the theoretical capacity to contribute between 10 and 12 gigatons of CO₂ equivalent reductions annually by 2030, representing approximately 30% of the mitigation required to limit global warming to 1.5°C. However, this potential is contingent upon significant upscaling of political commitment, financial investment, and cross-sector governance frameworks.

Keywords: Nature-Based Solutions, Climate Mitigation, Ecosystems, Carbon Sequestration, Biodiversity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The accelerating trajectory of global climate change has catalyzed renewed interest in harnessing the inherent capacities of natural ecosystems for carbon regulation and climate resilience. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has consistently recognized that meeting the targets established under the Paris Agreement will require transformative changes not only in energy systems and industrial processes, but also in land use, ecosystem management, and biodiversity conservation.

Nature-Based Solutions represent a conceptual and practical bridge between ecological integrity and climate action. The term was formally popularized by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) and the World Bank in the early 2010s, though the underlying principles of working with ecosystems rather than against them trace back decades in conservation science. Today, NbS are embedded within national climate pledges, biodiversity frameworks, and international financing mechanisms worldwide.

This report is structured to offer a comprehensive examination of the NbS landscape. Section 2 defines the core categories of nature-based interventions. Section 3 explores the scientific basis for carbon sequestration

across ecosystems. Section 4 presents global case studies illustrating both successes and constraints. Section 5 critically evaluates the challenges of implementation, while Section 6 outlines policy and financial recommendations for scaling NbS effectively.

II. DEFINING NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS

2.1 Conceptual Framework

The IUCN defines Nature-Based Solutions as actions to protect, sustainably manage, and restore natural or modified ecosystems that address societal challenges effectively and adaptively, simultaneously providing human well-being and biodiversity benefits. This definition is deliberately broad, accommodating a range of interventions from strict conservation to active habitat restoration and sustainable land management.

What distinguishes NbS from purely technological approaches is their reliance on ecological processes — photosynthesis, decomposition, hydrological cycling, and soil formation — as the primary mechanisms of climate benefit. Rather than replacing these processes with engineered substitutes, NbS seek to preserve, enhance, and where necessary, rehabilitate them.

2.2 Categories of NbS

Nature-Based Solutions can be organized into three broad categories, each with distinct mechanisms and contexts of application:

Category	Examples	Primary Climate Benefit
Protection & Conservation	Old-growth forest preservation, marine protected areas, peatland conservation	Avoided emissions from deforestation and land degradation
Restoration	Reforestation, mangrove rehabilitation, grassland and wetland recovery	Long-term carbon sequestration and habitat connectivity
Sustainable Management	Agroforestry, sustainable fisheries, climate-smart agriculture	Balanced carbon stocks alongside food and livelihood security

Table 1: Classification of Nature-Based Solutions and their primary climate functions.

III. CARBON SEQUESTRATION ACROSS ECOSYSTEMS

3.1 Terrestrial Forests

Forests represent the largest terrestrial carbon sink on Earth, storing approximately 861 billion tons of carbon in their biomass, litter, deadwood, and soils combined. Tropical forests alone account for nearly 40% of global terrestrial primary productivity. Deforestation and forest degradation, primarily driven by agricultural expansion and illegal logging, are responsible for approximately 10–12% of annual global greenhouse gas emissions — a figure that underscores the critical importance of forest protection as a climate strategy.

Reforestation and afforestation programmes, when carefully managed and scientifically informed, can generate significant carbon uptake over decadal

timescales. The Bonn Challenge, a global effort to restore 350 million hectares of degraded land by 2030, exemplifies the scale of ambition now being directed toward this category of NbS. However, researchers have cautioned that the carbon benefits of tree planting depend heavily on species selection, local ecological conditions, and long-term governance commitments.

3.2 Blue Carbon Ecosystems

Coastal and marine ecosystems — particularly mangroves, seagrasses, and salt marshes — have emerged as critical, though historically undervalued, components of the global carbon budget. Collectively termed ‘blue carbon’ ecosystems, these habitats sequester carbon at rates 3 to 5 times greater per unit area than most terrestrial forests, owing to the anaerobic conditions of their waterlogged soils which dramatically slow decomposition and organic matter release.

Mangroves alone are estimated to store 4–8 billion tons of carbon globally. Despite occupying less than 0.5% of the world’s coastlines, they deliver disproportionate ecological and climate value. The rapid loss of these ecosystems — at rates of 1–3% per year in some regions — not only eliminates their sequestration function but also triggers the release of centuries-old carbon stocks, representing a significant hidden emissions liability for coastal nations.

3.3 Peatlands and Soils

Peatlands, which cover only 3% of the Earth’s land surface, store nearly twice as much carbon as all the world’s forests combined — an estimated 500–600 gigatons. These waterlogged, organic-rich landscapes act as powerful carbon sinks under natural conditions. However, drainage for agricultural use, particularly palm oil cultivation in Southeast Asia, converts them from sinks to sources, releasing greenhouse gases at alarming rates. Protecting and rewetting degraded peatlands is among the highest-return climate interventions currently available.

IV. GLOBAL CASE STUDIES

4.1 Costa Rica: National Payments for Ecosystem Services

Costa Rica stands as one of the most frequently cited success stories in the implementation of nature-based climate strategies. Between 1940 and 1983, the country lost approximately 80% of its native forest cover to agricultural expansion. In response, the government enacted the landmark Forest Law of 1996, which

established the world's first national Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES) programme, known as FONAFIFO. Under this framework, landowners receive direct financial compensation for preserving and restoring forests, funded by a carbon-indexed fuel tax. The results have been transformative. Forest cover has rebounded from a nadir of roughly 21% in the mid-1980s to over 54% today. Costa Rica's case demonstrates that economic incentivisation, strong institutional governance, and community engagement are essential prerequisites for durable NbS outcomes at the national scale.

4.2 China: The Great Green Wall Initiative

China's Three-North Shelterbelt Programme, commonly known as the 'Great Green Wall,' represents the largest afforestation initiative in human history. Launched in 1978 and projected to continue until 2050, the programme aims to plant a belt of trees spanning 4,500 kilometres across the arid and semi-arid northern regions of the country to combat desertification, control soil erosion, and sequester carbon.

While the scale of the initiative is remarkable, it has also attracted scientific scrutiny. Early phases of the programme planted single-species monocultures that proved poorly adapted to local climatic conditions, resulting in mass die-offs. Subsequent revisions have incorporated greater species diversity and local ecological knowledge, offering important lessons for large-scale reforestation programmes worldwide.

4.3 The Sundarbans: Transboundary Mangrove Conservation

The Sundarbans, shared across Bangladesh and India, constitutes the world's largest contiguous mangrove forest, spanning approximately 10,000 square kilometres. The ecosystem supports extraordinary biodiversity, including the Bengal tiger, while providing coastal protection to millions of people living in low-lying delta communities highly exposed to cyclones and sea-level rise.

Joint conservation efforts between the two nations, supported by UNESCO's World Heritage designation, have helped arrest mangrove degradation in some areas. However, rising sea levels, increased cyclone intensity, and encroachment by shrimp aquaculture continue to pose existential threats to this critical ecosystem, reflecting the complex intersection of climate impacts, governance, and livelihoods that characterizes many NbS contexts.

V. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS OF NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS

Despite their evident promise, Nature-Based Solutions are not a panacea. A candid assessment of their limitations is essential for responsible policy design and communication.

5.1 Permanence and Vulnerability

One of the most fundamental challenges is the inherent vulnerability of biological carbon sinks to natural disturbances and climate feedbacks. Forests that have sequestered carbon over decades can release it rapidly through wildfire, pest outbreaks, or drought — events whose frequency and severity are themselves amplified by climate change. This creates a troubling circularity in which the very changes NbS aim to mitigate increasingly threaten the stability of the solutions themselves.

5.2 Additionality and Measurement

For NbS to be credited within carbon markets or national accounting frameworks, they must demonstrate additionality — that is, the carbon stored or avoided must be over and above what would have occurred in the absence of the intervention. Establishing this counterfactual is methodologically complex. Similarly, accurately measuring and monitoring carbon stocks across diverse and often remote ecosystems demands significant scientific infrastructure, which many developing nations currently lack.

5.3 Land Rights and Social Equity

Many of the ecosystems most critical to NbS — tropical forests, peatlands, coastal wetlands — are inhabited or governed by indigenous communities and local populations. Poorly designed conservation initiatives that fail to adequately incorporate these communities risk displacing vulnerable peoples, exacerbating social inequities, and ultimately undermining the long-term effectiveness of the interventions themselves. Rights-based approaches that centre Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) are not merely ethical imperatives; they are practical prerequisites for durable outcomes.

5.4 Risk of Substitution for Deep Decarbonisation

A significant concern within the scientific community is that the growing prominence of NbS in climate discourse may be exploited to delay or avoid the deep structural transformation of energy systems that is unambiguously required. NbS are most appropriately understood as a complement to, rather than a substitute for, rapid and comprehensive reductions in fossil fuel consumption,

industrial emissions, and land-use change driven by consumer demand.

VI. POLICY AND FINANCIAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Scaling Nature-Based Solutions to their full mitigation potential will require coherent and ambitious action across the following dimensions:

- Integrate NbS into Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs): Governments should embed quantified NbS commitments within their updated climate pledges, with clear monitoring frameworks and accountability mechanisms.
- Reform land tenure and rights frameworks: Secure land rights for indigenous communities and local populations are a precondition for successful long-term conservation and restoration outcomes.
- Scale up public and private finance: Current investment in NbS falls far short of what is required. Green bonds, biodiversity credits, and reformed multilateral development bank mandates offer important vehicles for mobilising capital at scale.
- Establish science-based standards for NbS crediting: To prevent greenwashing and ensure environmental integrity, rigorous, transparent, and independently verified standards must be adopted for all NbS claims within carbon markets.
- Invest in monitoring and research capacity: Particularly in ecologically rich but resource-constrained nations, targeted capacity-building programmes are essential to enable accurate measurement, reporting, and verification of NbS outcomes.
- Advance cross-sector and transboundary coordination: Ecosystems do not respect political boundaries. International frameworks for coordinating NbS efforts across landscapes and seascapes are critical, particularly for shared ecosystems such as river basins, migratory corridors, and ocean systems.

VII. CONCLUSION

Nature-Based Solutions represent an indispensable component of a comprehensive global strategy for climate change mitigation. Their unique capacity to deliver simultaneous benefits across climate, biodiversity, and human well-being — what researchers term ‘co-benefits’ — distinguishes them from narrowly focused technological interventions. Forests that

sequester carbon also regulate watersheds. Mangroves that store carbon also protect coastlines. Restored grasslands that reduce emissions also support pastoral livelihoods.

However, realising this potential demands clarity of purpose and rigour of execution. NbS must not be mobilised as a rhetorical device to defer essential fossil fuel phase-out. They must not be deployed in ways that displace communities or commodify ecosystems without consent. And they must not be counted as carbon savings unless backed by scientifically sound, independently verified evidence.

With these conditions met, Nature-Based Solutions offer something rare in the arena of climate policy: an approach that simultaneously heals ecological damage, strengthens community resilience, and buys the time and space needed for the deeper systemic transitions that a safe climate future demands. The urgency of the climate crisis makes this not merely an opportunity but a moral and strategic imperative.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cohen-Shacham, E., Walters, G., Janzen, C., & Maginnis, S. (Eds.). (2016). *Nature-Based Solutions to address global societal challenges*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- [2] Fargione, J. E., et al. (2018). Natural climate solutions for the United States. *Science Advances*, 4(11).
- [3] Griscom, B. W., et al. (2017). Natural climate solutions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(44), 11645–11650.
- [4] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2022). *Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report*. Cambridge University Press.
- [5] IUCN. (2020). *Global Standard for Nature-based Solutions: A user-friendly framework for the verification, design and scaling up of NbS*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- [6] Mackey, B., et al. (2020). Understanding the importance of primary tropical forest protection as a mitigation strategy. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 25(5).
- [7] Seddon, N., et al. (2020). Understanding the value and limits of nature-based solutions to climate change and other global challenges. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 375(1794).

- [8] Taillardat, P., Friess, D. A., & Lupascu, M. (2018). Mangrove blue carbon strategies for climate change mitigation are most effective at the national scale. *Biology Letters*, 14(10).
- [9] United Nations Environment Programme. (2021). *State of Finance for Nature: Tripling investments in nature-based solutions by 2030*. UNEP, Nairobi.
- [10] Watson, J. E. M., et al. (2018). The exceptional value of intact forest ecosystems. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 2(4).